

**BY ORDER OF THE COMMANDER
AIR FORCE TECHNICAL
APPLICATIONS CENTER**

**AIR FORCE TECHNICAL
APPLICATIONS CENTER
INSTRUCTION 10-601**



12 JUNE 2026

Operations

**ENTERPRISE
CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT**

COMPLIANCE WITH THIS PUBLICATION IS MANDATORY

ACCESSIBILITY: This publication is available for downloading or ordering on the e-Publishing web site at www.e-Publishing.af.mil

RELEASABILITY: There are no releasability restrictions on this publication

OPR: AFTAC/A5R

Certified by: AFTAC/A5R
(Dr. Rebecca Albo)

Supersedes: AFTACI10-601, 6 November 2021

Pages: 14

This Air Force Technical Applications Center Instruction (AFTACI) establishes policy and procedures for managing the Air Force Technical Applications Center (AFTAC) corporate process for Enterprise Capability Development. This AFTACI implements policy guidance in published *AF/A5R Requirements Development Guidebook, Volume 1 and Volume 2*. The guidebooks supplement the policy and procedures described in AF Policy Directive (AFPD) 10-6, *Capability Requirements Development*. The AFI 10-601, *Operational Capability Requirements Documentation and Validation*, process and guidance were also referenced to incorporate applicable requirements. This AFTACI contains the official requirements development and approval process established by AFTAC and describes the roles and responsibilities of AFTAC elements. It applies to all personnel assigned to AFTAC, AFTAC detachments, and individual mobilization augmentees. This publication does not apply to the Air Force Reserve Command (AFRC), or other individual reservists administered by Headquarters (HQ) AFRC. It does not apply to the Air National Guard. Ensure all records generated because of processes prescribed in this publication adhere to AFI 33-322, *Records Management and Information Governance Program*, and are disposed in accordance with the Air Force Records Disposition Schedule, which is located within the Air Force Records Information Management System. Refer recommended changes and questions about this publication to the office of primary responsibility, A5/8, using the DAF Form 847, *Recommendation for Change of Publication*; route DAF Forms 847 from your organization through Publications and Forms Manager, A6X. Additionally, if the publication generates a report(s), alert readers in a statement and cite all applicable Reports Control Numbers

in accordance with AFI 33-324, *The Information Collections and Reports Management Program: Controlling Internal, Public, and Interagency Air Force Information Collections*.

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

This document has been substantially revised and should be reviewed in its entirety. The primary changes made include an updated of roles and responsibilities by refining the specific duties and accountabilities within the organization, added A5/8 as the facilitator of the process, removing the Capability Review Board to streamline AROC process added the MAR, EAB, OEB, and POMB roles, and improving alignment with higher command guidance.

Chapter 1

OVERVIEW

1.1. Purpose. This updated instruction provides guidance for the Air Force Technical Applications Center's (AFTAC) Enterprise Capability Development Process (CDP). It aligns with Department of Defense (DoD) and United States Air Force (USAF) standards for capability development and incorporates the new USAF guidance for streamlined capability development, acquisition, and fielding. The process is flexible and tailorable. The CDP is designed to accommodate AFTAC's unique mission(s), functional structure and organization, and provide cohesion between existing functional mechanisms. It establishes a predictable and repeatable battle rhythm to develop sustainable, maintainable, interoperable and effective operational capabilities.

1.2. Guidance. AFTAC's Capability Development approach mirrors the Air Force process and starts with a clear understanding of policy and guidance to facilitate strategic planning. Sound strategy and direction enable good Concepts of Operations (CONOPS) refinement and development, which in turn drives Capability Planning, Resourcing, and Requirements Development. The Enterprise CDP shall be driven by validated, prioritized, and resourced requirements.

1.2.1. Capability Requirement (or Requirement, Need). A capability required to meet organizational roles, functions, and missions in current or future operations. To the greatest extent possible, capability requirements are described in relation to tasks, standards and conditions in accordance with the Universal Joint Task List or equivalent DoD Component Task List. Capabilities can include materiel and non-materiel solutions.

1.2.2. Materiel Capability Solution. Correction of a deficiency or incorporation of new technology that results in development, acquisition, procurement, or fielding of a new item (including ships, tanks, self-propelled weapons, aircraft, space vehicles and related software and data, spares, repair parts, and support equipment, but excluding real property, installations, and utilities).

1.2.3. Non-Materiel Capability Solution. Changes to doctrine, organization, training, (previously fielded) materiel, leadership and education, personnel, facilities, or policy implemented to satisfy one or more capability requirements (or needs) and reduce or eliminate one or more gaps, without the need to develop or purchase new materiel capability solutions.

Chapter 2

ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

2.1. Commander (AFTAC/CC) shall:

- 2.1.1. Approve the CGA, Requirements and Prioritization Matrix, and the IL/LPL Plan.
- 2.1.2. Direct the development of capability-based requirements process.
- 2.1.3. Act as the requirement owner for all AFTAC capability needs.
- 2.1.4. Identify, retain, or delegate Milestone Decision Authority (MDA) for each program presented at the AROC. The MDA is the designated individual with overall responsibility for a program.
- 2.1.5. Identify, retain, or delegate AROC chair.

2.2. Deputy Commander (AFTAC/CD) shall:

- 2.2.1. Chair of the AROC when delegated by AFTAC/CC.
- 2.2.2. Validate capability gaps identified in the CGA or user input and directs staff to develop course(s) of action to address gaps.
- 2.2.3. Approve requirements documents developed to address validated capability needs, which are documented by signing an AROCM.

2.3. Office of the Chief Scientist (ST) shall:

- 2.3.1. Provide final technical approval over all scientific and technical products within AFTAC and advise the AFTAC/CC.
- 2.3.2. Be a member of AROC and POMB.
- 2.3.3. Chair of the Science Advisory Board.

2.4. Chief of Staff (CoS) shall:

- 2.4.1. Be a member of AROC and POMB.
- 2.4.2. Appoint the A5/8 Director in his/her absence.

2.5. Director of Strategy, Plans and Requirements (A5/8) shall:

- 2.5.1. Facilitate POMB.
- 2.5.2. Approve requirements documents developed to address validated capability needs.
- 2.5.3. Serve as lead for center-level strategic, planning and programming processes
- 2.5.4. Be the requirement process owner on behalf of the commander.
- 2.5.5. Give final approval/disapproval for AF Form 1067, *Modification Proposal*. (See [Attachment 2](#))

2.6. Capabilities, Assessments, and Requirements Division (A5R) shall:

- 2.6.1. Facilitate AROC process.

2.6.2. Be responsible for the oversight for the AFTAC capability-based requirements development and requirements processes and procedures. Performs Gatekeeper function for the AROC and capability-based requirements.

2.6.3. Receive OEB-identified gaps to conduct CGA.

2.6.4. Facilitate requirements development by guiding Materiel Leader (ML), Squadron Commander (SQ/CC), and Program Manager/Project Officer (PMs/PO) through the Requirements Development cycle.

2.6.5. Schedule AROC for presentation of requirement documents.

2.6.6. Prepare AROCM for CC or CD signature. Distributes and maintains record copy of AROCM.

2.6.7. Facilitate and tracks submission of AF Form 1067.

2.6.8. Conduct special studies to support Center's mission.

2.7. Systems Development Directorate (SD) Director shall:

2.7.1. Oversee the development, acquisition and sustainment lifecycle of materiel solutions approved by the AFTAC/CC to address validated capability gaps.

2.7.2. Be a member of the AROC and POMB. This may be delegated to the Deputy Director.

2.8. Strategic Integration Directorate (SI) Director shall:

2.8.1. Develop and curate a comprehensive R&D strategy focused on addressing critical capability gaps, in alignment with higher-level strategic guidance and AFTAC Commander priorities.

2.8.2. Forge new collaborations across government agencies, industry, and academic institutions, facilitating the transition and integration of innovative technologies into mission capabilities.

2.8.3. Be a member of the AROC and POMB. This may be delegated to the Deputy Director.

2.9. 709th Surveillance and Analysis Group (709 SAG) Commander shall:

2.9.1. Be a member of the AROC and POMB. This may be delegated to the Deputy Commander.

2.9.2. Submit needs for activities under his/her responsibility. Review capability needs submissions from subordinates and endorses viable needs.

2.10. 709th Support Group (709 SPTG) Commander shall:

2.10.1. Be a member of the AROC and POMB. This may be delegated to the Deputy Commander.

2.10.2. Submit needs for activities under his/her responsibility. Review capability needs submissions from subordinates and endorses viable needs.

2.11. Financial Management (FM) shall:

2.11.1. Be a member of the AROC and POMB. The comptroller or delegated representative.

Chapter 3

AFTAC'S ENTERPRISE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT PROCESS (CDP)

3.1. Process. The CDP touches every aspect of AFTAC. It brings AFTAC's major functional responsibilities together: Operations and Maintenance, Product Support, Sustainment, Program Management, Research and Development (R&D) Stewardship, Capability Assessment, Requirements Development, Financial Management, and other lifecycle functions. The CDP is guided by the AFTAC Corporate Process to foster transparency and collaboration. The process:

- 3.1.1. Identifies, captures, coordinates, and documents validated, prioritized and resourced capability needs (internal and external).
- 3.1.2. Ensures requirement traceability, authority, and authorization.
- 3.1.3. Builds Capability-Level Requirements (i.e., Key Performance Parameters (KPPs), Key System Attributes (KSAs), Additional Performance Attributes (APAs), etc.) to include supportability and sustainment requirements.
- 3.1.4. Supports AFTAC inputs into the Air Force Planning, Programming, Budgeting and Execution (PPBE) process.

3.2. AFTAC Mission Design. The AFTAC Mission Design sets the direction for capability development balancing mission needs across the AFTAC's strategic objectives. It provides the connection between the strategic vision and future realized capabilities by describing the anticipated mission environment and the system attributes required by capabilities fielded in that environment.

- 3.2.1. The AFTAC Mission Design enables the production of an AFTAC Capability Development Plan which will provide a structured approach for developing and acquiring the capabilities needed to support the AFTAC's mission. The process encompasses identifying needs, analyzing alternatives, and ultimately developing and fielding solutions.

3.3. Mission Area Review (MAR). The MAR is a continuous process conducted through the AFTAC Operations and Execution Board (OEB). The MAR provides a framework for operational gap analysis and risk identification. The OEB accomplishes the following:

- 3.3.1. Provides mission-aligned perspective on capabilities and capability gaps, informed by resources when applicable.
- 3.3.2. Identifies decision space through established expectations and shared accountability.
- 3.3.3. Provides mission area gaps and risks to support the AFTAC Commander's Gap Assessment (CGA).

3.4. Requirement Authority. AFTAC Commander (AFTAC/CC) which can be further delegated to AFTAC Deputy Commander (AFTAC/CD).

3.5. Acquisition Authority. AFTAC/CC delegated to Systems Development Directorate (SD).

Chapter 4

INTEGRATED CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT OVERSIGHT

4.1. AFTAC Requirements Oversight Council (AROC). The AROC consists of AFTAC capability requirements stakeholders and organizations tasked to review and make recommendations on AFTAC-sponsored requirements documents as part of document validation and approval. The AROC is responsible for identifying, assessing, and approving requirements to meet the AFTAC mission ensuring consideration of trade-offs among cost, schedule, and performance objectives. The AROC is chaired by the AFTAC/CC or CD and facilitated by the Capability Assessments and Requirements Division (A5R).

4.1.1. For a validated gap, the AROC is responsible for producing approved requirements documents. The capability analysis and requirements analysis are documented through the appropriate documentation to include Initial Capabilities Document (ICD), Analysis of Alternatives (AoA), Capability Development Document (CDD), Capability Needs Statement (CNS), and non-materiel solution (Doctrine, Organization, Training, materiel, Leadership and Education, Personnel, Facilities, and Policy Change Request (DOTmLPF-P), etc. Only new requirements or new capabilities require AROC approval. Modifications to currently fielded systems document new requirements through an AF Form 1067, *Modification Proposal*. Instructions for AF 1067 are found in [Attachment 2](#). A5R is the gatekeeper to the AF Form 1067 process.

4.1.2. The AROC will also produce the AFTAC CGA on an annual basis in the fourth quarter of the calendar year. The AFTAC CGA is a structured assessment of AFTAC's programs, gaps, and mission risks to fielded materiel and non-materiel capabilities and development efforts. The CGA will be used by the AROC to determine what operational gaps require capability development efforts and provide the AFTAC/CC an assessment of the operational gaps and risks.

4.1.3. During the first quarter of the calendar year, the AROC provides an annual prioritization of approved capability requirements to facilitate timely implementation of solutions and address mission needs through a Requirements & Prioritization Matrix (R&PM).

4.2. Programming Board (POMB). The POMB prioritizes AFTAC capability needs and requirements and issue programming guidance outlining AFTAC's proposed resource allocation for the programming year and next five fiscal years (Future Years Defense Program - FYDP). POMB utilizes the AFTAC Mission Design and CGA, *Materiel Capability Roadmap*, and *R&D Portfolio Strategies to inform future programming decisions* to inform future programming decisions. The process is facilitated by the Plans, Programs, and Requirements office (A5/8) and chaired by the AFTAC/CC. The POMB consists of Group and Directorate primaries.

4.2.1. The POMB provides inputs to draft the AFTAC Future Year Programming Guidance (FYPG) that is published in the second quarter of the calendar year.

4.2.2. During the fourth quarter of the calendar year, the POMB produces the Investment List (IL) and Low Priority List (LPL).

4.2.3. POM Working Group (POMWG). Develops the Programming Strategy and IL/LPL for approval of the POMB. The POMWG consists of the Group and Directorate Deputies and FM, facilitated by the A5/8.

Chapter 5

ADDITIONAL OVERSIGHT

5.1. Operations and Execution Board (OEB). The OEB address operations-specific operational concerns, evaluating current operational efforts, assessing future threats, providing input on next-generation capabilities, and assisting in capability transition, as requested as and as part of the MAR. The OEB is co-chaired by nominated Squadron Commanders and facilitated by the A5/8. The OEB members include the 709th Surveillance and Analysis Group (709 SAG) Sq/CC, 709th Support Group (709 SPTG) Sq/CC, Strategic Integration Directorate (SI) representative, and Systems Development Directorate (SD) Materiel Leaders.

5.1.1. Identifies decision space through established expectations and shared accountability.

5.1.2. Provides mission area gaps and risks to support the AFTAC Commander's Gap Assessment (CGA).

5.1.3. Engages with EAB to identify mission impacts of Information Technology (IT) architecture decisions.

5.1.4. Provides mission area gaps and risks to support the AFTAC CGA approved by the AROC

5.2. AFTAC Enterprise IT Architecture Board (EAB). The EAB ensures alignment of IT architecture with mission goals and objectives maintaining the integrity and quality of IT architecture across the enterprise. The EAB is chaired by the Communications Directorate (A6) and Cyberspace Squadron (709 CYS) CC.

5.2.1. Informs the AROC of Enterprise IT needs with impacts to AFTAC baseline (over \$25M total project cost across FYDP), manpower (change in one or more Full Time Equivalent (FTE) position(s), mission area capability, security, or any other changes identified as significant as defined in the AFTAC Requirements Configuration Management Plan (RCMP).

5.2.2. Provides the assessment of the Enterprise IT risks and requirements through validation and prioritization recommendations.

5.3. Enterprise IT Architecture Working Group (EAWG). The EAWG provides the EAB oversight, guidance, and transparency to all IT requirement and configuration management activities within AFTAC.

5.4. Financial Management Board (FMB). Defined in AFTACI 65-101, the FMB is an independent board, with a process ensuring resources are allocated in the most effective manner based on established priorities. The Board integrates requirements into an operating budget or execution plan bringing programs into balance within available funding.

5.4.1. Financial Working Group (FWG). Develops financial programs with recommendations to the FMB for review and approval.

5.5. Science Advisory Board (SAB). The SAB provides scientific and technical oversight to the capability development process. It is chaired by the Chief Scientist and consists of the technical directors and advisors across the center.

CREIGHTON A. MULLINS, Colonel, USAF
Commander

Attachment 1**GLOSSARY OF REFERENCES AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION*****References***

DAFPD 13-6, *Nuclear, Space, Missile, Command and Control Operations*, 5 December 2023

AFI 33-324, *The Air Force Information Collections and Reports Management Program*, 22 July 2019

DAFI 63-101/20-101, *Integrated Life Cycle Management*, 23 October 2024

AFTAC's *Data Strategy V1.0*, 12 May 2020

AFTACI 65-101, *Resource Management System*, 17 June 2025

Concept of Operations for *AFTAC Software Development v1.0*, 28 September 2020

DODI 5000.87, *Operation of the Software Acquisition Pathway*, 2 October 2020

DoDI 5000.02, *Operation of the Adaptive Acquisition Framework*, 08 June 2022

HAF MD 1-57, *Deputy Chief of Staff, Air Force Futures*, 17 August 2023

AFI 33-322, *Records Management and Information Governance Program*, 26 June 2025

Public Law, *109-364 Sec. 801*, 17 October 2006

U.S.C., *Section 2547, Acquisition-related functions of Chiefs of the Armed Forces*, 15 January 2013

Charter for the Air Force *Capability Development Council*, 20 June 2019

AFI 10-601, *Operational Capability Requirements Documentation and Validation*, 27 April 2021

Guidebook Vol 2A, *Capability Development Overview and Operational Capability Requirements Governance*, November 2022

Guidebook Vol 2B, *Capability Development Plan/System Development Plan*, February 2024

Guidebook Vol 2C, *Capability Based Assessments*, September 2023

Guidebook Vol 2D - *Annex A, Analysis of Alternatives*, December 2023

Guidebook Vol 2E, *Strategic Requirements Document*, February 2024

Guidebook Vol 2F, *Middle Tier Acquisition - Rapid Requirements*, February 2024

Guidebook Vol 2G, *Urgent Needs Process*, February 2024

Guidebook Vol 2H, *Modifications*, February 2024

Guidebook Vol 2I, *Software Acquisition Pathway Requirements Development*, February 2024

Guidebook Vol 2J, *Document Writing Team*, October 2023

Prescribed Forms

None

Adopted Forms

DAF Form 847, *Recommendation for Change of Publication*, 02 July 2025

AF Form 1067, *Modification Proposal*, 01 November 1999

Abbreviations and Acronyms

AFPD—Air Force Policy Directive

AFTAC—Air Force Technical Applications Center

AFTACI—Air Force Technical Applications Center Instruction

AoA—Analysis of Alternatives

APA—Additional Performance Attributes

AROC—AFTAC Requirements Oversight Council

AROCM—AFTAC Requirements Oversight Council Memorandum

CDD—Capability Development Document

CGA—Commander’s Gap Analysis

CNS—Capability Needs Statement

CONOPS—Concepts of Operations

DoD—Department of Defense

DOTmLPF-P—Doctrine, Organization, Training, materiel, Leadership and Education, Personnel, Facilities, and Policy Change Request

EAB—Enterprise IT Architecture Board

EAWG—Enterprise IT Architecture Working Group

FMB—Financial Management Board

FTE—Full Time Equivalent

FWG—Financial Working Group

FYDP—Future Years Defense Program

FYPG—Future Years Programming Guidance

HQ—Headquarters

ICD—Initial Capabilities Document

IL—Investment List

IT—Information Technology

KPP—Key Performance Parameters

KSA—Key System Attributes

MDA—Milestone Decision Authority

ML—Materiel Leader
OEB—Operations and Execution Board
LPL—Low Priority List
PM—Program Manager
PO—Project Officer
PPBE—Planning, Programming, Budgeting and Execution
R&D—Research and Development
POMB—Programming Board
POMWG—Programming Working Group
R&PM—Requirements and Prioritization Matrix
RCMP—Requirements Configuration Management Plan
SAB—Science Advisory Board
SQ/CC—Squadron Commander
USAF—United States Air Force

Office Symbols

A5/8—Strategy, Plans and Requirements
A5R—Capability Assessments and Requirements
A6—Communications Directorate
A6X—Publications and Forms
AFTAC/CC—Commander
AFTAC/CD—Deputy Commander
CoS—Chief of Staff
AFMC—Air Force Materiel Command
AFRC—Air Force Reserve Command
FM—Financial Management
SD—Systems Development Directorate
SI—Strategic Integration Directorate
ST—Office of the Chief Scientist
709 CYS—Cyberspace Squadron
709 SAG—Surveillance and Analysis Group
709 SPTG—Support Group

Attachment 2

AF FORM 1067

A2.1. For an existing capability, the AF Form 1067, *Modification Proposal*. Will be used to document form, fit, function, or interface modifications to a system/software for operational effectiveness, suitability, survivability, service life extension and/or reduce ownership costs of a fielded system. Capabilities that do not enhance a fielded system are not modifications and are considered “new” capability needs and therefore, require approval by the AROC.

Figure A2.1. AF Form 1067, first page.


MODIFICATION PROPOSAL		
PART I - REQUEST FOR ACTION		DATE:
1. INITIATOR Enter the name, grade, office symbol, mailing address and DSN number of the initiating individual.	2. INITIATOR'S POC ORGANIZATION Enter the mailing address and DSN of the submitting organization's POC for AF Forms 1067 (??Program Manager, 21 SURS, 24 ANS??)	3. USING COMMAND HQ POINT OF CONTACT Enter the office symbol, mailing address, and DSN of the initiating directorate within AFTAC (??A5/8, Deputy Commander??)
4. TITLE: Enter the title that best defines/describes the addressed need/requirement		
5. ORGANIZATION CONTROL NUMBER Assigned by organization, If none, leave blank.		6. OTHER NUMBERS If needed or available, (ex. engineering change proposal (ECP))
7. AFFECTED CONFIGURED ITEM/SYSTEM:		
A. MDS/TMS/CER/CPIN Enter the Mission Design Series, Type Mission Series, or the Configured End Item	B. WUC Work Unit Code (Probably not used)	C. NSN National Stock Number (Probably not used)
D. SRD CODE Standard Reporting Designator Code (Probably not used)	E. NOUN Nomenclature or model # of affected configuration item	F. OTHER Use other to specify any additional identifier as needed.
8. PURPOSE (State the need or deficiency to be corrected. Include expected results.) State the deficiency to be corrected or the need to be satisfied by the proposal and what the expected result will/should be. If known by field level initiators examples of information to include: mean time before maintenance actions, number of mission capable hours, both current and projected, if applicable, Current unscheduled removal rate of equipment, and projected removal rate after modification, Current or projected mission aborts, If unmodified system LRUs are resulting in excessive maintenance hours or extravagant spares requirements, show estimated number of maintenance hours being expended (with dollar value of those hours shown in parenthesis) or dollar value of excess spares requirement, to include one year's demand history to reflect increased spares consumption.		
9. IMPACT (Urgency of need and impact if not satisfied.) State the impact of not correcting the deficiency or satisfying the needs stated in Purpose above. ex. If mean the time between failures is not corrected then mission will be affected by X.		
10. CONSTRAINTS/ASSUMPTIONS/PROPOSED SOLUTIONS Temporary modifications change the configuration of an item to enable short-term operational mission accomplishment Type 1: Modifications that change the configuration of an item in order to satisfy short-term operational mission requirements. Type 2: To support test and evaluation (T&E) of new and modified equipment - Include number of units to be modified, total duration of the installed temporary modification, and description of the user's/PM's/ lead command's plan for converting the temporary modification into a permanent capability, or their plan for removing the modification from affected articles. (DAFI63-101/20-101) Permanent modifications: A change to the configuration of an item for operational effectiveness, suitability, survivability, or to reduce ownership costs of a fielded weapon system, subsystem, or item. CAT 1 - Modification to retain or restore performance level as documented in previously validated capability requirements CAT 2 - Modifications enhance performance levels beyond the scope of previously validated requirements CAT 3 - Modifications that add new attributes beyond previously validated requirements and are considered new requirements.		
11. ORGANIZATION VALIDATION		DATE RECEIVED:
<input type="checkbox"/> A. PROPOSED REQUEST IS VALIDATED AS AN ORGANIZATION NEED/REQUIREMENT WHICH REQUIRES ACTION.		
<input type="checkbox"/> B. PROPOSED REQUEST IS DISAPPROVED AND IS NOT AN ORGANIZATION NEED/REQUIREMENT WHICH REQUIRES ACTION.		
<input type="checkbox"/> C. PROPOSED REQUEST IS RETURNED TO SUBMITTER FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.		
D. DATE	E. NAME, GRADE, TITLE, and DSN (Type or Print)	F. SIGNATURE 

Figure A2.2. AF Form 1067, second page.

PAGE 2 OF					
PART II - USING COMMAND VALIDATION					DATE RECEIVED:
12. USING COMMAND VALIDATION					
<input type="checkbox"/> A. PROPOSED REQUEST IS VALIDATED AS AN ORGANIZATION NEED/REQUIREMENT WHICH REQUIRES ACTION.					
<input type="checkbox"/> B. PROPOSED REQUEST IS DISAPPROVED AND IS NOT AN ORGANIZATION NEED/REQUIREMENT WHICH REQUIRES ACTION.					
<input type="checkbox"/> C. PROPOSED REQUEST IS RETURNED TO SUBMITTER FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.					
<input type="checkbox"/> D. FORWARD TO LEAD COMMAND			E. USING COMMAND CONTROL NO.		
F. DATE	G. NAME, GRADE, TITLE, and DSN (Type or Print)			H. SIGNATURE	
				Click Here to Sign	
PART III - LEAD COMMAND VALIDATION					DATE RECEIVED:
13. LEAD COMMAND ACTION OFFICER		14. THRU (Optional Routing)		15. SINGLE MANAGER OFFICE	
16. MODIFICATION TYPE <input type="checkbox"/> T-1 <input type="checkbox"/> T-2 <input type="checkbox"/> PERMANENT (P) <input type="checkbox"/> P(S)-SAFETY					17. LEAD COMMAND CONTROL NO.
18. LEAD COMMAND REMARKS (Identify any constraints or assumptions)					
19. LEAD COMMAND VALIDATION					
<input type="checkbox"/> A. VALIDATED REQUEST			<input type="checkbox"/> B. DISAPPROVED		
20. NAME, GRADE, TITLE, AND DSN (Type or Print)		21. SIGNATURE		22. DATE	
		Click Here to Sign			
PART IV - SINGLE MANAGER REVIEW AND APPROVAL					DATE RECEIVED:
23. SM ACTION OFFICER		24. CENTER CONTROL NUMBERS		25. TOTAL BP/EEIC:	
		A. CENTER MIP NO:		Type Funds	Amount
		B. ECP NO:			
		C. TCTO NO:			
26. NR OF CIS AFFECTED:			27. TOTAL KITS NEEDED:		
28. ALSO AFFECTS: <input type="checkbox"/> SUPPORT EQUIP <input type="checkbox"/> AIRCREW TRAINING <input type="checkbox"/> TRAINING DEVICES/VISUAL AIDS (Maint) <input type="checkbox"/> TECH DATA					
<input type="checkbox"/> SPARES <input type="checkbox"/> SOFTWARE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Identify)					
29. KIT OR UNIT COST	30. TOTAL COST	31. LEAD TIME	32. INSTALLATION (Begin) (Completed)		
33. LEVEL OF ACCOMPLISHMENT. <input type="checkbox"/> USER <input type="checkbox"/> DEPOT <input type="checkbox"/> BOTH <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER					
34. USER WORK HOURS		35. DEPOT WORK HOURS:		36. TOTAL WORK HOURS:	
37. MANUFACTURER:			38. AIRCRAFT BREAKOUT:		
39. ENGINEERING REVIEW RECOMMENDATION(S)					
<input type="checkbox"/> APPROVED <input type="checkbox"/> DISAPPROVED (See attached remarks)					
40. NAME, GRADE, TITLE, AND DSN (Type or Print)		41. SIGNATURE		42. DATE	
		Click Here to Sign			
PART V - LEAD COMMAND CERTIFICATION/APPROVAL					
<input type="checkbox"/> TEMPORARY MOD APPROVED			<input type="checkbox"/> PERMANENT MOD APPROVED (Proceed to Budgeting)		
<input type="checkbox"/> MOD DISAPPROVED			<input type="checkbox"/> MNS/ORD TO BE DEVELOPED		
43. NAME, GRADE, TITLE, AND DSN (Type or Print)		44. SIGNATURE		45. DATE	
		Click Here to Sign			