

**BY ORDER OF THE
SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE**

AIR FORCE INSTRUCTION 10-245



21 SEPTEMBER 2012

**AIR MOBILITY COMMAND
Supplement**

5 AUGUST 2013

**DOVER AIR FORCE BASE
Supplement**

26 SEPTEMBER 2014

Operations

ANTITERRORISM (AT)

COMPLIANCE WITH THIS PUBLICATION IS MANDATORY

ACCESSIBILITY: Publications and forms are available on the e-Publishing website at <http://www.e-Publishing.af.mil> for downloading or ordering

RELEASABILITY: There are no releasability restrictions on this publication

OPR: AF/A7S

Certified by: AF/A7S
(Brig Gen Allen J. Jamerson)

Pages: 134

Supersedes: AFI 10-245, 30 March 2009

(AMC)

OPR: AMC/A7SA

Certified by: AMC/A7S
(Col Randall J. Richert)

Pages: 23

Supersedes: AFI10-245_AMCSUP,
17 July 2013

(DOVERAFB)

OPR: 436 SFS/S5XA

Certified by: 436 SFS/CC
(Lt Col Joel Briske)

Pages: 28

Supersedes: AFI10-245_DOVERAFBSUP,
8 August 2012

This instruction implements Air Force Policy Directive (AFPD) 10-2, *Readiness*, and Department of Defense Instruction (DoDI) 2000.16, *DoD Antiterrorism (AT) Standards*. It is consistent with directions in Department of Defense Instruction (DoDI) 2000.12, *DoD Antiterrorism (AT) Program*; Department of Defense (DoD) O-2000.12-H, *DoD Antiterrorism Handbook*; DoDI 6055.17, *DoD Installation Emergency Management (IEM) Program*; AFDD 4-

0, *Combat Support*; AFDD 3-10, *Force Protection*; AFPD 31-1, *Integrated Defense*; AFPD 10-25, *Emergency Management*; AFPD 10-26, *Counter-Chemical, Biological, Radiological, and Nuclear Operations*; and AFPD 10-39, *Safeguarding Biological Select Agents and Toxins*. It establishes responsibilities and guidance for the Air Force (AF) Antiterrorism (AT) Program. This Air Force Instruction (AFI) applies to all military and civilian Air Force personnel, Air Force Reserve Command and Air National Guard units and other individuals or organizations as required by binding agreement or obligation with the Department of the Air Force. Ensure that all records created as a result of processes prescribed in this publication are maintained in accordance with (IAW) Air Force Manual (AFMAN) 33-363, *Management of Records*, and disposed of IAW the Air Force Records Disposition Schedule (RDS) located at <https://www.my.af.mil/afrims/afrims/afrims/rims.cfm>. Refer recommended changes and questions about this publication to the Office of Primary Responsibility (OPR) using the AF Form 847, Recommendation for Change of Publication; route AF Form 847s from the field through the appropriate functional chain of command.

(AMC) This supplement implements Air Force Policy Directive (AFPD) 10-2, *Readiness*, and Department of Defense Instruction (DoDI) 2000.16, *DoD Antiterrorism (AT) Standards*. It is consistent with directions in Department of Defense Instruction (DoDI) 2000.12, *DoD Antiterrorism (AT) Program*; Department of Defense (DoD) O-2000.12-H, *DoD Antiterrorism Handbook*; DoDI 6055.17, *DoD Installation Emergency Management (IEM) Program*; AFDD 4-0, *Combat Support*; AFDD 3-10, *Force Protection*; AFPD 31-1, *Integrated Defense*; AFPD 10-25, *Emergency Management*; AFPD 10-26, *Counter-Chemical, Biological, Radiological, and Nuclear Operations*; and AFPD 10-39, *Safeguarding Biological Select Agents and Toxins*. It establishes responsibilities and guidance for the Air Force (AF) Antiterrorism (AT) Program. This Air Force Instruction (AFI) applies to all military and civilian Air Force personnel, Air Force Reserve Command and Air National Guard units and other individuals or organizations as required by binding agreement or obligation with the Department of the Air Force. Ensure that all records created as a result of processes prescribed in this publication are maintained in accordance with (IAW) Air Force Manual (AFMAN) 33-363, *Management of Records*, and disposed of IAW the Air Force Records Disposition Schedule (RDS). Refer recommended changes and questions about this publication to the Office of Primary Responsibility (OPR) using the AF Form 847, Recommendation for Change of Publication; route AF Form 847s from the field through the appropriate functional chain of command.

(DOVERAFB) **AFI 10-245_AMCSUP, *Antiterrorism (AT)*, 5 August 2013**, is supplemented as follows: This supplement applies to all personnel assigned to or attached to the 436th Airlift Wing and tenant organizations operating on Dover AFB to include the 512th Airlift Wing (AFRC). This supplement establishes 436th Airlift Wing guidance and policies that cover Antiterrorism (AT) Standards. It is designed to be used in conjunction with AFI 10-245, *Antiterrorism (AT)* and AMC Supplement 1 to AFI 10-245. This supplement fulfills the necessary requirements to implement an Installation level Antiterrorism program. Ensure that all records created as a result of processes prescribed in this publication are maintained IAW Air Force Manual (AFMAN) 33-363, *Management of Records*, and disposed of IAW Air Force Records Information Management System (AFRIMS) Records Disposition Schedule (RDS). Refer recommended changes and questions about this publication to the Office of Primary Responsibility (OPR) using the Air Force (AF) Form 847, *Recommendation for Change of*

Publication; route AF Form 847s from the field through the appropriate functional' s chain of command.

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

This document is substantially revised and must be completely reviewed. Throughout the document, the AT Program has been integrated with Integrated Defense (ID), Emergency Management (EM), and Critical Asset Risk Management (CARM) programs to support Force Protection (FP). In addition, AF functional roles and responsibilities have been updated; requirements for AT Training have been revised; and several FPCON measures have been revised or updated. Attachment 6—The Antiterrorism Deviation Program has been added to establish new AF deviation procedures for AT construction standards, and Attachment 7—Categories of Suspicious Behavior has been added to supplement guidance on eGuardian.

(AMC) This document has been substantially revised and must be completely reviewed. This supplement provides major rewrite/reorganization and has aligned management functions at all levels with AFI 10-245. This supplement supersedes AMC HOI 10-245, *AMC Core Vulnerability Assessment Program* and AMCI 10-249, *AMC Force Protection Corporate Structure* which are contained as AMC-added attachments in this supplement.

(DOVERAFB) This document is substantially revised and must be completely reviewed. Some of the most notable changes are: Combined the AT Working Group (ATWG), Critical Asset Risk Management (CARM) Working Group, Integrated Defense Working Group (IDWG), and Emergency Management Working Group into the Force Protection Working Group (FPWG). The new approved charter is listed as Attachment 11 in this document. The document changed Critical Infrastructure Protection (CIP) to Critical Asset Risk Management (CARM). Inserted and designated new FPWG members consistent with the charter. The DoD Categories of Suspicious Activity were removed, since they are now included in the parent Air Force Instruction. Threat/Intelligence Fusion Cell (TIFC) was changed to the Threat/Information Fusion Cell (TIFC) and Intelligence Fusion Cell (IFC). CBRNE Vulnerability Assessment is required to be conducted in conjunction with the Local Terrorist Vulnerability Assessment. Lastly, Wing AT was realigned from the Wing Staff to 436 SFS, the 436 SFS/CC has been designated as the Installation ATO and the former Wing AT Advisor is re-designated as the Wing AT Program Manager (ATPM).

Chapter 1—AIR FORCE ANTITERRORISM PROGRAM	7
1.1. Air Force Antiterrorism Program.	7
1.1. (DOVERAFB) DAFB AT Program.	7
1.2. AT Responsibility.	7
1.2. (AMC) The Core Vulnerability Management Program (CVAMP) will be used to notify the chain of command of risks that are above the commander's risk tolerance level and cannot be mitigated locally.	7
1.2. (DOVERAFB) DAFB AT Responsibility.	7

	1.3.	AF AT Program Policy.	9
	1.4.	AT Program Roles and Responsibilities.	10
		Chapter 2—ANTITERRORISM STANDARDS	24
	2.1.	Standard 1:	24
	2.2.	Standard 2:	24
	2.3.	Standard 3:	25
	2.3.	(AMC) Installation Commanders will review and validate or adjust risk tolerance decisions within 60 days of taking command.	26
Figure	2.1.	AT Risk Management Process	26
	2.4.	Standard 4:	27
	2.5.	Standard 5:	28
	2.6.	Standard 6:	28
Table	2.5.	(Added-DOVERAFB) Installation Lead Agents for Other Local Vulnerability Assessments	29
	2.7.	Standard 7:	33
Table	2.1.	AT Threat Planning Scenarios	34
	2.8.	Standard 8:	36
	2.9.	Standard 9:	37
	2.10.	Standard 10:	42
	2.11.	Standard 11:	44
	2.12.	Standard 12:	45
	2.13.	Standard 13:	46
	2.14.	Standard 14:	47
	2.15.	Standard 15:	49
	2.16.	Standard 16:	50
	2.17.	Standard 17:	51
	2.18.	Standard 18:	53
	2.19.	Standard 19:	54
	2.20.	Standard 20:	54
	2.21.	Standard 21:	54
	2.22.	Standard 22:	56
	2.23.	Standard 23:	57
	2.24.	Standard 24:	59

2.25.	Standard 25:	59
Table 2.2.	Level I - AT Awareness Training Requirements	61
2.26.	Standard 26:	61
Table 2.3.	Level II – ATO and ATR Training Requirements	63
2.27.	Standard 27:	63
Table 2.4.	Level III - Pre-Command AT Training Requirements	65
2.28.	Standard 28:	65
2.29.	Standard 29:	65
2.30.	Standard 30:	66
2.31.	Standard 31:	67
2.32.	Standard 32:	68
Attachment 1—GLOSSARY OF REFERENCES AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION		69
Attachment 1—(AMC) GLOSSARY OF REFERENCES AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION		85
Attachment 1—(DOVERAFB) GLOSSARY OF REFERENCES AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION		86
Attachment 2—FORCE PROTECTION CONDITION (FPCON) MEASURES		88
Attachment 3—TERRORIST THREAT LEVELS		98
Attachment 4—RISK MANAGEMENT AND RESOURCING PROCESSES		100
Attachment 5—AF APPROVED LEVEL II - ATO CERTIFICATION TRAINING COURSES		102
Attachment 6—THE ANTITERRORISM STANDARDS FOR BUILDINGS DEVIATION PROGRAM		103
Attachment 7—CATEGORIES OF SUSPICIOUS ACTIVITY		107
Attachment 8—(Added-AMC) AMC CORE VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (CVAMP)		109
Attachment 9—(Added-AMC) HQ AMC CORPORATE FORCE PROTECTION STRUCTURE		113
Attachment 10—(Added-AMC) AMC STANDARD FPCON SIGNS		117
Attachment 11—(Added-DOVERAFB) FORCE PROTECTION WORKING GROUP CHARTER		121
Attachment 12—(Added-DOVERAFB) THREAT WORKING GROUP CHARTER		124

Attachment 13—(Added-DOVERAFB) AT FORM 2, RANDOM ANTITERRORISM MEASURE, TRACKING SHEET	125
Attachment 14—(Added-DOVERAFB) SAMPLE UNIT AT TRAINING REPORT	126
Attachment 15—(Added-DOVERAFB) AT FORM 1, CVAMP TRACKING SHEET	127
Attachment 16—(Added-DOVERAFB) ANTITERRORISM -- UNIT PROGRAM REVIEW GUIDE	128
Attachment 17—(Added-DOVERAFB) SAMPLE UNIT AT REPRESENTATIVE APPOINTMENT LETTER	131
Attachment 18—(Added-DOVERAFB) HOTEL ASSESSMENT SECURITY GUIDE	132

Chapter 1

AIR FORCE ANTITERRORISM PROGRAM

1.1. Air Force Antiterrorism Program. This instruction establishes guidance and procedures for the Air Force Antiterrorism Program and supports the Department of Defense AT Program. The AT Program is a high priority, comprehensive program which focuses on defensive measures to reduce the risk to AF personnel and property to terrorist acts. The guidance and procedures for AT risk management provide guidance on collecting and disseminating timely terrorist threat information, guide development and support the implementation of comprehensive AT plans, ensure AT training and exercises for all AF members, provide guidance for allocation and application of AT resources, and establish procedures for comprehensive AT program reviews.

1.1. (DOVERAFB) DAFB AT Program. The Dover AFB Antiterrorism (AT) program enhances and supplements current DoD, AF and AMC AT programs. The Installation AT program provides a comprehensive and structured means to identify antiterrorism measures and procedures for the protection of all personnel and property assigned to Dover AFB. This program does not cover each and every contingency with regard to Antiterrorism, Weapons of Mass Destruction, Mass Casualty, or Emergency Response. The DAFB AT program provides guidance to implement antiterrorism measures, mitigate vulnerabilities, and provide emergency response to terrorist incidents or situations occurring on Dover AFB. This program, compliments other Wing plans, addressing contingency and emergency response.

1.2. AT Responsibility. AT is a command responsibility which must be thoroughly integrated into every unit mission. Commanders and equivalents at all levels have the responsibility and authority to enforce appropriate AT standards and measures. Commanders and equivalents must be aware of evolving threats against their command and continually review their AT posture using the Integrated Defense Risk Management Process (IDRMP), to manage risk and appropriately adjust the posture of elements and personnel subject to their control. The next level up the chain of command must be notified and mitigate or accept any AT risk that cannot be controlled to an acceptable level within a commander's resources.

1.2. (AMC)The Core Vulnerability Management Program (CVAMP) will be used to notify the chain of command of risks that are above the commander's risk tolerance level and cannot be mitigated locally. Specifically, mitigation plans for these risks will be created IAW paragraph 2.6.6. of this instruction and released to the first general officer in the chain.

1.2. (DOVERAFB) DAFB AT Responsibility. AT requires an integral effort of all Dover AFB units. All Dover AFB commanders (host, tenant, and temporarily assigned units) must have the mindset their unit is an integral part of the AT Program and Force Protection (FP) is a team effort, not the sole responsibility of the Security Forces unit. The driving purpose of the Dover AFB AT Program is to deter, defend, and strengthen the Installation against terrorist plans and operations in order to preserve the fighting strength of 436 AW and tenant forces to execute assigned wartime missions. The 436 SFS/CC is designated as the Installation Antiterrorism Officer (ATO). The Wing Antiterrorism Program Manager (436 SFS/S5XA) has execution and management responsibilities for the Wing's AT program. The Installation Commander's Intent for AT is as follows:

1.2.1. The Major Command (MAJCOM) AT Program Manager (ATPM), the Numbered Air Force (NAF) Antiterrorism Officer (ATO), the Installation ATO, and the Unit Antiterrorism Representative (ATR) are advisors to commanders at each respective level. They shall not assume AT responsibility but are charged with advising the commander or equivalent on AT issues, managing the AT Program, and coordinating implementation of the AT Program.

1.2.2. The AF Antiterrorism program is a cross-functional supported program which utilizes the skills and expertise of a variety of AF professionals. MAJCOM and Installation Commanders will identify personnel on their staff to oversee the antiterrorism program and act as the subject matter expert responsible for executing both DoD and AF-directed AT requirements across a major command or installation, and coordinates the efforts of key functional areas to ensure synergy on behalf of the commander. At a minimum, Security Forces, Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI), Intelligence, Civil Engineers (to include Emergency Management, Engineering Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) and Fire Emergency Services), Force Support, Command Post, Public Affairs, Communications, Contracting, Operations, Public Health and Bioenvironmental Engineering (food and water), Judge Advocate, and Comptroller must coordinate efforts to achieve an effective AT posture. The installation ATO is responsible to coordinate among these key players and facilitate the integration and coordination of their efforts on behalf of the commander. As a sub-element of the overarching FP program, AT must be integrated with and complement other programs supporting FP.

1.2.2. **(DOVERAFB)** Dover AFB has a three tier AT Corporate Structure consisting of the following levels: (1) Force Protection Executive Council (FPEC), (2) Force Protection Working Group (FPWG), and the (3) Threat Working Group (TWG).

1.2.3. Additionally, an effective AT program requires participation from every member of the force. Each individual must maintain awareness of potential terrorist threats, practice personal security measures, and report suspicious activity.

1.2.3. **(DOVERAFB)** DAFB can mitigate and reduce the vulnerability to terrorist actions by; developing and utilizing available intelligence/counterintelligence assets, maintaining established standards, training all Installation personnel, and by encouraging AT awareness within the community. DAFB will institute a progressive system of protective measures, policies and plans that display an effective AT posture, with the overall intent being the protection of all Installation personnel, families, assets, critical infrastructure, and missions from any acts of terrorism.

1.2.4. The AT Program shall be considered when establishing and exercising support agreements or mutual aid agreements (MAA) with local agencies, whether CONUS or OCONUS. At a minimum, agreements must establish procedures for information sharing, must address AT capabilities and limitations, and must outline roles and responsibilities during response to acts of terrorism. If agreements with outside agencies do not provide sufficient support to the AT program, associated vulnerabilities will be entered into Core Vulnerability Assessment Management Program (CVAMP) explaining the lack of support.

1.2.4. **(DOVERAFB)** The 436 AW will ensure provisions for AT participation and compliance are included in all host-tenant agreements.

1.2.5. Installation AT planning shall include a communications plan to inform the base populace through Public Affairs of the need to report suspicious activities/incidents, the nature of items to be reported, and how to report them.

1.2.5.1. Eagle Eyes. The Eagle Eyes program is an Air Force AT initiative that enlists the eyes and ears of Air Force members, civilians, contractors, and dependants. The Eagle Eyes program will inform the community on how to recognize possible terrorist activity and how to report suspicious behavior. Armed with this information, all individuals can recognize elements of potential terror planning when they see it. The program provides a network of local, 24-hour phone numbers to call whenever a suspicious activity is observed. Each installation shall establish procedures to receive and log suspicious activity reports and suspicious incident reports (SAR/SIR) and to pass those reports expeditiously to their servicing AFOSI detachment for appropriate action. Eagle Eyes is an AFOSI-led program requiring close and continuous liaison and coordination between the installation Security Forces Squadron, AFOSI Detachment, Installation ATO, Command Post, Public Affairs office and others. This program implements the spirit and intent of DoDI 2000.26, *Suspicious Activity Reporting*. See Attachment 7 for the categories of suspicious activities that are required to be reported.

1.2.5.1. **(DOVERAFB)** Det 306, AFOSI is the OPR for the Installation Eagle Eyes Program. All personnel are encouraged to report suspicious activity to the servicing Security Forces or AFOSI Detachment. Eagle Eyes reports will be identified and annotated in the Security Forces blotter and appropriate agencies notified for investigation and follow-up.

1.2.5.2. Security Forces Squadrons shall develop local procedures, in concert with their supporting AFOSI Detachment, to log and track SAR/SIR in order to expeditiously submit all reporting received from the public or other sources with a possible/potential linkage to terrorism using the eGuardian system. MAJCOM ATPM, Installation ATO, and Information Fusion Cell (IFC) will have “read” access to the eGuardian system. Categories of information to report via eGuardian are shown at Attachment 7 to this publication. AFOSI is the OPR for eGuardian and shall make any necessary entries to the system.

1.2.5.2. **(DOVERAFB)** Suspicious activity reporting will be conducted in accordance with instructions contained in 436 AW OPlan 10-245, Antiterrorism.

1.2.5.3. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** AFOSI Det 306 and 436th Security Forces are the lead investigative agencies for suspicious activity reporting. Det 306 will ensure the Wing ATPM (436 SFS/S5XA) and Intelligence Fusion Cell (436 SFS/S2) have access to the eGuardian system.

1.2.5.4. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** The 436 SFS/S2 (Force Protection Intelligence) is designated to manage the Installation’s Intelligence Fusion Cell (IFC) and monitors, tracks and disseminates all suspicious activity reporting to the Threat Working Group.

1.3. AF AT Program Policy. The AF AT Program supports and implements DoD policy as described in DoDI 2000.12, which establishes DoD policies and responsibilities for AT. AT standards and AF-specific guidance for implementing the AT Program will be established based on guidance in DoDI 2000.16.

1.3.1. Geographic Combatant Commander (GCC) AT Policy Precedence. IAW the Unified Command Plan (UCP) and DoDI 2000.12, GCC AT policies take precedence over the AT policies and programs of any other DoD Component operating or existing in the GCC area of responsibility (AOR) except for those under the security responsibility of a Chief of Mission (CoM), to include exercising tactical control (TACON) for FP. TACON for FP is in addition to a Combatant Commander's normal exercise of operational control (OPCON) over assigned forces. All DoD personnel traveling into a GCC's AOR shall familiarize themselves and comply with all AOR-specific AT policies. AF Components to GCCs bridge gaps between GCC and AF policies. In the application of AT policy, the more restrictive guidance will be applied.

1.3.1. (AMC) United States Northern Command (USNORTHCOM) is the GCC responsible for the CONUS and other designated areas of North America. USNORTHCOM directives, specifically, USNORTHCOM Instruction 10-222, *USNORTHCOM Force Protection (FP) Mission and Antiterrorism (AT) Program* (USNORTHCOMI 10-222) has precedence over this instruction and is referenced in this supplement where applicable. TACON for AT is exercised through the service component commander, Commander US Air Forces Northern (AFNORTH).

1.3.2. AT Policy Concerning Tenants and Privatized Support on AF Property. All tenant units, non-DoD tenants, DoD elements, and privatized support to AF property and activities shall be included in the AT Program, adhere to AT requirements, and participate in the host installation AT Program. Commanders shall ensure agreements are in place which specifically obligate compliance with the AT requirements in this AFI and with applicable portions of Unified Facilities Criteria (UFC) standards.

1.3.3. AT Policy Regarding Travel. All personnel (military, civilians, dependent family members, and contractors supporting DoD operations IAW contract provisions) shall comply with GCC, theater, country, and special clearance requirements (Electronic Department of Defense Foreign Clearance Guide, <https://www.fcg.pentagon.mil/>) prior to departing the continental United States (CONUS), traveling into another GCC's AOR, or traveling between countries outside the continental United States (OCONUS). AF personnel in-transit on Leave/Temporary Duty (TDY) or deployment orders shall also comply with AT Standard 29 of this AFI.

1.4. AT Program Roles and Responsibilities.

1.4.1. General. AF commanders at all levels (or equivalent civilian directors) assigned AT responsibility shall establish and maintain active AT programs IAW DoD, GCC, AF, and all other applicable AT guidance. All commanders have the authority and responsibility to enforce appropriate security measures to ensure the protection of DoD elements and personnel subject to their control while pursuing mission accomplishment and shall ensure the AT awareness and readiness of all DoD elements and personnel assigned or attached.

1.4.1.1. AF organizations/activities shall develop local guidance to implement this Instruction, such as MAJCOM or installation supplements/instructions/plans, that identify AT-specific operational responsibilities, to include the scope of AT responsibility for facilities and operations that do not meet the legal definition of an installation (e.g., recruiting offices and other geographically separated units [GSUs]).

1.4.1.1. (AMC) HQ AMC/A7S is the OPR for this supplement. Installation Commanders will provide HQ AMC/A7S a copy of their supplement, if developed, within 120 days following MAJCOM initial publication or annual revision. Post supplements to the individual installation folder found on the HQ AMC AT EIM site: (<https://eim.amc.af.mil/org/A7/A7S/AMC%20AT/default.aspx>).

1.4.1.2. AT activities shall support GCCs as they exercise overall responsibility for AT within their respective AORs. Commanders will ensure such activities and actions comply with applicable Intelligence Oversight rules, Status of Forces Agreements (SOFA) and the DoD Foreign Clearance Guide.

1.4.1.3. MAJCOMs, field operating agencies (FOA), direct reporting units (DRU), AF Components to the GCC, Component-NAFs (C-NAF), NAFs, Wings, Installations and separate facilities with commanders or equivalent civilian directors assigned AT responsibility shall have an active AT program tailored to the local security environment.

1.4.1.3. (AMC) HQ AMC/A7S is the AT Executive Agent for 18 AF and the USAF Expeditionary Center (USAF EC) and acts as their staff agency for managing command AT Programs.

1.4.1.4. GSUs attached to an installation will be included in the AT Plan and comply with all installation AT program requirements. Installation ATOs shall ensure coordination on AT program elements and responsibilities regarding GSUs.

1.4.1.5. Intelligence supports FP with readiness training, mission planning, unit deployments and other mission execution functions, including, but not limited to, integrated defense support, critical asset risk management program, and emergency management. Both OCONUS and CONUS, AFOSI conducts counterintelligence activities in support of AT. AFOSI works with foreign, federal, state, tribal and local law enforcement, security, intelligence and counterintelligence agencies to identify, exploit, and neutralize criminal, terrorist and foreign intelligence threats to the US Air Force, DoD, and US Government (USG).

1.4.2. Headquarters Air Force (HAF) Responsibilities:

1.4.2.1. Assistant Secretary of the Air Force, Financial Management and Comptroller (SAF/FM). SAF/FM shall:

1.4.2.1.1. Advise commanders, the HAF Force Protection Working Group (FPWG) and HAF Force Protection Steering Group (FPSG) on financial processes and procedures to effectively resource AT program requirements through planning, programming, budgeting and execution (PPB&E); and Combatant Commander's Initiative Fund (CCIF).

1.4.2.1.2. Provide long range financial planning for the AT Program.

1.4.2.2. Inspector General (SAF/IG). SAF/IG shall provide counterintelligence (CI) and criminal investigative support to the AT program through HQ AFOSI. Reference AFPD 71-1, *Criminal Investigations and Counterintelligence*.

1.4.2.3. Judge Advocate General of the Air Force (AF/JA). AF/JA shall provide legal advice on AT matters and shall be a primary member of the HAF FPWG and HAF FPSG

to ensure all legal aspects are addressed during the mitigation process of vulnerabilities and other legal issues.

1.4.2.4. Director of Public Affairs (SAF/PA). SAF/PA shall:

1.4.2.4.1. Incorporate communication activities into the public affairs program in order to combat terrorism.

1.4.2.4.2. Ensure all terrorist incident/threat report releases to the media are coordinated with Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense, Public Affairs (OASD)/PA prior to release.

1.4.2.4.3. After coordination with OASD/PA, SAF/PA may acknowledge increased security measures have been taken in response to a possible or real terrorist threat/incident. Requests for coverage of counter-terrorism forces will not be approved.

1.4.2.5. Surgeon General (AF/SG). AF/SG shall:

1.4.2.5.1. Serve as the OPR for Force Health Protection (FHP) and the Public Health Emergency Officer (PHEO) IAW AFI 10-2603, *Emergency Health Powers on Air Force Installations*.

1.4.2.5.2. Supervise food and water protection programs as outlined in AFI 10-246, *Food and Water Protection Program*. Coordinate food and water vulnerability assessments (VA) with AT VAs at all levels and ensure reports facilitate CVAMP format/entries.

1.4.2.6. Safety (AF/SE). AF/SE shall assist functional areas in ensuring safety is considered during AT planning and observed during AT operations.

1.4.2.7. Deputy Chief of Staff of the Air Force, Manpower, Personnel and Services (AF/A1). AF/A1 shall:

1.4.2.7.1. Provide AT guidance for personnel issues.

1.4.2.7.2. Provide specific policy and guidance for documenting pre-deployment AT training and special position or duty qualifications on deployment orders.

1.4.2.7.3. Ensure a system exists for tracking formal AT training IAW AT Standard 24, DODI 2000.16.

1.4.2.7.4. Notify AF/A7S when a deficiency is identified in manpower standards supporting appointed AT positions.

1.4.2.8. Deputy Chief of Staff for Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance (AF/A2). AF/A2 shall:

1.4.2.8.1. Provide policy for planning, programming, training, and budgeting resources necessary to ensure the Air Force has the capability to collect, analyze, produce and disseminate all-source intelligence information to support AT operations. Develop/maintain policy to ensure standardized support IAW AFI 14-119, *Intelligence Support to Force Protection (FP)*.

- 1.4.2.8.2. Coordinate on Air Force, DoD and Intelligence Community (IC) policies affecting intelligence support to the AT mission.
 - 1.4.2.8.3. Maintain the ability to crosstalk with Service HHQs, MAJCOMs and AFNORTH (as the responsible C-NAF), as needed, during CONUS/OCONUS contingencies (i.e., major terrorist attacks).
 - 1.4.2.8.4. Represent the Air Force in Director of National Intelligence (DNI), DoD and IC venues on matters of intelligence and collaborate with HQ AFOSI (SAF/IGX) and AF/A7S on AT information, policy, processes and assessments.
 - 1.4.2.8.5. Review existing and emerging ISR system capabilities to assess their potential for AT mission support.
- 1.4.2.9. Operations, Plans and Requirements (AF/A3/5). AF/A3/5 shall:
- 1.4.2.9.1. Identify AT requirements and desired effects for mission operations.
 - 1.4.2.9.2. Ensure operational reporting procedures for terrorist and AT-related events are established.
 - 1.4.2.9.3. Serve as the OPR for Air Force CARM policies, processes and methodologies and approve and oversee implementation of all AF-wide and DoD Critical Infrastructure Program (CIP) requirements.
 - 1.4.2.9.4. Ensure AT is factored into operational plans.
 - 1.4.2.9.5. Provide guidance and expertise on those aspects of AT related to Counter-Chemical, Biological, Radiological, and Nuclear (C-CBRN) and combating WMD operations IAW AFPD 10-26.
 - 1.4.2.9.6. As the OPR for Continuity of Operations (COOP), ensure continuity is integrated and aligned with AT to ensure the continuation of key missions and functions.
- 1.4.2.10. Deputy Chief of Staff Logistics, Installations, and Mission Support (AF/A4/7). AF/A4/7 is the OPR for Air Force AT policy, guidance, and instruction. AF/A4/7 shall:
- 1.4.2.10.1. Through the HQ USAF/A7S:
 - 1.4.2.10.1.1. Draft and coordinate policy and guidance with the HAF FPSG and appropriate functional experts.
 - 1.4.2.10.1.2. Develop guidance on AT and physical security enhancements.
 - 1.4.2.10.1.3. Monitor program element (PEC) 28047 and coordinate funding for AT initiatives with Air Staff functional experts for AF/A4/7 approval.
 - 1.4.2.10.1.4. Evaluate AT equipment and supplies.
 - 1.4.2.10.1.5. Conduct AT VAs of USAF sites as outlined in Standard 6 in this publication.
 - 1.4.2.10.2. Through the AF/A4L:
 - 1.4.2.10.2.1. Provide Supply Chain Management to fulfill AT requirements.
 - 1.4.2.10.2.2. Monitor logistics readiness of AT program support.

1.4.2.10.2.3. Manage Airmen support requirements (protective equipment, weapons, etc.).

1.4.2.10.2.4. Ensure contracting officers, in coordination with program managers and requirement officials, incorporate AT clauses required by Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS), related supplements, and local AT measures provided as part of the requirement into AF contracts as described in AT Standard 18.

1.4.2.10.2.5. Incorporate AT considerations in the expeditionary support planning program.

1.4.2.10.3. Through the AF/A7C:

1.4.2.10.3.1. Ensure appropriate aspects of the AT Program are integrated with the Emergency Management (EM) Program as defined in AFPD 10-25, and AFI 10-2501, *Air Force Emergency Management (EM) Program, Planning and Operations*.

1.4.2.10.3.2. Serve as the OPR for crisis and consequence management aspects of homeland defense and civil support, and non-medical CBRN passive defense and consequence management programs as part of the EM program. Serve as the OPR for Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) and Fire Emergency Services matters and policy.

1.4.2.10.3.3. Ensure civil engineers (CE) are included in all current and newly-developed AT policies/issues.

1.4.2.10.3.4. Provide MAJCOMs with AT guidance on new and existing construction standards and furnish a core member to the HAF FPSG.

1.4.2.10.3.5. Provide the AF subject matter expertise on UFC AT standards.

1.4.2.11. Communications (SAF/CIO A6). SAF/CIO A6 shall:

1.4.2.11.1. Ensure net-centric communications and information (C&I), to include wireless, radio and satellite communications, identify and link Information Operations capabilities that support the AT Program and enable communications and command and control in the event of a terrorist act.

1.4.2.11.2. Provide AT policy and guidance for mail handling and management.

1.4.2.12. Deputy Chief of Staff Strategic Plans and Programs (AF/A8). AF/A8 shall:

1.4.2.12.1. Provide long-term planning and programming for AT programs and processes.

1.4.2.12.2. Develop, integrate and analyze AT initiatives for AF Future Years Defense Program (FYDP) and long range plan to support the National Military Strategy for Combating Terrorism.

1.4.2.12.3. Ensure AT programming initiatives are considered for operational impact during PPB&E processes.

1.4.2.13. Studies & Analyses, Assessments and Lessons Learned (HQ USAF/A9). AF/A9 shall provide appropriate studies and AT lessons learned from exercises and actual operations and events.

1.4.2.14. ACS Strategic Deterrence and Nuclear Integration (AF/A10). AF/A10 shall ensure that AT standards are maintained or exceeded in nuclear security standards and requirements.

1.4.2.15. Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI). AFOSI shall:

1.4.2.15.1. Conduct counterintelligence (CI) activities, to include operations, investigations, collections, services, production, and analysis of threats from terrorism.

1.4.2.15.2. Maintain liaison and serve as the AF point of contact with federal, state, local, and foreign nation law enforcement, CI and security agencies, for terrorism and other matters falling within the AFOSI mission.

1.4.2.15.3. Provide warning of potential terrorist or unconventional warfare activities that are threats to Department of the Air Force personnel, property or assets worldwide.

1.4.2.15.4. Provide personal protective services for senior U.S., DoD, Air Force and allied officials.

1.4.2.15.5. Manage the USAF Non-Tactical Armored Vehicle Program.

1.4.2.15.6. Assist in the provision of AT training, to include the HRP training required by AT Standard 16.

1.4.2.15.7. Assign an AFOSI Liaison Officer to the Air National Guard Readiness Center staff.

1.4.2.15.8. (**Added-DOVERAFB**) AFOSI Det 306 will support the antiterrorism program through appointed membership in the IFC, TWG, FPWG and Vulnerability Assessment Teams.

1.4.3. MAJCOM, NAF, FOA, and DRU Staffs:

1.4.3.1. Shall manage AT Programs under their control IAW DoD Guidance, this AFI, and applicable GCC AT policies and procedures.

1.4.3.2. MAJCOM ATPMs shall oversee funds provided in PEC 28047 and coordinate funding for AT vulnerabilities identified in CVAMP and other AT initiatives.

1.4.3.3. MAJCOM Staffs shall establish an Antiterrorism Working Group (ATWG) and Antiterrorism Executive Committee (ATEC) to serve as the commander's advisory body on AT policy and program management.

1.4.3.3. (**AMC**) The HQ AMC ATWG and ATEC equivalents are the HQ Force Protection Working Group (FPWG) and Force Protection Board (FPB) respectively, as described in Attachment 9 of this supplement.

1.4.3.4. The Air National Guard Readiness Center NGB/A7SO ATPM will attend the National Guard Bureau J/34 ATWG to serve as the commander's advisory body on AT

policy and program management in lieu of establishing an ATWG and TWG to eliminate duplication of effort.

1.4.3.5. NAF, FOA, and DRU staffs shall participate in the host installation's ATWG to ensure their commander's AT program issues are addressed to the installation commander.

1.4.4. Installations.

1.4.4.1. AT is an installation commander responsibility and must be thoroughly integrated into every unit attached to the installation, to include tenants, sister services, and attached agencies. All installation commanders must appoint an Installation ATO, as well as wing and subordinate commanders must appoint ATRs or liaisons, as organizationally appropriate. All AT personnel must be formally designated and authorized in writing to carry out the installation AT program.

1.4.4.2. Installations shall establish an ATWG and ATEC to serve as the commander's advisory and oversight body on AT policy and program management. At the installation level the ATWG should be combined with the Integrated Defense Working Group (IDWG) and the ATEC should be combined with the Integrated Defense Council (IDC). Consider combining/including other related working groups and councils as appropriate.

1.4.4.2. **(DOVERAFB)** The ATEC and IDC have been combined to form an Installation Force Protection Executive Council (FPEC). Commanders may use the FPEC as a forum to initiate discussion on any AT awareness or readiness concerns. Refer to paragraph 2.12.1 of this Supplement for more detailed information.

1.4.4.3. Commanders at all levels shall develop working knowledge of AT policies and standards and take appropriate measures to protect DoD personnel and assets.

1.4.4.3. **(AMC)** AMC Force Support Squadrons (FSS), Contracting Squadrons (CONs), Communications Squadrons (CS), Intelligence units, Security Forces Squadrons (SFS), Civil Engineer Squadrons (CES), and Installation CARM Managers will develop courses of action and mitigation plans for observations (vulnerabilities and concerns) for which they are the functional OPR identified during the risk assessment process and as directed by the installation ATWG and/or ATEC. Coordinate with the installation ATO to ensure mitigation plans related to vulnerabilities are submitted as described by paragraph 2.2.6. of this instruction.

1.4.4.3. **(DOVERAFB)** Group, squadron, tenant commanders, and staff agency chiefs will:

1.4.4.3.1. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Ensure their unit/agency is in compliance with all AT measures and staff directives. **NOTE:** When a facility is occupied by more than one unit, the senior commander is responsible for ensuring the facility as a whole is in compliance of AT standards.

1.4.4.3.2. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Include AT awareness at Commander's Calls periodically throughout the year.

1.4.4.3.3. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Encourage family members of assigned personnel to obtain Level I AT Awareness training to gain a better understanding of terrorism, threat tactics and employ situation-based personal protection measures well at home-

station or when traveling abroad. Non-CAC users may utilize the Joint Knowledge Online public web site at <http://jko.jten.mil/courses/at11/launch.html>

1.4.4.3.4. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Ensure all DoD personnel under their command requesting non-official personal travel overseas to a high-threat or restricted country must complete the Individual Antiterrorism Travel requirements IAW USNORTHCOM Instruction 10-222, Force Protection Mission and Antiterrorism Program.

1.4.4.4. Force Support Squadrons (FSS) shall:

1.4.4.4.1. Serve as the OPR for incorporating and utilizing AT processes concerning food handling and distribution and will coordinate annual vulnerability assessments with Public Health for all food handling locations.

1.4.4.4.2. Assist in the review of Capability Based Manpower Standards and manpower determinant tools for appointed AT positions as described in AFI 38-201, *Management of Manpower Requirements and Authorizations*.

1.4.4.4.3. Serve as the OPR between the installation and support services tenants such as the Army and Air Force Exchange Service, Defense Commissary Agency, etc.

1.4.4.4.4. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** 436th Force Support Squadron serves as the liaison between the Installation and on-base schools (Welch Elementary and DAFB Middle School) for relaying for warning/threat information and changes to the Installation's FPCON security posture.

1.4.4.5. Contracting Squadrons (CONS) shall:

1.4.4.5.1. Coordinate contracts and contract modifications with the installation ATO to ensure AT considerations and measures are included in all applicable contracts and that all AT standards are complied with. Coordination of contract requirements should be accomplished by the requiring unit before it goes to Contracting.

1.4.4.5.2. Coordinate with the installation ATO to ensure Level I - AT Awareness Training is offered to all contractors. Coordination for training should be done by the requiring unit after contract award.

1.4.4.5.3. Provide any AT-specific contracting measures to the installation ATO for inclusion in the installation's AT Plan.

1.4.4.5.4. Coordinate necessary background investigations for contractors with Installation Information Protection Office and work with the installation ATO and the Defense Force Commander (DFC) to develop mitigation measures that limit the potential threats posed by contractors while on the installation.

1.4.4.5.5. Incorporate AT clauses required by DFARS, related supplements and local AT measures provided at part of the requirement into DoD contracts as described in DoDI 2000.16, Standard 18, AT Measures for Logistics and Other Contracting.

1.4.4.5.5. **(DOVERAFB)** 436th Contracting Squadron (CONS) will support the antiterrorism program through appointed membership in the FPWG and assist the

Wing ATO and ATPM in developing local contract considerations as well as coordination of AT-specific mitigation projects.

1.4.4.6. Communications Squadrons (CS) shall:

1.4.4.6.1. In coordination with the installation ATO, ensure vulnerabilities in information systems are entered into the CVAMP within 90 days of a signed assessment report and documented in the system security certification and accreditation package. The vulnerability must be tracked until resolved or the installation commander documents the acceptance of risk.

1.4.4.6.2. Implement AT policy and guidance for mail handling and management.

1.4.4.6.3. Coordinate CONOPS for all new installation security technologies to ensure employment and integration, requiring communication infrastructure support or network interface.

1.4.4.6.4. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** 436th Communications Squadron (CS) will support the antiterrorism program through liaison with the TWG and appointed membership in the FPWG.

1.4.4.7. Comptroller Squadrons (CPTS) shall:

1.4.4.7.1. Assist commanders in building budget submissions to obtain AT funding (PEC 28047) for validated AT projects.

1.4.4.7.2. Work with the installation ATO to validate funding issues in CVAMP and Automated Civil Engineering System (ACES).

1.4.4.7.3. Assist the ATO in advocating for AT projects at all levels by coordinating with unit financial representatives throughout the installation.

1.4.4.8. Intelligence Unit shall:

1.4.4.8.1. Develop, implement and execute an AT support program as an integral part of the FP program. The program will identify which mission elements and functional areas, both at home and/or deployed, require intelligence support to perform their AT functions and tailor intelligence products to meet customer needs.

1.4.4.8.2. Designate intelligence personnel to participate in unit/base ATWGs, TWGs, and other force protection-associated programs/functions (e.g., IDWG and IFC), as appropriate.

1.4.4.8.2. **(DOVERAFB)** 436 OSS/IN will support the antiterrorism/force protection program through appointed membership to the IFC, TWG and FPWG.

1.4.4.8.3. Provide relevant terrorist threat information, products and services to subordinate units, to include GSUs, units without an organic intelligence capability, AFRC-associated units and tenant units IAW MAJCOM and C-NAF guidance.

1.4.4.8.4. Review the installation AT plan at least annually and write an intelligence annex to identify all required intelligence support and information requirements.

1.4.4.8.5. In coordination with AFOSI and/or Security Forces:

1.4.4.8.5.1. Analyze all-source intelligence information for impact on unit

mission and rapidly disseminate terrorist threat information to subordinate and lateral units, HHQs and other appropriate agencies.

1.4.4.8.5.2. Establish procedures to track IC terrorist threat levels, threat warnings, alerts and advisories for threats at the home station, in deployed locations, or while in-transit to deployed locations (based on approved FP plans submitted by assigned/attached units).

1.4.4.8.5.3. Coordinate intelligence exercise activities and requirements supporting installation exercise objectives. Ensure scenarios facilitate a practical simulation of FP intelligence functions and include realistic mission area threats posed by transnational terrorists.

1.4.4.8.5.4. Support the annual development of the AFOSI Defense Threat Assessment (DTA) and local threat assessments as applicable.

1.4.4.8.5.4. **(DOVERAFB)** 436 OSS/IN will assist AFOSI in developing DAFB Priority Intelligence Requirements (PIRs) as required outside of pre-established collection requirements.

1.4.4.9. Staff Judge Advocate shall:

1.4.4.9.1. Provide legal advice and guidance to the AT program.

1.4.4.9.2. Review all new support agreements such as MOUs, MOAs, or MAAs needed to support the AT program for the legal sufficiency. Thereafter, review support agreements annually.

1.4.4.10. Security Forces Squadrons (SFS) shall:

1.4.4.10.1. Ensure ID incorporates AT standards and contributes to AT planning.

1.4.4.10.2. Accomplish and maintain the Integrated Defense Risk Management Process (IDRMP) IAW AFI 31-101, *Integrated Defense (FOUO)*, with support and coordination from the installation ATO.

1.4.4.10.3. The Security Forces Commander is also referred to as the DFC throughout this instruction and is responsible for all aspects of the installation physical security and resource protection program as part of the Integrated Defense Plan (IDP) and ID effort.

1.4.4.10.4. Coordinate with the installation ATO on planning for random antiterrorism measures (RAM) to counter threat(s), mitigate vulnerabilities and reduce risk.

1.4.4.10.5. Work with the Installation ATO in presenting risk management analysis to the ATWG and ATEC to manage risk and appropriately adjust the protection posture of DoD elements and personnel.

1.4.4.10.5. **(DOVERAFB)** 436 SFS/CC has direct oversight of the Wing's antiterrorism program and will ensure support through the appointment of the Wing ATPM and membership in the TWG and FPWG. Ensure Integrated Defense (ID) plans are fully coordinated and synchronized with the Wing AT program. Establish an Intelligence Fusion Cell (IFC) to support the Installation TWG.

1.4.4.10.6. In coordination with AFOSI and ATO, develop a counter surveillance/surveillance detection plan.

1.4.4.10.6. **(DOVERAFB)** 436 SFS Force Protection Intelligence (S2). The S2 will serve as the Installation IFC and work under the direction of the Installation TWG Chair.

1.4.4.10.6.1. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** The 436 SFS/S2 in conjunction with other information production agencies shall produce substantive Antiterrorism/Force Protection intelligence summaries, threat and informational products for the Wing ATO, ATPM, TWG, FPWG, operations planners, and Wing senior leadership (AW/CC, AW/CV and Group Commander).

1.4.4.10.6.2. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Review all-source intelligence affecting local security postures and recommend courses of action to the TWG.

1.4.4.11. Civil Engineer Squadrons (CES) shall:

1.4.4.11.1. Ensure engineering infrastructure, installation and/or facility design, physical security, resource protection, fire emergency services, EOD, expeditionary engineering, and emergency management mitigation ensure projects supporting vulnerabilities identified in CVAMP are referenced and prioritized in ACES.

1.4.4.11.2. Implement terrorism incident planning for incident response, consequence management and recovery in coordination with the installation ATO and DFC.

1.4.4.11.3. Provide engineering infrastructure protection expertise to counter and mitigate terrorist threats.

1.4.4.11.4. Develop and maintain the installation barrier plan in coordination with LRS, the DFC and ATO.

1.4.4.11.5. Prepare the Design Basis Threat (DBT) IAW UFC 4-010-01, *DoD Minimum Antiterrorism Standards for Buildings* and UFC-4-020-01, *DOD Security Engineering Facilities Planning Manual* with assistance from installation planning team. The specific membership of a planning team will be based on local considerations, but in general, the following functions should be represented: Facility User, CE, ATO, Intelligence, SF, AFOSI, Operations, LRS, and CPTS.

1.4.4.11.6. Provide structural blast analysis using the Vulnerability Assessment Protection Option Program (VAPO) for all critical facilities (CARM Tiers I-III) and new and renewed leased facilities.

1.4.4.11.6. **(AMC)** Ensure at least one engineer is trained in use of the VAPO software.

1.4.4.11.7. Through the Emergency Management Working Group (EMWG) develop and publish an All-Hazards Threat Assessment.

1.4.4.11.8. Serve as the Installation lead for conducting an annual CBRNE VA. Ensure vulnerabilities and observations are entered in CVAMP within 90 days of the signed assessment report. Monitor, track and manage these vulnerabilities until resolved by mitigation or installation commander's documented acceptance of risk.

1.4.4.11.8. **(DOVERAFB)** 436 CES will ensure the annual CBRNE VA is conducted simultaneously with Local Terrorism VA. The CBRNE VA will follow the same timelines as the Local VA in reference to conducting the VA, report writing, finalizing the report, and presenting to the Wing Commander. The Wing Commander will be formally out briefed on the results before any observations are loaded into CVAMP.

1.4.4.11.9. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** 436 CES will support the antiterrorism program through appointed membership in the TWG and FPWG. CES will ensure the Installation Emergency Management Plan (IEMP 10-2) is fully coordinated and synchronized with the Wing AT Plan and Integrated Defense Plan (IDP).

1.4.4.12. Medical Group (MDG) shall:

1.4.4.12.1. Provide medical specialists (Public Health and Bioenvironmental Engineering) to the ATWG and ATEC for AT planning and assessments.

1.4.4.12.1. **(DOVERAFB)** 436 MDG representatives from Public Health, Bioenvironmental Engineering, and Medical Readiness flights will support the antiterrorism program through appointed membership in the TWG and FPWG.

1.4.4.12.2. Serve as the installation lead for conducting annual TIC/TIM and Food/Water VAs. Ensure vulnerabilities and observations are entered in CVAMP within 90 days of the signed assessment report. Monitor, track and manage these vulnerabilities until resolved by mitigation or installation commander's documented acceptance of risk. Ensure assessment reports are formatted for entry into CVAMP.

1.4.4.12.2. **(DOVERAFB)** 436 MDG will utilize DoD standards to identify observations (vulnerabilities or concerns) for inclusion into CVAMP. Track/monitor all open observations until closed or carried over into a new Vulnerability Assessment (VA) report. The Wing Commander will be provided a formal out brief on the VA results for validation and approval to release to HHQ using CVAMP.

1.4.4.12.3. Ensure local assessments per AFI 10-246 are consolidated and conducted at the same time as the local AT Vulnerability Assessment.

1.4.4.13. Installation CARM Manager shall:

1.4.4.13.1. Integrate and synchronize CARM risk management requirements, planning capabilities and guidelines, such as AF Critical Asset Management System (AF-CAMS) and Critical Asset Risk Assessment (CARA) with the installation AT program.

1.4.4.13.2. Share all threat and hazard planning information and CARM Tiers I-III critical asset-related data to support the DFC's overall IDRMP.

1.4.4.13.3. Coordinate through the CARM Working Group and installation commander to the respective MAJCOM CARM Managers in nominating and scheduling CARAs.

1.4.4.13.4. Ensure CVAMP is populated with CARA results, if required, within 90 days of the receipt of the signed assessment report. Monitor, track and manage these

vulnerabilities until resolved by mitigation or installation commander's documented acceptance of risk

1.4.4.13.4. **(AMC)** Develop courses of action and mitigation plans for observations (vulnerabilities and concerns) identified during the risk assessment process and as directed by the installation ATWG and/or ATEC. Coordinate with the installation ATO to ensure mitigation plans related to vulnerabilities are submitted as described by paragraph 2.6. of this instruction.

1.4.4.13.5. Provide other support as outlined in this AFI.

1.4.4.13.6. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** The 436 AW Critical Asset Risk Management (CARM) Program Manager OPR is 436 SFS/S5XA. AF CARM is normally a separate program from AT. However, at DAFB the responsibility for the CARM program is assigned to the Wing ATPM.

1.4.4.14. Public Affairs shall:

1.4.4.14.1. Provide communications advice and counsel to those in charge.

1.4.4.14.2. Establish procedures to handle crisis communications issues.

1.4.4.14.3. Screen information disseminated to the media to ensure operational security and force protection.

1.4.4.14.4. Provide procedures for the proper release of information during crises.

1.4.4.14.5. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** 436 AW/PA will support the antiterrorism program through appointed membership in the Threat and FP working groups, support to FPCON actions/measures, and direct liaison with the Wing ATO and ATPM for periodic AT awareness initiatives.

1.4.4.14.6. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** 436 AW/PA will coordinate all terrorist incident/threat report releases to the media with the Installation's TWG prior to the Wing Commander's approval for release.

1.4.4.14.7. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** In response to a possible or real terrorist threat, the PA representative, after coordination with the Installation's TWG and the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (OASD)/PA, may acknowledge that increased security measures have been taken. Requests for coverage of counter-terrorism forces will not be approved.

1.4.4.14.8. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** 436 AW/PA will prepare pre-approved canned press releases for individual AT Threat Planning scenarios outlined in Table 2.1 of AFI 10-245.

1.4.4.15. Command Post shall:

1.4.4.15.1. Conduct operational reporting IAW AFI 10-206, *Operational Reporting*.

1.4.4.15.2. Establish procedures for information dissemination from GCCs to AF components (i.e., FPCON changes, travel restrictions, advisories, etc.).

1.4.4.16. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Wing Inspector General Office (IGI). The 436 AW/IGI will ensure AT is factored into operational plans, exercise plans, and other

antiterrorism/force protection contingency plans, affecting the security of Installation personnel and operational resources.

1.4.5. Installation Antiterrorism Officers (ATOs).

1.4.5.1. The installation ATO advises the installation commander on AT standards and requirements and manages the AT program at the installation level. The ATO coordinates with other staff offices to ensure the installation AT program is fully integrated with the installation IDP, emergency management plans, and medical contingency response plan (MCRP), installation and tenant continuity of operations plans and other operational/contingency plans and orders.

1.4.5.2. The installation ATO coordinates with the installation plans officer, DFC, Base Civil Engineer, communications officer, medical, and others to ensure that AT program requirements and considerations are factored into planning for programs under their control and authority.

1.4.6. Unit AT Representatives (ATR). ATRs are charged with advising their unit commander on AT issues and managing the AT Program for their unit or DoD element and personnel. Requirements for a unit/DoD element to have an ATR and their responsibilities are outlined in AT Standard 9.

Chapter 2

ANTITERRORISM STANDARDS

2.1. Standard 1: AT Program Elements. The minimum required elements of an AT program shall be: risk management (Standard 3); planning (including development of the AT Plan) (Standard 7); training and exercises (Standard 23); resource application (Standard 30); and comprehensive program review (Standard 31). The development and maintenance of the AT Program elements should be ongoing and continuously refined to ensure the relevance and viability of all measures employed to reduce vulnerabilities to terrorism.

2.2. Standard 2: Intelligence Support to the AT Program.

2.2.1. At the national level, the Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA) sets the DoD Terrorism Threat Level (TTL). This threat level identifies the potential threat to DoD interests in a particular country, including the United States. The DoD TTL applies whether or not U.S. personnel are present in the country. GCCs may also set terrorism threat levels for specific personnel, family members, units, installations or geographic regions in countries within their AOR. See Attachment 3 for more information on TTLs.

2.2.1. (AMC) Identify the tasked individuals/agency in the installation Supplement or Annex B of the AT Plan.

2.2.2. Commanders of MAJCOMs, AF Components to the GCC, C-NAFs, NAFs, wings, installations or separate facilities, and deployed commanders assigned AT responsibility shall:

2.2.2.1. Task the appropriate officials under their command or control to gather, analyze and circulate appropriate terrorism threat information while ensuring all applicable Intelligence Oversight (IO) rules are followed. When local information indicates gaps, commanders shall forward timely requests for information via appropriate intelligence collection and production channels.

2.2.2.2. Identify an Intelligence official as the lead force protection intelligence (FPI) representative.

2.2.2.3. Identify an AFOSI official as the focal point for local or host nation law enforcement intelligence, CI and criminal information.

2.2.2.4. Ensure Intel and AFOSI, in coordination with the TWG, develop AT Priority Intelligence Requirements (PIRs) for integration into the Commander's Critical Information Requirements (CCIRs) to focus collection and analysis efforts in support of installations and units. (NOTE: IO rules apply to CONUS-related PIRs.) Coordinate AT-related requirements, products and services with appropriate AFOSI regions, MAJCOM security forces and Operations personnel, and appropriate critical asset risk management assessors.

2.2.2.4. (AMC) List the Commander's PIRs and CCIRs in Annex B of the AT Plan or document where they can be located.

2.2.2.5. Establish guidance for, program for, allocate resources for, and provide management for all command AT-related intelligence requirements. MAJCOMs should

tailor their responsibilities based upon AT customer requirements, location and mission/area of operation.

2.2.2.6. Develop and implement an Intelligence Support to AT program in coordination with the supported Combatant Command (COCOM) air components, C-NAF, AFOSI Region, and MAJCOM staff elements (e.g., A2, A3/A5 and A4/A7). Ensure units in-transit are provided with tailored terrorist threat information.

2.2.2.7. Establish procedures to rapidly receive, evaluate, analyze and disseminate all relevant intelligence threat data with AFOSI, SF and other Staff Force Protection elements. Ensure procedures are established to track IC terrorism threat levels, terrorism warnings, alerts and advisories.

2.2.2.7.1. Incorporate proactive techniques to detect and deter terrorists, particularly in support of assets or activities conducted in areas designated with SIGNIFICANT or HIGH TTLs. These activities shall include, but are not limited to: In-transit forces, HRP, CARM Tier I and II critical assets, special events and high-value military cargo shipments.

2.2.2.7.2. Ensure all levels of subordinate elements forward up and down the chain of command all information pertaining to suspected terrorist threats or acts of terrorism involving DoD elements and personnel or assets for which they have responsibility, including the provisions to provide such information to appropriate interagency officials.

2.2.2.7.2. (AMC) Installation Commanders will ensure exercise and real-world USNORTHCOM EXERCISE/WHITE PINNACLE/BLUE DART (Immediate Threat Warning) Procedures are developed and implemented IAW USNORTHCOMI 10-222, Chapter 8.

2.2.2.7.3. Assess subordinate intelligence organizations' ability to receive, evaluate, analyze, and disseminate all relevant data on terrorist/extremist activities, trends, and indicators of imminent attack.

2.2.2.8. Direct membership within the appropriate ATWG, TWG and other force protection-related working groups as applicable.

2.2.2.9. Ensure Intelligence for the AT Program is provided by organic Intelligence elements IAW AFI 14-119, AFPAM 14-118, *Predictive Battlespace Awareness: Air and Space Intelligence Preparation of the Operational Environment*, and all relevant guidance and doctrine.

2.2.3. Air Force intelligence, CI and law enforcement elements will coordinate the dissemination of information on U.S. persons as appropriate in support of the AT Program and within the provisions of AFPD 71-1; AFI 71-101, Volume 1, *Criminal Investigations Program*; DoD 5240.1-R, *Procedures Governing the Activities of DoD Intelligence Components that Affect United States Persons*; and AFI 14-104, *Oversight of Intelligence Activities*.

2.3. Standard 3: AT Risk Management. AT risk management shall be applied in all aspects of AT program implementation and planning, including operational plans and decisions, development of risk mitigation measures and the prioritization and allocation of resources. The

essential components of risk management include: determining the criticality of assets (criticality assessment); assessing the terrorist threat (threat assessment); identifying the vulnerabilities of facilities, programs and systems to an attack, including the use of CBRNE (including TIC/TIM) or similar capabilities (vulnerability assessment); assessing risk based on a holistic understanding of the criticality, threat and vulnerability of the asset (risk assessment); and implementing the actions needed to correct or mitigate the risk (countermeasures) and reevaluating risk after countermeasures are employed and/or documenting the commander's acceptance of the risk.

2.3. (AMC) Installation Commanders will review and validate or adjust risk tolerance decisions within 60 days of taking command. The Wing ATO will facilitate the review between the DFC, Wing ATO, and commander.

2.3.1. AT programs shall employ the IDRMP process IAW AFI 31-101, to assess the risk to the installation or facility, because of the presence of terrorist threats, annually or as changes occur.


2.3.1. **(DOVERAFB)** The Wing ForcePRO Manager is responsible for managing and updating IDRMP products IAW AFI 31-101 and is a designated member of the 436 SFS/S5X staff.

2.3.2. Risk management is a continuous process. The risk management process and procedures shall be reviewed by the ATWG and approved by the ATEC annually or as changes occur. See Figure 2.1 for a graphic depiction.

2.3.2. **(DOVERAFB)** The DAFB AT Risk Assessment (RA) process is contained within the Wing AT Plan 10-245 and is the cornerstone of AT Risk Management.

2.3.3. **(Added-AMC)** IAW the AMC Supplement to AFI 31-101, Integrated Defense, the Installation ATO is the OPR for organizing/executing the annual Risk Assessment (Threat Assessment, Criticality Assessment, and Vulnerability Assessment) using the IDRMP process. The DFC is responsible for maintaining the data.

Figure 2.1. AT Risk Management Process



2.4. Standard 4: Terrorism Threat Assessment.

2.4.1. The threat assessment process shall be established consistent with the IDRMP outlined in AFI 31-101, to identify the full range of known or estimated threat capabilities (including the use or threat of CBRNE). These assessments shall be updated on an annual basis or more frequently as the threat environment dictates, or upon changes to the DIA or GCC TTL. Assessments shall be tailored to local conditions. For each group that may pose a threat, at a minimum, the assessment shall provide the following information: the group's intent, tactics, techniques and procedures (TTP), capability, probable course of action (COA), history, and any specific targeting information that may be available. AFOSI is the AF agency responsible for preparing the DTA as prescribed in DoDI 5240.18, *Counterintelligence (CI) Analysis and Production*; DoD O-2000.12-H; and AFI 71-101, Volume 4, *Counterintelligence*.

2.4.1.1. A DBT shall be established for each installation to identify and evaluate the various weapons and tactics most likely to be used by terrorist groups. The DBT will be used to help establish the base's defensive posture and is critical for engineering projects and renovations. In the absence of a credible threat, the baseline UFC standards can be used as the installation's DBT.

2.4.1.1. (AMC) DBTs that are above the UFC baseline should be developed, documented, and maintained in an appendix to Annex B, Intelligence. Use a classified appendix as needed. Use of the baseline UFC standards may be documented in the basic AT plan and/or Annex S, AT Construction Standards.

2.4.1.1. (DOVERAFB) The local DBT is classified and published in the Commander's Integrated Threat Assessment (CITA).

2.4.2. Specific threat assessments are also prepared to support operational planning and risk decisions for unique mission requirements or special events including, but not limited to, in-transit forces, training and exercises, and operational deployments. AFOSI is responsible for preparing specific threat assessments.

2.4.2. (AMC) Accomplish threat assessments for on or off-base special events with more than 300 DoD personnel attending.

2.4.3. Effective processes should be implemented to integrate and fuse all sources of available threat information from local, State, Federal and host nation law enforcement agencies; the appropriate local, State, Federal and host nation intelligence community activities; other local community officials and individuals; the applicable U.S. country team; port authority officials and husbanding contractors, as appropriate, to provide for a continuous analysis of threat information to support the threat warning process IAW Standard 2.

2.4.4. Threat assessments are integrated into the AT risk management process as a major source of analysis and justification for recommendations and implementation of Random Antiterrorism Measures (RAMs); AT enhancements, including physical security, emergency management or FHP changes; program and budget requests; and when conducting AT VAs.

2.4.5. Other targeted Threat Assessments will be reviewed by the TWG and incorporated into AT planning.

2.4.5. **(DOVERAFB)** Upon completion of the annual AFOSI Local Threat Assessment (LTA), the Installation TWG will include it in the Commander's Integrated Threat Assessment (CITA). The CITA will also include the Threat Matrix, Threat Scenarios, local Design Basis Threat (DBT), MANPAD Assessment, Criticality Assessment, and Mission Essential Venerable Areas (MEVAs). The CITA will be reviewed and updated by the Core TWG and presented to the Installation Commander annually.

2.5. Standard 5: Criticality Assessment.

2.5.1. Criticality assessment processes shall be conducted IAW the IDRMP outlined in AFI 31-101, to identify, classify and prioritize missions, including mission-essential personnel, assets and information.

2.5.1.1. Criticality assessments shall also be conducted for non-mission essential assets such as primary gathering buildings (routinely occupied by 50 or more DoD personnel), special events (involving 300 or more DoD members), energy infrastructure and any other facility, equipment, service or resource deemed important by the commander warranting protective measures to ensure continued efficient operation, protection from disruption, degradation or destruction, and timely restoration. Additional guidance for criticality assessments can be found in DoD Antiterrorism Handbook.

2.5.1.2. Criticality assessments shall be updated at least annually to determine the degree of asset criticality. Criticality assessments shall provide the basis for identifying those assets that require specific protective measures and priorities for resource allocation when developing and updating AT-related plans [e.g., AT Plan, Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan (CEMP) 10-2, Contingency Response Plan (CRP), MCRP, IDP, etc.].

2.5.1.2. **(DOVERAFB)** The Installation AT Criticality Assessment will be reviewed annually by the FPWG and updated as needed.

2.5.2. Criticality assessments should be coordinated with affected Defense Critical Infrastructure Program (DCIP) and Air Force CARM, and conducted IAW the principles in DoDD 3020.40, *DoD Policy and Responsibilities for Critical Infrastructure*, and AAFP 10-24, *Air Force Critical Infrastructure Program (CIP)*, and identify defense critical infrastructure and critical assets. Criticality assessments for DCIP and AF CARM assets will be conducted IAW DoDI 3020.45, *Defense Critical Infrastructure Program (DCIP) Management*; DoDM 3020.45, Volume 1, *Defense Critical Infrastructure Program (DCIP): DoD Mission-Based Critical Asset Identification Process (CAIP)*; DoDM 3020.45, Volume 5, *Defense Critical Infrastructure Program (DCIP): Execution Timeline*, and AF implementing publications on a biennial basis or immediately as changes occur.

2.6. Standard 6: Terrorism Vulnerability Assessment. VA processes will be conducted per DoDI 2000.16 or DoD O-2000.12H. VA results will be used IAW AFI 31-101 to provide a vulnerability-based analysis of personnel (mission essential, mass gatherings, etc.), mission essential assets (energy, infrastructure, etc.), and information susceptible to all threats and hazards. All assessment OPRs will coordinate and conduct other wing assessments during the Installation Vulnerability Assessment, (e.g., Food, Water, Toxic Industrial Chemicals/Toxic Industrial Material [TIC/TIM], CBRNE and DCIP assessments) to reduce the impact on operational units.

2.6.1. DoD and COCOM benchmarks will be used to guide all AF VAs. The Installation's IDRMP shall be used to focus VAs when evaluating the installation against DoD/COCOM benchmarks to ensure appropriate criticality and threat factors are considered, unless directed otherwise by the GCC.

2.6.1. **(DOVERAFB)** The Local Terrorism Vulnerability Assessment (LVA) process is contained within the AT Plan and will include all elements required by AMC supplement to AFI 10-245.

2.6.1.1. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** The local Terrorism VA will be led by the Wing ATPM and conducted annually or as directed by the Installation Commander IAW DoDI 2000.16 and AFI 10-245. There are several other local functional areas or special purpose Force Protection related VAs required to be conducted annually by designated base SMEs. The following organizations are designed as the Installation lead agent for other local VAs:

Table 2.5. (Added-DOVERAFB) Installation Lead Agents for Other Local Vulnerability Assessments

Terrorism	OPR: 436 SFS/S5XA (Antiterrorism)
Food Protection	OPR: 436 MDG (Public Health)
Water Protection	OPR: 436 MDG (Bioenvironmental)
Toxic Industrial Chemical/Material (TIC/TIM)	OPR: 436 MDG (Bioenvironmental)
Critical Asset Risk Management (CARM)	OPR: 436 SFS/S5XA (Antiterrorism)
All Hazards (aka CBRNE)	OPR: 436 CES/CEX (Emergency Mgt)

2.6.2. A VA will be conducted at least annually or as threat or mission requirements dictate. Installation ATOs shall report completion of their Local VA to their higher headquarters. Completed VA reports shall be entered into CVAMP and reviewed by the MAJCOM. VAs shall be conducted at a minimum for, but not limited to:

2.6.2. **(AMC)** Installations may report VA completion via the AMC/A7S organizational e-mail box at amc.a7sa@us.af.mil

2.6.2.1. Any AF installation or AF owned or leased facility populated daily by 300 or more DoD personnel.

2.6.2.2. Any AF installation or facility bearing C2 responsibility for emergency response or physical security plans and programs; determined to host defense critical infrastructure or critical assets identified through the DCIP or AF CARM; or using, possessing, transferring, or receiving biological select agents and toxins (refer to DoDI 5210.89_AFI 10-3901, *Minimum Security Standards for Safeguarding Biological Select Agents and Toxins*).

2.6.2.3. Any AF installation, facility, or activity involving interaction with local non-military or host nation agencies.

2.6.2.4. AF-hosted air ports of embarkation (POE) and debarkation (POD); movement routes (air, ground and rail); and assembly, staging, reception and final bed down

locations in support of any battalion, squadron, ship, or equivalent operational deployment; and similar sized in-transit movement or training exercise. AF movement or shipment of military cargo shall be coordinated with the designated senior DoD Component with AT responsibility.

2.6.2.4. (AMC) Joint Base McGuire Dix Lakehurst will accomplish an annual VA of the AMC Passenger Terminal located in Baltimore Washington International Airport. The 62 AW (Joint Base Lewis McChord) will coordinate with the host to accomplish a VA of the AMC Passenger Terminal in the Seattle-Tacoma International Airport.

2.6.2.5. Any AF personnel designated as HRP (Standard 16). These assessments are referred to as Personal Security Vulnerability Assessments (PSVAs). PSVAs will conform to the formats of servicing Protection Providing Organizations (PPO) as identified in DoDI O-2000.22, *Designation and Physical Protection of DoD High Risk Personnel (HRP)*. AFOSI is a designated PPO.

2.6.2.6. Any AF event or activity determined to be a special event involving a gathering of 300 or more DoD personnel. Consider creating a Special Events Assessment Plan or Template tailored for such activities.

2.6.2.6. (DOVERAFB) Unit or Wing Project Officer planning or coordinating any event involving a gathering of 300 people or more will notify Wing ATPM (SFS/S5XA) and 436 SFS/S2 at least 90 days in advance of the expected event for Special Event Assessment (SEA) planning. The Dover SEA Planning Template contained within the AT Plan (Appendix 4 to Annex B to 436 AW AT Plan 10-245), will be used to conduct assessments. Recurring special events hosted by DAFB include; the AF Ball, Wing Annual Awards, Wing Picnic, Tops in Blue, and Wing Change of Command.

2.6.2.7. AF-owned or leased off-installation housing, schools, daycare centers, commissaries, transportation systems and routes used by DoD personnel and their dependent family members when the TTL is SIGNIFICANT or higher, consistent with Standard 3. At locations where there are multiple DoD components or locations that are not AF-owned or leased, VA requirements shall be coordinated with the designated senior DoD Component with AT responsibility.

2.6.3. A VA of installations or facilities with 300 personnel or more on a daily basis shall be conducted by a HHQ team triennially (refer to AFI 10-246 for Food and Water VA).

2.6.4. Information derived from AT VAs shall be classified pursuant to the requirements outlined in the Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) Security Classification Guide for Vulnerability Assessments. Information related to DCIP assets shall be classified pursuant to the requirements outlined in the DCIP Security Classification Guide.

2.6.5. AT vulnerability assessments conducted by the local installation, the AF VA Team (AFVAT), or any other HHQ level, shall include a review of any vulnerability or concern identified in a previous VA (from any source/level) which remains open (i.e., not “accepted” or mitigated).

2.6.6. Mitigation Plan. Within 90 days of a signed assessment report, a mitigation plan addressing any identified vulnerabilities will be developed and released to the first general officer, flag officer or civilian equivalent director in the chain of command. The mitigation

plan shall be staffed or submitted through CVAMP and include: vulnerability identification and prioritization; likely threats/hazards associated with the vulnerability; impact if vulnerability is exploited; action plan to eliminate or reduce risk to an acceptable level and the anticipated residual risk to be accepted by the commander; estimated completion date of the action plan; and resources required.

2.6.7. CVAMP shall be populated with all assessment results within 120 days of the signed assessment report (Neutral and Positive observations are not required to be loaded into CVAMP). Within 240 days of a signed assessment report, all observations must be updated with a plan of mitigation or closed with Commander's risk acceptance. When populating CVAMP, at a minimum attach a copy of the applicable VA outbrief and final report to the record created in CVAMP.

2.6.7. (AMC) Vulnerability data will be entered into CVAMP within 120 days of the assessment completion IAW USNORTHCOMI 10-222, para 27.2.10.2.1. Installations/facilities must input all other observations/concerns, observations/positives identified by the assessment team NLT 90 days after completion of the VA (for annual local VAs) or NLT 90 days following the receipt of the written report (for HHQ VAs) IAW USNORTHCOMI 10-222, para 27.3.3.

2.6.7. (DOVERAFB) HHQ and local VA reports will be managed by the designated/applicable OPR. All VAs will be out-briefed and validated by the Installation Commander. The FPWG will receive a comprehensive briefing on all open VA observations. The OPR will also coordinate with the Wing AT office to identify vulnerabilities and key observations requiring entry into CVAMP. All VA reports will be tracked and managed by the applicable OPR until all observations are closed; acceptance of risk by the Installation Commander or the observation is carried over into a new report.

2.6.7.1. HHQ shall take action (release or return) on appropriate observations within 30 days of installation submittal.

2.6.7.2. Installation ATOs, in conjunction with vulnerability/observation OPR or functional SME, will review and update CVAMP quarterly. The ATWG and ATEC will review open CVAMP vulnerabilities and concerns semi-annually.

2.6.7.2. (AMC) IAW USNORTHCOMI 10-222, paragraph 27.2.10.3.3., Installation ATOs will validate the data in CVAMP monthly. Document ATEC and ATWG CVAMP reviews in the corresponding meeting minutes.

2.6.7.3. Installation Commanders may authorize Installation ATO's "Commanders Privileges" within CVAMP to aid in database maintenance. Such authorization will be in writing, and may be included on the ATO appointment letter. However, this does not relieve the commander from having situational awareness of the data within CVAMP.

2.6.7.3. (AMC) Provide AMC/A7S a copy of the delegation letter. Installation ATO appointment letters may be combined with the CVAMP delegation letters. Post letters to the installation folder on the AMC/A7S AT EIM webpage.

2.6.7.3. (DOVERAFB) The Wing AT office shall have SIPRNET connectivity established for access to CVAMP and classified threat and vulnerability assessment information.

2.6.8. Triennial HHQ VA scheduling for AF installations or assets will be coordinated by the AF VAT annually. HHQ VAs will only be scheduled for the next fiscal year due to limitations put in place by DTRA and COCOM scheduling processes which take precedence over AF scheduling guidance. It is incumbent on MAJCOM ATPMs to nominate installations or assets that meet the criteria in para 2.6.2. through their MAJCOM IG Gatekeepers to the AF VAT. Nominations for the next fiscal year must be submitted to the AF VAT by 15 February to ensure adequate time for de-confliction and submission to DTRA and COCOM annual calls for nominations. Once DTRA and COCOM schedules for the next fiscal year are set, the AF VAT will work with the appropriate MAJCOM IG Gatekeepers and ATPMs to complete any HHQ VA not covered by DTRA or COCOM teams. When the AF VAT is available, AF VA will be conducted in conjunction with MAJCOM Phase 0 Inspections. Since the AF VAT is a low density/high demand team, AF VA will not be scheduled to occur more than 6 months ahead of or behind an installation's triennial due date for the sole purpose of aligning with MAJCOM inspections.

2.6.8.1. The AF VAT scheduling process will incorporate AF CARM Critical Asset Risk Assessment (CARA) requirements. Where possible, AF CARAs and AF VAs will be aligned to assess an installation at the same time to produce a more comprehensive product and minimize impact on the installation.

2.6.8.2. Once a HHQ VA schedule has been published, MAJCOMs and installations should make every effort to abide by the schedule. Cancellations and schedule changes are sometimes costly and difficult to facilitate. Cancellation request procedures for JSIVA will be identified in the DTRA call for nominations message. Cancellations for COCOM/MAJCOM HHQ VA teams will be IAW COCOM/MAJCOM guidance. Cancellations for AF VAs require written justification from the installation Wing Commander through the owning MAJCOM IG to the Commander, HQ AFSFC. The request should include the circumstances which require the assessment cancellation or schedule change and proposed alternate dates. If the AF VAT is unable to accommodate rescheduling the assessment, the MAJCOM is responsible for either completing the HHQ VA with an internal team or working with DTRA or their assigned COCOM to complete the assessment.

2.6.8.3. HHQ VAs conducted by NAF or MAJCOM VA teams will be reported to the AF VAT for tracking and scheduling purposes. Additionally, VA Reports from these assessments will be provided to, and tracked by, the AFVAT.

2.6.8.4. HHQ VAs will be considered complete when signed by the appropriate HHQ authority.

2.6.9. MAJCOMs and AF Components to the GCC will support the GCCs in scheduling assessments and prescribing policies for no-notice or short-notice movements to locations where a VA has not been accomplished or is not current. MAJCOM ATPMs will receive copies of reports on all VAs completed based on Standard 6.

2.6.10. Headquarters Air Force Security Forces Center (HQ AFSFC)/SFOZ (VA) shall provide the HAF FPWG a semi-annual vulnerability and concerns trend report based upon AF VAT reports. MAJCOM ATPMs shall provide units within their command a semi-annual vulnerability and concerns trend update based upon MAJCOM and HHQ

Vulnerability Assessment reports. In addition, MAJCOM ATPMs shall review Installation CVAMP entries semi-annually.

2.6.10. (AMC) HQ AMC/A7S will review Installation CVAMP data monthly.2.7.3. Ensure contingency actions, FPCON measures and support requirements are synchronized with the Integrated Defense Plan.

2.6.11. Local vulnerability assessment team composition can be tailored to the installation needs. The assessment team should consist of a team chief, physical security specialist, structural/infrastructure engineer, terrorist operations specialist, emergency management specialist, medical operations specialist, communications specialist and EOD specialist, when appropriate. Other functional experts may augment the team as needed, depending on the type of assessment required (comprehensive or targeted), the nature of the installation's mission, and the terrorist threat level.

2.7. Standard 7: AT Plan.

2.7.1. Installation Commanders will develop and maintain a comprehensive AT plan for all elements and personnel for which the AF has AT responsibility. Installation AT plans must be cross-referenced, compatible, and synchronized with other base plans (IDP, CEMP, MCRP, etc.). AT plans will not be considered complete unless signed by the commander and exercised. At a minimum, AT plans shall be developed for the installation (integrating separate/leased facilities), for AF operational deployments, training exercise deployments or events, and special events.

2.7.1. (DOVERAFB) Coordination among various components of Antiterrorism/Force Protection (AT/FP) is critical. At a minimum, the following major AT/FP contingency plans and programs shall be synchronized to allow for broad spectrum protection:

2.7.1.1. Installation AT plans will specify requirements for unit AT plans and programs. Air National Guard (ANG) and Air Force Reserve (AFR) tenant units will be integrated into host installation AT plans, and are not required to write their own. However, they will develop an AT plan or instruction for their unit detailing unit procedures based on the host installation's AT plan. ANG and AFR tenant units will appoint an ATR for each unit and will participate fully in the host installation's AT program.

2.7.1.1.1. (Added-DOVERAFB) Integrated Defense Plan (IDP). OPR: SFS/S5.

2.7.1.1.2. (Added-DOVERAFB) Critical Infrastructure Protection (CIP). OPR: SFS/S5X (Wing ATPM).

2.7.1.1.3. (Added-DOVERAFB) Medical Contingency Response Plan (MCRP) and Disease Containment Plan (DCP). OPR: MDG.

2.7.1.1.4. (Added-DOVERAFB) Emergency Management (EM) and Contingency Response Planning.

2.7.1.2. Installation Commanders will review and sign AT plans within 60 days of taking command.

2.7.2. AT principles are incorporated into all operational plans and risk decisions using the standards prescribed by this instruction as a baseline to develop and implement AT policies in support of the AF's unique roles and mission requirements. Table 2.1. incorporates

Presidential Policy Directive (PPD) 8, *National Preparedness*. The OPR will recommend the appropriate planning parameters regarding the scale for AF operations and civil support operations based on the threat. Where A7C (CE) is designated the OPR, refer to AFI 10-2501.

Table 2.1. AT Threat Planning Scenarios

Scenario	Title	OPR
1	Nuclear Detonation – 10-Kiloton Improvised Nuclear Device	A7C (CE)
2	Biological Attack – Aerosol Anthrax	A7C (CE)
4	Biological Attack – Plague	A7C (CE)
5	Chemical Attack – Blister Agent	A7C (CE)
6	Chemical Attack – Toxic Industrial Chemicals	A7C (CE)
7	Chemical Attack – Nerve Agent	A7C (CE)
8	Chemical Attack – Chlorine Tank Explosion	A7C (CE)
11	Radiological Attack – Radiological Dispersal Devices	A7C (CE)
12	Explosive Attack – Bombing Using Improvised Explosive Devices	A7C (CE)
13	Biological Attack – Food Contamination	(Medical)
15	Cyber Attack	CIO/A6 or AFNetOps
16 (AF)	Armed Attack – Small Arms: Indiv to 10 pers (includes active shooter)	A7S (SF)
17 (AF)	Attacks on Aircraft in the Take-off and Landing Footprint	A7S (SF)
18 (AF)	Stand-off Indirect Fire	A7S (SF)
19 (AF)	Sniper Attack	A7S (SF)
20 (AF)	Hostage Crisis	A7S (SF)
21 (AF)	Contamination of Drinking Water System	SG3 (Medical)
22 (AF)	Extended Loss of Energy Supply	A7C (CE)
Note: Scenarios are numbered to correspond to the national scenarios, except for AF added scenarios.		

2.7.3. Tailor AT plans to the level of command or activity for which the AT principles were developed. AT plans should reference (not copy) information in other base plans. At a minimum AT plans shall address:

2.7.3.1. The minimum essential AT program elements (AT Standard 1) and standards prescribed by this instruction to include:

- 2.7.3.2. Risk correction or mitigation measures to establish a local baseline defensive posture. The local baseline defensive posture shall facilitate systematic movement to and from elevated security postures, including the application of RAMs.
- 2.7.3.3. AT physical security measures (Standard 13). These measures, to include the barrier plan, will be contained within the IDP and referenced in the AT plan.
- 2.7.3.4. AT risk mitigation measures for AF off-installation facilities, housing and activities (Standard 15).
- 2.7.3.5. AT risk mitigation measures for HRP (Standard 16).
- 2.7.3.6. AT construction and building considerations (Standard 17).
- 2.7.3.7. AT risk mitigation measures for logistics and other contracting (Standard 18).
- 2.7.3.8. AT risk mitigation measures for critical asset security (Standard 19).
- 2.7.3.9. AT risk mitigation measures for in-transit movements.
- 2.7.3.10. AT incident response measures (Standard 20).
- 2.7.3.11. Terrorism consequence management measures, including CBRNE mitigation planning (Standard 21 and CEMP 10-2).
- 2.7.3.12. FPCON implementation measures, including site-specific AT measures (Standard 22).
- 2.7.3.13. **(Added-AMC)** Address curtailment measures as FPCONs increase. Examples of curtailed functions include: civilian access to the base for military-sponsored events (i.e. weddings, holiday parties, etc.); sports events; bazaars; professional meetings; access to AAFES facilities, college student registration/access and pass control, etc. Actions may be referenced in FPCON Checklist.
- 2.7.3.14. **(Added-AMC)** MANPAD Mitigation Plan.
- 2.7.3.15. **(Added-AMC)** Include Counter Surveillance / Hostile Surveillance Detection Plan in Annex B or reference if in the IDP.
- 2.7.3.16. **(Added-AMC)** Special Event VA Procedures.
- 2.7.3.17. **(Added-AMC)** Include CCIRs and PIRs in Annex B.
- 2.7.4. AT planning information (e.g., airfield, port, and movement route information and criticality, threat, and VA data) for deploying DoD units may be provided by GCCs. GCCs may also direct the execution of advance site surveys to facilitate the AT planning process in areas where the assessed TTL is SIGNIFICANT or HIGH or where a specific terrorism warning is in effect.
- 2.7.4.1. At the discretion of the GCC, such security efforts may be waived for deployments or visits to controlled locations such as existing military installations.
- 2.7.4.2. Augmentation of assessment personnel may be necessary to enable subordinate AF Component commanders to discharge their responsibility to provide security, surveys, assessments, CI and countersurveillance support, and to act as the liaison with the country team, host nation security force, contractors and port authority.

2.7.5. In countries where available, AFOSI special agents are assigned to FP Detachments (FPD) and provide FP and CI services to in-transit DoD personnel. FPDs are mandated to provide surveys, assessments, CI and countersurveillance support, and act as the liaison with the country team, host nation security forces, contractors and port authority.

2.7.6. Coordinate AFOSI support for large exercises, contingencies and special events in foreign countries.

2.7.7. Ensure plans include procedures to expedite responding off-base (civilian and military) first and emergency responders onto the installation during emergencies, under all FPCONs.

2.7.8. **(Added-AMC)** Installation ATWGs and ATECs will review the AT Plan annually or when the threat changes. Plan OPR will document review.

2.8. Standard 8: AT Program Coordination.

2.8.1. GCCs coordinate AT and security matters with the appropriate CoM and host nation authorities for countries within their AOR and with the heads of the other DoD Components whose personnel are stationed in or transit the respective GCC AOR.

2.8.2. AF Component commanders of personnel who will be stationed in or transit the AOR of a GCC shall:

2.8.2.1. Initiate coordination of AT matters with the appropriate GCC pursuant to the requirements established by DoDI 2000.12.

2.8.2.1.1. The senior deployed commander with AT responsibility will designate an ATO in writing to coordinate with the AF Component to the GCC and provide this information through the Unit Deployment Centers with Unit Type Codes (UTCs) assigned for the deployment.

2.8.2.1.2. AF elements of in-transit forces with less than 300 personnel and not deploying as a larger troop movement will comply with the GCC operation order (OPORD) and file an in-transit AT plan with their ATO and commander for approval. This requirement will typically apply to troop movements of 50 or more personnel; however, commanders may lower this threshold at their discretion based on threat or other considerations. Coordinate with MAJCOMs or GCCs to determine additional in-transit AT plan filing requirements. The plan will cover travel from permanent station to the initial assembly or embarkation point, where it becomes the responsibility of the senior officer of a larger movement, such as a troop commander. If the movement does not join a larger force where AT responsibility is transferred, the AT plan must include transit to the deployed location where a commander is designated as having AT responsibility by orders.

2.8.2.1.2. **(AMC)** Ensure the requirements listed in USNORTHCOMI 10-222, paragraph 23.5 are met for each deployment when the deploying element is a squadron or larger deploying OCONUS or in CONUS in support of USNORTHCOM.

2.8.2.1.2. **(DOVERAFB)** When a Wing deployment exceeds 300 personnel, the designated deployment ATO will be responsible for preparing an in-transit AT plan.

2.8.2.1.3. For countries where the AF will be performing temporary duty, commanders will immediately contact the AFOSI unit or ATO responsible for that AOR. AFOSI will provide a specific, tailored threat briefing prior to departure.

2.8.2.2. Coordinate AT matters with local, State, Federal, Tribal, and/or host nation authorities pursuant to existing law, AF and DoD policy to support AT planning and implementation. Where support agreements such as MOUs, MOAs or MAA are needed to support the AT program, ensure they are reviewed annually by the ATWG for currency and executability, and exercised annually (all parties to the agreement should participate in at least one exercise annually).

2.8.3. All tenant units, DoD elements, and privatized support to AF property and activities shall coordinate AT program and plan requirements with the host installation commander or civilian equivalent director. Tenant units, DoD elements, support activities, and separate facilities shall participate fully in the host installation's AT program, to include participation in AT exercises. At locations where there are multiple DoD components, such as DoD-leased facilities or other facilities where DoD occupies space, the designated senior DoD Component, unless otherwise stipulated by the applicable GCC, shall be responsible for integrating and coordinating individual DoD component security plans into a comprehensive installation, separate facility or area-wide AT program.

2.8.3. **(DOVERAFB)** Host-Tenant agreements will include provisions to ensure both DoD and non-DoD tenants comply with Installation AT/FP program requirements.

2.9. Standard 9: AT Officer (ATO).

2.9.1. Commanders will designate, in writing, a Level II-qualified commissioned officer, non-commissioned officer, or civilian staff officer as the ATO (see Standard 26 for criteria). The ATO is the commander's military or civilian advisor charged with managing the AT Program (contractors may not be appointed as primary or alternate ATO for government facilities). The ATO advisory role does not replace a functional manager's or commander's responsibility to execute programs in support of the operational commander's mission. ATOs shall be assigned to:

2.9.1.1. Installation and separate facility (those not supported by a host installation AT Program) or higher levels.

2.9.1.1. **(AMC)** HQ AMC/A7S is appointed as the Command ATO. Day-to-day program management is delegated to A7SOA.

2.9.1.2. Units having 300 or more personnel assigned or under the operational control of a designated commander will have a Level II-certified ATO.

2.9.1.3. Units with an authorized strength of 299 or fewer and separate flights/elements will have a unit ATR appointed in writing by the unit commander or element chief. The Installation Commander will determine how their staff agencies and personnel will be consolidated. Unit ATRs will hold a minimum grade of SSgt (E-5) or civilian equivalent.

2.9.1.3. **(AMC)** As a minimum, Installation ATOs will ensure ATRs receive initial training via ADLS AT Level II Refresher Course and local training. Local training will consist of the Risk Management Process, the AT Plan, RAM program implementation, tracking and reporting, Barrier Planning, Bomb Threat procedures, Managing and

tracking Unit AT Training creating site-specific FPCON Measures, full-scale AT/FP Exercise requirements, CVAMP capabilities and procedures, and annual program review requirements. Installation ATOs will maintain initial ATR training documentation.

2.9.1.3. **(DOVERAFB)** All organizations/agencies on the Installation with more than 25 assigned personnel to include tenants and other DoD agencies, must appoint, in writing or in the Appointment Letter Management Tool (ALMT), a primary and alternate Unit AT Representative (must be an E-5 or above) to serve as the Unit AT/FP subject matter expert and advisor for the unit or agency. Once the primary and alternate Unit AT Reps have been appointed, units will forward their letters to the Wing AT office (436 SFS/S5XA). If the letter is updated in ALMT, the new representatives will send an e-mail indicating the change to the . The appointment letter will contain their full names, security clearances, duty phone numbers, e-mail addresses, and Level II AT Awareness Instructor training date. In addition to local Unit AT Rep training, it is recommended for Unit AT Reps to complete AT Level II computer based training course (<http://www.dss.mil/training/enrol.htm>) within 180 days of appointment. Commanders and agency chiefs should also consider sending Unit AT Reps to an in-residence AT Level II course listed in .

2.9.1.3.1. **(Added-AMC)** All squadron commanders will appoint ATOs or ATRs as identified in para 2.9.1.2. or 2.9.1.3. Group commanders with functionally similar squadrons (e.g., Medical Group, Operations Group, etc.) may centrally manage a group AT program with decentralized execution at the squadron level. The Group ATO will be AT Level II qualified.

2.9.2. All ATOs/ATRs (irrespective to level assigned) shall:

2.9.2.1. Assist the commander in implementing Joint, DoD, GCC and Air Force AT-related doctrine, policy and TTPs. Make recommendations to the commander if supplemental policy and guidance is necessary to execute the commander's AT Program.

2.9.2.2. Recommend guidance, priorities and resourcing strategies for the correction or mitigation of AT vulnerabilities to the ATEC.

2.9.2.3. Review and recommend CCIF submissions to correct or mitigate emergency or emergent AT vulnerabilities through the AF Component to the GCC IAW Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Instruction (CJCSI) 5261.01F, *Combating Terrorism Readiness Initiative Fund*, or CJCSI 7401.1, *Combatant Commander Initiative Fund*, and GCC instructions.

2.9.2.4. Provide AT considerations, to include real-world and exercise lessons learned, to assist the installation/facility exercise evaluation team (EET) in developing realistic and relevant scenarios to exercise and validate the AT program.

2.9.2.5. Collaborate with the TWG to determine if action through warnings, policy & guidance, or organize, train and equip functions are necessary based on worldwide terrorism incidents or threats. The ANG collaborates with the NGB/J34 TWG to determine if action through warnings, policy & guidance, or organize, train and equip functions are necessary based on worldwide terrorism incidents or threats.

2.9.2.6. Work closely with AFOSI and Security Forces to support and advocate the Air Force Eagle Eyes program.

2.9.2.7. Participate in installation working groups such as the IDWG, ATWG, TWG, EMWG, CARMWG, Facilities Board, and Medical working groups as necessary.

2.9.2.8. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** All Unit AT Reps will develop and maintain a Unit AT Continuity Book containing current copies of the following items:

2.9.2.8.1. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Appointment letter(s) or a link to ALMT.

2.9.2.8.2. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Wing/Unit RAM Schedules (provided by Wing AT office).

2.9.2.8.3. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** AT Form 2, Random Antiterrorism Measure Tracking Sheet (Attachment 13).

2.9.2.8.4. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Level I Training Documentation (Attachment 14).

2.9.2.8.5. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Level II training certificate(s).

2.9.2.8.6. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** AT Self-Inspection Program (maintain on file for 24 months).

2.9.2.8.7. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Facility Information (i.e., Bomb Threat Evacuation Plan, Shelter In-Place Procedures, Active Shooter Response Plan).

2.9.2.8.8. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Basic Unit level AT Plan.

2.9.2.8.9. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Wing FPCON Checklists.

2.9.2.8.10. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Unit-specific FPCON Checklists.

2.9.2.8.11. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Publications/plans pertaining to AT, at a minimum: DOD Directive 2000.12, DoD Directive 2000.16, DoD 2000.12H, AFI 10-245, AMC Sup 1 to AFI 10-245, Dover Sup 1 to AFI 10-245, Wing AT Plan 10-245 and Integrated Defense Plan, OPlan 31-101. NOTE: All reference publications are located on the Unit AT Rep EIM share point.

2.9.3. The following are the requirements and responsibilities for installation and wing-level (and higher) ATOs:

2.9.3.1. The minimum grade of these ATOs shall be O-3, E-7, GS-12 or equivalent. At units or deployed locations where the rank requirements cannot be met, the priority for selecting an ATO should be based on AT expertise and certification. In order to effectively coordinate on threat data with AFOSI and A2 Force Protection Intelligence (FPI) representatives, the Installation ATO requires appropriate clearance and access to Top Secret (TS), Sensitive Compartmented Information (SCI), and Human Intelligence (HUMINT) Control System (HCS) and Gamma (G) data. Individuals requiring these clearances will work through their unit and MAJCOM A1 to change their Unit Manning Document (UMD) position(s) to reflect the needed clearances.

2.9.3.2. Installation ATOs will be assigned to the commander's immediate staff for access to the commander or a senior officer on the commander's immediate staff.

2.9.3.3. Ensure CVAMP is populated with all observations from assessments and program review reports IAW this instruction. Additional functional databases may be used for follow-on project information and tracking, but a reference note must be documented in the vulnerability observation within CVAMP. Coordinate with functional commanders to ensure AT-related observations (vulnerabilities and concerns) are entered in, and decisions or actions are tracked in, CVAMP.

2.9.3.4. Ensure CVAMP is used to identify and track AT resourcing actions and appropriate vulnerabilities are submitted to the owning MAJCOM or HHQ for AT funding assistance. Where emergency or newly-emergent requirements occur, recommend and document requests/concerns for CCIF funding IAW para 2.9.2.3. of this publication.

2.9.3.5. DCIP and AF CARM critical asset data and CARA after-action reports will be populated and tracked by the CARM Manager in AF-CAMS.

2.9.3.6. Monitor and manage funds provided in PEC 28047 and coordinate funding for AT vulnerabilities identified in CVAMP and other AT initiatives.

2.9.3.7. Assist the DFC's staff in facilitating AT issues before the IDWG or ATWG and IDC or ATEC.

2.9.3.8. Coordinate with intel and AFOSI representatives to ensure the commander's CCIRs and PIRs are developed.

2.9.3.9. Assist the CES in the development of DBTs

2.9.3.10. Coordinate for local and HHQ VAs.

2.9.3.11. Coordinate multifunctional teams of Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) when conducting required AT assessments (Vulnerability, Criticality, and Threat Assessments). Provide results of each assessment to the designated Security Forces POC for inclusion in the Integrated Defense Risk Management Process (IDRMP)

2.9.3.12. In conjunction with unit ATRs and functional representatives, facilitate interaction for developing plans and programs that support seamless capability application and de-confliction of resources for terrorist incident management.

2.9.3.13. Direct the installation RAM program in close coordination with the installation DFC.

2.9.3.14. Provide ATRs with electronic and/or hardcopy materials for use in unit continuity binders. Consider utilizing technology (i.e., SharePoint, Community of Practice, Shared Drives, etc) to establish electronic master continuity books to facilitate updates and standardization of unit binders.

2.9.3.15. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** In addition, the Installation ATPM will:

2.9.3.15.1. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Disseminate AT/FP advisories, intelligence summaries, warnings, training information and policy guidance.

2.9.3.15.2. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Advise the Installation Facilities Board, Force Protection Executive Council, and Emergency Management Working Group on AT/FP matters.

- 2.9.3.15.3. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Represent the Wing Commander at various meetings and forums (e.g., State Homeland Security Council, DHS Critical Infrastructure, etc.)
- 2.9.3.15.4. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Lead AT Criticality Assessments and prepare a prioritized listing of assets for 436 AW/CC review.
- 2.9.3.15.5. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Serve as the Wing OPR for the Force Protection Working Group (FPWG) and lead the Terrorism Vulnerability Assessment.
- 2.9.3.15.6. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Serve as a core member of the Threat Working Group (TWG) and assume Chair duties during the absence of the SF/CC (DFC).
- 2.9.3.15.7. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Prepare and staff the quarterly (90-day) Random Installation Entry Vehicle Inspection RAMs (as directed by FPCON Normal Measure 2.1) to the MSG/CC or CD for signature. Once the RAM schedules are approved, the Wing ATPM will distribute to SFS Operations for execution.
- 2.9.3.15.7.1. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** A minimum of two non-Security Forces related Wing Installation Entry (Vehicle Inspection) RAMs will be accomplished daily. These RAMs will be annotated in the daily SFS Blotter. Wing AT office will monitor/track RAMs for compliance.
- 2.9.4. The following are the responsibilities for unit-level ATRs:
- 2.9.4.1. Ensure FPCONs are implemented and report status to the installation or separate facility ATO as prescribed in the installation or separate facility AT plan.
- 2.9.4.2. In coordination with the Unit Training Monitor, track unit members' completion of initial and annual-refresher Level I - AT Awareness Training and update the unit commander on compliance.
- 2.9.4.3. Assist the unit deployment officer in attaining pre-deployment country threat briefs for deploying personnel if not provided through the Unit Deployment Center.
- 2.9.4.4. Assist in scheduling and tracking RAM implementation within their unit and provide this information to the installation or separate facility ATO as prescribed in local guidance.
- 2.9.4.4. **(DOVERAFB)** Ensure RAMs are conducted in accordance with current distributed Wing RAM listing and report problems to Wing AT office.
- 2.9.4.5. Assist the installation ATO with AT Program implementation, where applicable.
- 2.9.4.6. Ensure unit personnel (military and civilian) receive appropriate threat awareness information prior to departing the Continental United States (CONUS), or if traveling OCONUS between countries. Encourage the same for dependent family members.
- 2.9.4.7. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Unit AT Reps will advise their commander and monitor their unit for FPCON implementation. The Unit AT Rep should work closely with the Unit Control Center (UCC) to ensure directed FPCON measures are fully executed within unit areas and report status to the Installation Control Center (ICC)/Crisis Action Team (CAT).

2.9.4.8. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** In addition, Unit AT Reps will:

2.9.4.8.1. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Coordinated with the Wing AT Office and complete DAFB initial training and program overview within 60 calendar days of appointment.

2.9.4.8.2. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Communicate/disseminate relevant AT information to unit personnel in a timely manner.

2.9.4.8.3. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Prepare and maintain a Unit AT Continuity Book IAW this supplement.

2.9.4.8.4. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Initiate work orders, recommend policy, or otherwise assist in mitigating identified unit vulnerabilities as required. Coordinate AF Form 332s (CE Work Orders) with unit Facility Managers, as needed.

2.9.4.8.5. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Review proposed renovations and new construction within the unit for compliance with AT standards per UFC 4-010-01.

2.9.4.8.6. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Conduct an annual review of the Wing AT plan and this supplement or as required.

2.9.4.8.7. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Ensure a Self-Assessment (SA) of the unit's AT program is conducted semi-annually, in conjunction with Wing SAs. AT SAVs are suitable substitutes for unit-level self assessments. Unit AT reps will utilize the 436 AW Unit Antiterrorism Program Self-Assessment Checklist provided by the Wing AT office. The Unit Commander or Agency Chief will sign the Self-Assessment memorandum; Unit AT Reps will maintain a written record of Self-Assessments for at least 24 months within the Unit AT Continuity Book.

2.10. Standard 10: AT Working Group (ATWG).

2.10.1. Multi-functional ATWGs shall be established at AF installations and self supported separate facilities, AF-managed facilities, and higher headquarters that meet at least semi-annually or more often if needed to address AT program issues. ATWGs oversee the implementation of the AT program, develop and refine AT plans and address emergent or emergency AT program issues, and review open vulnerabilities and concerns. The ATWG recommends COAs to the ATEC; develops AT-related policy, TTPs and guidance; clarifies AT roles and responsibilities; conducts long-range planning and recommends resourcing requirements; and addresses emergent or emergency requirements through the CCIF or CbT RIF.

2.10.1. **(DOVERAFB)** The Wing ATPM will schedule, record and facilitate the FPWG meetings. DAFB's FPWG combines the Antiterrorism, Critical Asset Risk Management (CARM), Integrated Defense, and Emergency Management working groups into a single advisory body for all AT/FP matters.

2.10.1.1. At the installation level, the ATWG should be combined with the Integrated Defense Working Group (IDWG), refer to AFI 31-101. Ensure meeting minutes clearly identify AT-related agenda items so that a record is maintained of AT-related meetings, decisions, discussions and activities.

2.10.1.2. Maintain a minimum of the last 12 months of meeting minutes on file. Coordinate with other working groups as appropriate to eliminate redundant records/efforts. Units will ensure they allow for local Table and Rule within their respective file plans and maintain this reference data for unit continuity and action as required.

2.10.1.2. **(AMC)** Post ATWG minutes in the installation folder on the AMC/AT EIM site when signed.

2.10.2. The chairperson for the ATWG will be designated by the Installation Commander. The ATWG is usually composed of the ATO, DFC, CARM Manager, Intelligence, AFOSI, and Emergency Management. Consider including other functional level expertise and appropriate representation from external Law Enforcement agencies and the Intelligence Community as needed. The chair and mandatory members of the ATWG will be designated in writing by the installation commander. Security clearance requirements for members also will be established by the installation commander.

2.10.2. **(DOVERAFB)** The FPWG is chaired by the 436 MSG/CC or MSG/CD (if delegated) and will meet quarterly or as needed. The FPWG serves as the Installation focal point for identifying Installation vulnerabilities, and developing plans, policy, and physical methods of mitigating or eliminating vulnerabilities. The FPWG will also identify and prioritize funding requirements for projects or equipment needed in support of the Installation's AT and CIP programs. The FPWG will report Installation vulnerabilities, mitigation, elimination or recommendation risk acceptance as well as funding requirements to the Installation Commander and Force Protection Executive Council (FPEC).

2.10.2.1. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Specialized or ad-hoc FPWG meeting may be called/convened at the Chair's or Wing ATO's discretion and will meet as needed to address specialized or unique AT/FP issues, concerns, or requirements. Examples of issues working groups may be formed to address include but are not limited to: FPCON checklists, Core Vulnerability Assessment Management Program (CVAMP), barrier planning, and Commander's Initiative Fund proposals, etc. When formed, subject matter experts (SMEs) from appropriate disciplines may be added to supplement the FPWG. These personnel do not require formal appointment and will participate only as long as the ad-hoc working group is needed.

2.10.2.2. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Members of the FPWG are subject matter experts (SMEs) in their functional area and represent their respective commanders as decision-makers on AT/FP issues. See (Attachment 11) for specific roles and responsibilities. Membership of Dover's FPWG includes the following organizations or as directed by the Chair:

2.10.2.3. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Units/agencies directed to participate in the Installation FPWG will appoint a primary and alternate FPWG member (Company Grade Officer, E-7 and above, or civilian equivalent) with at least a "Secret" security clearance, in writing to the Wing AT office using ALMT or a properly formatted memorandum. NOTE: Because specific expertise is required to formulate viable plans, projects, and recommendations, some units may require more than one functional representative.

2.10.3. At the HAF level, the ATWG is combined with the Force Protection Working Group (FPWG). AF/A7SO chairs the HAF FPWG. The HAF FPWG is an action officer-level group, meeting semi-annually, or more frequently as needed, to work FP issues as directed by the HAF FP Steering Group, and to coordinate and integrate Force Protection efforts among the members, to include AT efforts. Similar procedures should be implemented at the MAJCOM level.

2.10.3. (AMC) The HQ AMC ATWG equivalent is the AMC Force Protection Working Group (FPWG). The FPWG membership and meeting requirements are contained in Attachment 9.

2.11. Standard 11: Threat Working Group (TWG).

2.11.1. A multi-functional TWG shall be established at AF installations, self supported separate facilities, and higher headquarters which meets as needed to analyze and counter an emergent threat. The TWG is required to meet at least quarterly or more frequently, depending upon the threat activity. The TWG should be activated at least semiannually in response to Wing level Antiterrorism exercises. TWGs develop and refine terrorism threat assessments and coordinate and disseminate threat warnings, reports, and summaries.

2.11.1. (AMC) Installation ATOs will maintain TWG meeting minutes for one year.

2.11.1. (DOVERAFB) The DAFB Threat Working Group (TWG) is organized IAW the Charter (Attachment 12). The TWG is the Installation Commander's primary focal point for identifying immediate threats to the Installation and will meet at least quarterly. The TWG will gather, analyze, and disseminate terrorist threat information and develop recommended courses of action to mitigate or counter such threats.

2.11.2. The TWG will be chaired by the installation commander (or a designated representative) and is composed of the DFC, ATO, Intelligence, AFOSI, Security Forces (S2) (identified in AFI 38-101), Public Affairs, and Legal (additional membership can be added based on the threat). Security clearance requirements for members will be established by the commander.

2.11.2. (AMC) The HQ AMC TWG process consists of a TWG and CONUS TWG (CTWG). The TWG is led by A2 IAW HQ AMCI 14-106, Threat Working Group. The TWG is primarily focused on OCONUS operational mission protection. Members of the CTWG are AFOSI Region 3, AMC A7SO, A7SOA, and A2. Additional members may be added from the FPWG as needed. The CTWG reviews and analyzes CONUS threats to AMC installations/personnel and makes recommendations to the CTWG Principles. Minutes are maintained within the daily TWG minutes.

2.11.2. (DOVERAFB) The 436 SFS Commander has been designated as the Chair of the TWG and the Wing ATPM as the Deputy Chair. The following are core TWG members; DFC, SF Operations (S-3), Wing ATPM, AFOSI, Wing Intel (OSS/IN), FP Intelligence (SFS/S2), and MDG (PHEO). Ad hoc members of the TWG consist of; 436 CS, 436 CES/CEX, 436 CES/EOD, 436 MDG (Public Health & Bioenvironmental), 512 AW/ATO, and 512 AW/IN. The Installation Commander or TWG Chair may add members from other agencies such as 436 FSS, 436 AW/PA, and 436 AW/JA (not all inclusive) as appropriate, to enhance the TWG or address specific threats or COAs. TWG members are required to possess and maintain at least a "Secret" security clearance.

2.11.2.1. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** The TWG is managed by the Wing ATPM and meets quarterly or as directed by the Chair.

2.11.3. The Information Fusion Cell (IFC) as identified in AFI 31-101 may be leveraged to fill threat information gaps in order to facilitate the COA development process.

2.12. Standard 12: AT Executive Committee (ATEC).

2.12.1. An AT executive-level committee will be established at AF installations, self supported separate facilities, and higher headquarters that meets at least semi annually or as directed by the commander (i.e., emerging threats, IDRMP, etc.). The goal of the ATEC is to develop and refine AT program guidance, policy and standards; act upon recommendations of the ATWG; and determine resource allocation priorities to mitigate or eliminate terrorism-related vulnerabilities.

2.12.1. **(DOVERAFB)** All Installation AT/FP issues should be closely coordinated with the Force Protection Executive Council (FPEC). On Dover AFB the FPEC combines the Integrated Defense Council with the Antiterrorism Executive Committees. The FPEC is chaired by AW/CC or AW/CV and consists of senior members of the Installation Commander's staff. The task-organized composition should be tailored to provide the appropriate level of oversight for the Dover AFB AT program and plan. All members of the FPEC will possess and maintain at least a "Secret" security clearance.

2.12.1.1. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** The FPEC meets semi-annually or as directed by 436 AW/CC.

2.12.1.2. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Membership of the FPEC will include:

2.12.1.2.1. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Voting Members: 436 AW/CV, 436 MSG/CC, 436 MXG/CC, 436 OG/CC, 436 MDG/CC, and 512 AW/CC.

2.12.1.2.2. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Non-Voting Members: 512 MSG/CC, 436 AW/DS, 436 SFS/CC, 436 CES/CC, 436 CS/CC, 436 LRS/CC, Wing ATA, AFOSI Det 306, 436 OSS/CC, AFMAO, JPED, AFMES and any members of the FPWG applicable to the scheduled discussion.

2.12.2. The ATEC will be chaired by the installation commander (or a designated representative) and is composed of the group commanders (or designated representatives), and is supported by the ATO, DFC, Intelligence, AFOSI, Security Forces (S2), Communications, Information Protection, Emergency Management, Critical Infrastructure, EOD, Logistics Readiness, Medical Readiness, Public Affairs, Legal, Air Field Operations, Financial Management, major tenants, and supported Components on a Joint Base consistent with parent Service directives and local MOUs. The chair and mandatory members of the ATEC will be designated in writing. Security clearance requirements for members will be established by the commander.

2.12.3. On AF installations and self supported separate facilities, the ATEC should be combined with the IDC. Ensure meeting minutes accurately identify which decisions and areas of discussion are related to the installation AT program. Maintain at least the past 12 months of meeting minutes on file. Coordinate with other working groups to eliminate redundant records/efforts. Units will ensure they allow for local Table and Rule within their respective file plans to maintain this reference data for unit continuity and action as required.

The ATEC will conduct a semi-annual review of all AT deviations, local FPCON measures, Installation Risk Assessments, open CVAMP observations, Wing AT plan and Wing RAM execution.

2.12.3. (AMC) Post ATEC minutes in the installation folder on the AMC/AT EIM site when signed.

2.12.4. At the Headquarters Air Force level, the ATEC shall be constituted within the HAF FPSG. AF/A7S chairs the HAF FP Steering Group. The HAF FPSG is a multi-discipline body at the general officer/senior executive service-level, chartered to meet at least semi annually to improve doctrine, policy, tactics, techniques and procedures for FP operations. This structure may be mirrored at the MAJCOM level.

2.12.4. (AMC) The HQ AMC ATEC equivalent is the AMC Force Protection Board (FPB). The FPB membership and meeting requirements are contained in Attachment 9.

2.13. Standard 13: AT Physical Security Measures.

2.13.1. Principles of AFI 31-101, DoD 5200.08-R, *Physical Security Program*, and Antiterrorism Handbook, are applied and fully integrated into AT plans to ensure employment of a holistic security system to counter terrorist capabilities. Well-designed physical security measures are multi-layered and include the integration and synchronization of the essential elements of detection, assessment, delay or denial, communication and response. The development of comprehensive physical security measures requires the integration of facilities, physical security equipment, trained personnel, biometrics entry control equipment, procedures oriented at a minimum in support of perimeter and area security, access and egress control, protection against CBRNE attacks (including those using the postal system and food/water supplies), HRP protection, barrier plans, and facility standoff distances.

2.13.2. Tenant unit security plans and measures, to include those of non-USAF elements, shall be coordinated and integrated into the host installation IDP and referenced in the AT plan.

2.13.2. (DOVERAFB) Major tenant units (AFOSI Det 306, AAFES, DeCA, AFMAO, AFMES, JPED, and 512 AW) will prepare plans IAW agency guidance and provide an updated copy to Wing AT Office annually.

2.13.3. Security Forces employing Explosive Detection Equipment (EDE) must be trained on employment of EDE and ensure sufficient consumables are maintained to allow random or spot inspections.

2.13.3.1. The Navy has been designated as DoD lead for the Explosive Detection Equipment RDT&E Program. Reports can be accessed at: <https://www.us.army.mil/suite/folder/9892268>. Must register for an AKO account first, then ask for privileges to folder.

2.13.4. Ensure installation access control points and associated barrier plans are constructed and operated IAW the Antiterrorism Handbook; UFC 4-022-01, *Security Engineering: Entry Control Facility/Access Control Points*; DTM 09-012, *Interim Policy Guidance for DoD Physical Access Control*; and AFI 31-113, *Installation Perimeter Access Control*.

2.13.4.1. Installations will enforce proper standoff by employing UFC 4-010-01 and Antiterrorism Handbook recommended barriers. Where there is a threat from a moving vehicle and continuous operation is mission essential, or the occupants cannot be evacuated, the type of barrier chosen must prevent a moving vehicle from encroaching into the standoff area.

2.13.4.2. Barrier plans also identify responsibility for executing the barrier plan and establish timelines for implementation.

2.13.4.2. **(DOVERAFB)** The Installation Barrier Placement Plan is contained within the Wing AT Plan, Part 3 (OPlan 10-245).

2.14. Standard 14: Random Antiterrorism Measures (RAM).

2.14.1. The RAM program is developed and implemented as an integral component of the overall AT program and guided by the principles outlined in the Antiterrorism Handbook. To maximize the effectiveness and deterrence value, RAMs should be developed to counter risk from threats identified in the installation Threat Assessment, and implemented without a set pattern, either in terms of the measure selected, time, place or other variables. Lessons learned have highlighted unpredictability in security activities as one of the best and most cost effective deterrents available to a commander. Randomly changing AT measures enable integrated defenses to appear formidable and prevent threats from easily discerning and predicting patterns or routines that are vulnerable to attack. RAMs, at a minimum, shall consist of the random implementation of higher FPCON measures, to include MAJCOM or locally developed site-specific measures. Random use of other security measures, to include technologies, should be used to supplement FPCON measures. The RAM program shall be included in AT plans.

2.14.2. When developing and implementing an effective installation RAM program:

2.14.2.1. Include tenant units and organizations.

2.14.2.1. **(DOVERAFB)** All units, agencies and tenant organizations assigned to Dover AFB are required to comply with and participate in the Wing Random Antiterrorism Measure (RAM) program. Unit Commanders or Agency Chiefs are responsible for ensuring compliance with the Wing RAM program. The Wing RAM program seeks to deter terrorist attacks on DoD facilities and personnel by varying security routines and bolstering our daily protective measures. These increased actions help obscure the security posture or "foot print" of our installation. RAMs introduce uncertainty to the Installation's overall security program to defeat terrorist surveillance and make it difficult for a terrorist to accurately predict our actions. This is accomplished by employing measures from higher Force Protection Conditions (FPCONs) to supplement the current FPCON measures already in place.

2.14.2.2. Implement daily RAMs to include weekends and holidays. The frequency will be increased as the threat increases. At least three RAMs chosen from higher FPCONs are required daily.

2.14.2.2. **(AMC)** The three daily RAMs are exclusive of RAMs accomplished by the Security Forces.

2.14.2.3. Consider implementing RAMs to mitigate and reduce risk for existing vulnerabilities.

2.14.2.3. **(AMC)** The ATWG will develop site-specific RAMs that address residual risks identified in the Installation Risk Assessment.

2.14.2.4. RAM implementation shall be compatible and coordinated with ongoing law enforcement or CI surveillance detection and ID measures. When random vehicle inspections (RVI) are employed as a RAM, ensure pre-coordination with the installation legal office. Follow existing law enforcement rules when employing RVIs as a RAM.

2.14.2.5. Consider methods to make RAMs visible in order to confuse or expose enemy surveillance attempts and preoperational planning.

2.14.2.6. Make efforts to include local, State, Federal and host nation law enforcement patrols and first responders, as appropriate.

2.14.2.7. Implement RAMs installation-wide or focused on facilities or functions identified as potential targets. When implementing facility-specific RAMs, consider the possibility increased security on a particular building may signal its importance.

2.14.2.8. Installation RAM implementation efforts shall be monitored, tracked and analyzed by the installation ATO. Effectiveness of RAMs should be measured by the ATO and analyzed by the TWG.

2.14.2.8. **(AMC)** Installation ATOs will track Security Forces RAMs as part of the analysis.

2.14.2.8. **(DOVERAFB)** Unit AT Representatives have been designated OPRs for the execution of Wing-directed RAMs. In addition to the Wing-directed RAMs, Units/agencies are required to employ a unit or functional specific RAM once a week. Document both measures using the DAFB AT Form 2, RAM Tracking Sheet (Attachment 13). In the event the RAM cannot be conducted at the directed time because personnel are not on duty, contact the AT office for a supplemental measure, and date and annotate the AT Form 2 with a detailed reason for non-compliance. Maintain the AT Form 2 in the Unit AT Continuity Book and forward a copy to the Wing AT office within 2 duty days of completing RAMs.

2.14.2.8.1. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** The Wing AT office is responsible for developing and distributing the Wing RAM listings. The listing will be accomplished quarter (90 day schedule) and sent to Unit AT Representatives for execution.

2.14.2.8.2. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Unit AT Representatives will annotate completed Wing-directed RAMs on the DAFB AT Form 2 RAM Tracking Sheet (Attachment 13) and provide them to the 436 AW/AT office on the 2nd of each month or first duty day following a weekend.

2.14.2.8.3. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Unit AT Representatives will maintain a copy of completed DAFB AT Forms 2 within their Unit AT Rep Continuity Book for at least 12 months.

2.15. Standard 15: AT Measures for AF Off-Installation Facilities, Housing and Activities.

2.15.1. Installations shall include specific AT measures for AF-owned or leased off-installation facilities, housing, transportation services, daycare centers, and other activities used by or involving a mass-gathering of DoD personnel and/or their dependent family members in their overall AT programs. At locations where there are multiple DoD components or locations, AT measures shall be coordinated with the designated senior DoD Component with AT responsibility.

2.15.1.1. **(Added-AMC)** For every DoD-owned, leased, or managed off-installation facility, appoint either a Designated Official (DO) or Senior Official (SO), who shall have FP responsibility IAW USNORTHCOMI 10-222, para 17.1.2.

2.15.1.1. **(DOVERAFB)** Local off-base Hotel Assessments will be coordinated, scheduled, tracked, and lead by the 436th Force Support Squadron (FSS). Prior to the 436th Contracting Squadron issuing any new Blanket Purchase Agree (BPA) for off-base lodging, a comprehensive facility assessment will be conducted by a cross-functional team of subject matter experts (SMEs); AFOSI, AT, Contracting, Fire Dept, FSS, Public Health, and SFS will ensure the facility is acceptable for occupancy by DoD personnel. In addition, the cross-functional team will also conduct a formal assessment every 5 years to recertify the BPA.

2.15.1.2. **(Added-AMC)** For non-DoD-owned, leased, or managed facilities occupied by DoD Personnel, the Senior Official will ensure FPCON Measures are implemented in the DoD-occupied portions of the building IAW USNORTHCOMI 10-222, para 17.1.3.2.

2.15.1.2. **(DOVERAFB)** Each cross-functional SME will develop a standardized checklist for their functional area. These checklists will be used during off-base Hotel Assessments and results will be provided to the FSS lodging representing heading the initial BPA review or recertification. All checklists will be reviewed annually and provided to the Wing AT office.

2.15.1.3. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** Security specialists from AFOSI, SFS and AT have developed a Hotel Assessment Security Checklist (Attachment 18) for use during Hotel Assessments. 436 SFS/S2 (Force Protection Intelligence) is designated as the Wing security lead to conduct Hotel Assessments (initial and 5 year recertification) and will consult with AFOSI and AT, if needed.

2.15.1.4. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** All off-base lodging BPAs require an annual review/recertification. During annual off-base lodging follow-up assessments; the FSS representative will utilize the Hotel Assessment Security Checklist and report any unacceptable conditions immediately to the Contracting Squadron, applicable base agencies and the 436 SFS/S2 if a security issue is identified. All off-base Hotel Assessment reports will be submitted to the Contracting Squadron for inclusion into the facility BPA folder.

2.15.2. Risk mitigation measures for AF-owned or leased off-installation facilities, housing and activities shall include, but are not limited to: emergency notification and recall procedures, guidance for selection of off-installation housing, temporary billeting and other facility use (including compliance with UFC 4-010-01 for leased, newly-constructed and expeditionary buildings), physical security measures, CBRNE defensive measures and

shelter-in-place (ensure consistency and interoperability with CEMP 10-2), and relocation and evacuation procedures.

2.15.3. Develop appropriate support agreements (e.g., MOU, MOA, MAA) with appropriate federal, state, local, or tribal/host nation authorities to coordinate security measures, response capabilities, and assistance requirements.

2.15.3.1. Ensure such agreements are reviewed annually by the OPR, ATWG and JA for currency and executability. They should be exercised annually and all parties to the agreement should participate in at least one exercise annually.

2.15.3.2. It is the specific responsibility of the support agreement OPR to coordinate the support agreement and ensure one copy is filed with the installation office of primary responsibility for support agreements.

2.16. Standard 16: AT Measures for High-Risk Personnel (HRP).

2.16.1. Develop AT measures pursuant to the principles outlined in DoD O-2000.12-H, DoDI O-2000.22, and AFI 71-101, Volume 2, *Protective Service Matters*, for personnel designated as HRP.

2.16.1.1. SAF/IGX is the HAF focal point for policy development and coordination with the OASD for Special Operations and Low-Intensity Conflict and Interdependent Capabilities (OASD (SO/LIC&IC)) to implement DoDI O-2000.22.

2.16.1.2. AFOSI is designated as the AF lead Protection Providing Organization (PPO) and is the AF lead for Protective Service Details (PSD) and PSVAs IAW AFI 71-101, Volume 2.

2.16.2. Designation of positions as High-Risk Billets (HRB) and HRP shall be IAW DoDI O-2000.22 and AFI 71-101, Volume 2.

2.16.2.1. SAF/IGX shall staff nomination packages for the Secretary of the Air Force (SecAF), who will make the decision to nominate AF officials to the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD).

2.16.2.2. SAF/AA shall staff nomination packages for the AF Top-4, as appropriate.

2.16.2.3. Nomination packages shall be staffed through the appropriate MAJCOM or AF Component to a GCC.

2.16.3. AFOSI will complete a PSVA for each person occupying an HRB who is nominated for HRP IAW DoDI O-2000.22 and AFI 71-101, Volume 2. PSVAs will be initiated within 90 days of an individual's assignment to a HRB and nomination for HRP. PSVAs will be revalidated annually and updated if the TTL changes, but no less than every 3 years.

2.16.3. (AMC) On AMC installations with an HRB or HRP, Installation ATOs will confirm initial PSVA date, annual validation, and triennial update with the assigned protective detail.

2.16.4. HRP and family members, as appropriate, shall complete appropriate AFOSI-sponsored HRP training (i.e., personal protection, evasive driving, AT awareness and hostage survival); shall be properly cleared for assignment to positions, facilities or countries requiring such protection; and shall be thoroughly indoctrinated on the duties and responsibilities of protective service personnel.

2.16.4. (AMC) On AMC installations with an HRB or HRP, Installation ATOs will confirm training completion with the assigned protective detail.

2.16.5. HRP designees and their family members shall be familiar with treaty, statutory, policy, regulatory and local constraints on the application of supplemental security measures for certain high-ranking DoD officials who are provided additional protection due to their position.

2.16.6. HRP security measures shall be reviewed within 60 days of changes to the TTL for the affected country and HRP.

2.16.7. The provisions of AFI 23-302, *Vehicle Management*, shall be complied with for the acquisition and use of non-tactical armored vehicles.

2.16.8. Use UFC 4-010-03, *Physical Security Measure for High-Risk Personnel*, in conjunction with a PSVA when available. When a PSVA is not available, this document may also be used as selection criteria for a HRP residence or office. UFC 4-010-03 provides planning and design guidance for construction, renovation, and leases associated with the primary residence or office of a HRP. However, these criteria are not intended for HRP protection in combat zones and in areas where contingency or expeditionary operations are underway.

2.17. Standard 17: AT Construction and Building Considerations.

2.17.1. AT construction standards apply to all DoD Components, to all DoD inhabited buildings, billeting, and high occupancy family housing and to all DoD expeditionary and temporary structures in accordance with UFC 4-010-01. Attachment 6 provides guidance on requesting a UFC AT standards deviation.

2.17.1. (AMC) IAW USNORTHCOMI 10-222, paragraph 33.2.3.4., construction waivers will conform to service Standards. Use the procedures in Appendix 6, as supplemented, to obtain UFC construction waivers.

2.17.1.1. (Added-AMC) HQ AMC/A7SOA will forward deviations for all billeting, primary gathering buildings, and critical facilities to AFNORTH/A7S by 1 Sep annually IAW USNORTHCOMI 10-222, paragraph 33.2.3.4.

2.17.1.2. (Added-AMC) IAW USNORTHCOMI 10-222, paragraph 33.2.3.3, three AT certifications will be made for new construction and major renovations of buildings; the planning and programming certification, the design certification, and the building acceptance certification.

2.17.1.2.1. (Added-AMC) The Base Civil Engineer will ensure the planning and programming certification is accomplished on the DD Form 1391 IAW the procedures in USNORTHCI 10-222, paragraph 33.2.3.3.1 and 33.2.3.3.2.

2.17.1.2.2. (Added-AMC) The design certification will be accomplished by the base program manager and the construction agent IAW USNORTHCOMI 10-222, paragraph 33.2.3.3.2. Use a checklist based on the Building Standards specified in Appendix B of UFC 04-010-01, DoD Minimum Antiterrorism Standards for Buildings, 9 February 2012.

2.17.1.2.3. **(Added-AMC)** The building acceptance certification will be accomplished by the gaining command representative and construction agent IAW USNORTHCOMI 10-222, paragraph 33.2.3.3.3.

2.17.1.2.4. **(Added-AMC)** The Base Civil Engineer will ensure all three certifications are maintained on file.

2.17.2. Refer to UFC 4-010-01, AFPD 31-1, AFI 31-101, AFI 65-601, Volume 1, *Budget Guidance and Procedures*, and AFH 32-1084, *Facility Requirements*, for additional information. Proper facility project planning, programming and design must be done in concert with the ATO, installation intelligence and security personnel to adequately address site specific threats.

2.17.3. The installation commander or the senior Civil Engineer on the commander's behalf will certify that new facilities, or renovation projects where the UFC has been triggered, comply with UFC AT standards.

2.17.3. **(AMC)** The requirements of paragraphs 2.17.1.2.1 through 2.17.1.2.3 (AMC Added) fulfill this requirement.

2.17.4. Engineering staff will work with the ATO from design inception to project completion, to ensure AT requirements are met. The ATO shall be part of the coordination process prior to certification.

2.17.4. **(DOVERAFB)** The 436 CES will ensure the Wing ATO is notified of facility construction and rehabilitation projects and afforded an opportunity to attend appropriate planning meetings in their earliest possible stages. Facility related AT enhancements will be submitted to the 436 CES via an AF Form 332, Civil Engineer Work Request. Facility modification or additional work requests will be reviewed by the Unit AT Representative for compliance with UFC 4-010-01, *DoD Minimum Antiterrorism Standards for Buildings*, prior to submittal to the 436 CES. Project priorities for AT will be approved by the Facilities Board and/or FPEC.

2.17.5. Ensure the A7C (CE) and ATO develop a prioritized list of risk mitigation measures (reference DoD O-2000.12-H, UFCs and AFI 31-101) for use by site selection teams. These criteria shall be used to determine if facilities either currently occupied or being considered for occupancy provide adequate protection for DoD personnel against the effects of a terrorist attack. Circumstances may require the movement of DoD personnel or assets to facilities the U.S. Government had not previously used or surveyed. AT Standards shall be a key consideration in evaluating the suitability of facilities that were previously not used or surveyed.

2.17.6. The A7C (CE) will ensure at least one engineer at each installation has completed the Security Engineering and Design Course to review, document and track construction projects for compliance with UFCs and AT Standards. Take into consideration retention and competence of personnel. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) security engineering course and the Naval Facilities Engineering Service Center (NFESC) Antiterrorism/Security Engineering Workshop fulfills this requirement.

2.17.6. **(DOVERAFB)** The 436 CES will identify at least one engineer who has received Security Engineering and Design Course training as the AT Project POC. The AT Project POC must provide their certificate of training to Wing AT Office (436 SFS/S5XA).

2.18. Standard 18: AT Measures for Logistics and Other Contracting.

2.18.1. AT measures shall be incorporated into the logistics and contracting processes (requirements development, vendor selection, award, execution and evaluation) when the provisions of the contract or services provided affect the security of DoD elements, personnel, mission-essential cargo, equipment, assets or services. When commensurate with risk, consider AT performance/compliance as an evaluation factor for contract award (past performance and proposed performance under the instant contract) and as a performance metric under the resultant contract.

2.18.1. **(AMC)** AMC Contracting Squadrons, in coordination with the Installation ATO, will develop procedures to review contracts for AT compliance and concerns. Document the review process in the installation AT Plan or the installation supplement to this instruction.

2.18.1. **(DOVERAFB)** AT contract considerations will be jointly developed by 436 CONS and Wing AT office and incorporated into AT and ID Plans.

2.18.2. All unescorted persons entering DoD installations, to include contractors, sub-contractors and laborers, delivery personnel and vendors, must have a valid purpose to enter, have their identity proofed and vetted, and be issued, or be in possession of, an authorized and valid access credential. Refer to AFI 31-101 and AFI 31-113. For OCONUS locations, Commanders will work with local and host nation authorities to identity proof and vet applicants to the greatest extent practical and lawful IAW established SOFA.

2.18.3. Site-specific risk mitigation measures shall be developed and implemented to maintain positive control of DoD contractor and sub-contractor access to and movement within installations, sensitive facilities and classified areas.

2.18.3. **(AMC)** Site-specific risk mitigation measures will be identified and documented as part of the contract review process.

2.18.4. Site-specific risk mitigation measures shall be developed and implemented to screen contractor or sub-contractor transportation conveyances for explosive hazards before entry into or adjacent to areas with DoD personnel and mission-essential assets.

2.18.5. Contracts shall comply with the AT clauses of the DFARS.

2.18.6. Contracts shall incorporate Level I - AT Awareness Training requirements (Standard 25).

2.18.6. **(AMC)** The ATEC may make the completion of AT Level 1 training a mandatory requirement for contractors performing work at their installations. The ATEC will consider the scope of the contracts, periods of performance, and potential for additional contractor costs to be incurred prior to requiring contractors to complete AT Level 1 training. The ATEC will also determine the method of training (i.e. personal briefing, written presentation, CBT, etc.).

2.19. Standard 19: AT Measures for Critical Asset Security.

2.19.1. IAW AFI 31-101, risk management measures shall be developed to reduce the risk of loss to DoD assets assessed as critical in Standard 5, to include distributive information or computer-based systems and networks. Integrate these measures into overall AT program efforts.

2.19.1. **(DOVERAFB)** AT risk management measures will be included in the AT Plan.

2.19.2. AFOSI and the DFC will coordinate with appropriate local, State, and Federal or tribal/host nation authorities responsible for the security of non-DoD assets deemed essential to the functioning of DoD assets assessed as critical.

2.19.3. Criticality assessments should be coordinated with affected DCIP and Air Force CARM, and conducted IAW the principles in DoDD 3020.40, AFPD 10-24, and identify defense critical infrastructure and critical assets.

2.20. Standard 20: Terrorism Incident Response Measures.

2.20.1. Incident response measures shall be developed consistent with the principles outlined in DoD 5200.08-R, DoDI 6055.17, and AFI 10-2501 and referenced in the overall AT plan. These measures shall include procedures for determining the nature and scope of incident response (including incidents with a CBRNE component); procedures for coordinating security, fire, medical, hazardous material and other emergency responder capabilities; and steps to recover from the incident while continuing essential operations. Appropriate reference will be made in the AT plan referencing the CEMP 10-2 for emergency response procedures.

2.20.1. **(DOVERAFB)** Terrorist Incident Response Measures are contained within the AT Plan, and synchronized or referenced in various adjunct plans including the Installation Emergency Management Plan (IEMP 10-2), Medical Contingency Response Plan (MCRP), and the IDP.

2.20.2. AF Components to a GCC or GCCs prepare incident response measures for their AOR. AFOSI and Force Protection Detachments should be included in contingency planning for in-transit units.

2.21. Standard 21: Terrorism Consequence Management Measures.

2.21.1. Consequence management, CBRNE and public health emergency preparedness, and emergency response measures are included in AT Plans to complement and support the overall AT plan and installation emergency management plans. For the AF these measures are found in the CEMP 10-2, MCRP, AT, or IDP. Related contents of other plans should be referenced in the AT plan. These measures shall focus on mitigating vulnerabilities of personnel, families, facilities and materiel to terrorist use of CBRNE weapons, as well as overall disaster planning and preparedness to respond to a terrorist attack. These measures shall include integration with DoD emergency responder guidelines provided in DoDI 6055.17, AFI 10-2501, and mass notification system standards under UFC 4-021-01, *Design and O&M: Mass Notification Systems*; establishment of medical surveillance systems consistent with DoDD 6490.02E, *Comprehensive Health Surveillance* and AFI 10-2604, *Disease Containment Planning*; deployment of CBRNE sensors and detectors; providing collective protection when available in High Threat Areas as defined in AFI 10-2501; and

providing personal protective equipment (PPE) or individual protective equipment (IPE) in the following priority and IAW AFI 10-2501:

2.21.1. **(DOVERAFB)** Terrorist Consequence Management Measures are contained within the AT Plan, and synchronized or referenced in various adjunct plans including IEMP 10-2, MCRP, and the IDP.

2.21.1.1. First Responders and Emergency Responders. Personnel responding to known or suspected CBRNE hazards, as determined by incident commander, shall be provided protection needed to perform their duties in an unknown hazard environment. Responders should use the maximum possible protection until determined otherwise by competent authority.

2.21.1.2. Critical Personnel. Personnel deemed essential to the performance of critical military missions (whether military, civilian, contractor, host nation personnel or third country nationals), such as critical personnel assigned to mission essential functions (MEFs) described in AFI 10-208, *Continuity of Operations (COOP) Program*, shall be provided an appropriate level of protection to support continuity of those critical military missions. Since critical missions should be continued without interruption, collective or individual protection may be necessary to sustain them.

2.21.1.3. Essential Personnel. Personnel deemed essential to the performance of essential military operations (whether military, civilian, contractor, host nation personnel or third country nationals) shall be provided an appropriate level of protection to support continuity for those essential military operations. Since essential operations may be interrupted for relatively short periods (e.g., hours to days), appropriate protection may be necessary to sustain essential operations (i.e., escape, survive and restore essential operations).

2.21.1.4. Other Personnel. For all other persons not in the above categories, the objective will be to provide the procedures or protection necessary to safely survive an incident, e.g., shelter-in-place or evacuation procedures may fulfill this requirement.

2.21.1.5. Included as part of the above categories are those who work or live on DoD installations worldwide, family members authorized overseas and DoD contractors if designated in contract agreements and designated as essential to perform critical DoD missions. The contract Statement of Work or Performance Work Statement must specify if PPE will be government or contractor provided.

2.21.2. Site-specific C-CBRN preparedness and emergency response measures are developed and coordinated through the Emergency Management Flight and base medical personnel. These measures are implemented and synchronized with a corresponding FPCON measure for CBRNE-specific threats.

2.21.3. Support agreements (i.e., MOU, MOA, MAA) or other similarly constructed protocols will be established with the appropriate local, State, Federal or tribal/host nation authorities to support AT plan execution and augment incident response and post-incident consequence management activities.

2.21.4. The installation shall maintain mass warning and notification capabilities to warn all personnel immediately, but not longer than 10 minutes after incident notification and

verification. The mass warning and notification must give response direction using intelligible voice communication, visible signals, text, text messaging, computer notification, tactile, or other communication methods.

2.21.5. Installation public health emergency response measures that are synchronized with FPCON levels shall be developed and implemented.

2.22. Standard 22: Force Protection Condition (FPCON) Measures.

2.22.1. The GCC is responsible for establishing the baseline FPCON for their AOR and procedures to ensure that FPCON measures are uniformly disseminated and implemented. The AF Component to the GCC is typically delegated to manage this task for the GCC. See Attachment 2 for a detailed listing of FPCON measures.

2.22.1. (AMC) USNORTHCOM Consolidated Baseline and Supplemental Force Protection Condition Measures (i.e. Shipboard, Recruiting Station, Airspace, Force Health Protection, Deployed Unit, Traveler, and CBRNE) are contained in USNORTHCOMI 10-222, Appendix 3. Installations will have the various USNORTHCOM Supplementary FPCON Measures available for reference/implementation and develop site-specific measures as necessary.

2.22.2. Installation commanders with AT responsibility and higher shall:

2.22.2.1. Determine an appropriate FPCON level for those personnel and assets for which they have AT responsibility. Subordinate commanders (to include GSUs and elements 'off-site') may raise a higher-level commander's FPCON level, but they shall not lower the FPCON level without the higher-level commander's written concurrence.

2.22.2.1. (AMC) Installation Commanders will report any increase or decrease in FPCON up the chain of command IAW USNORTHCOMI 10-222, Chapter 10.

2.22.2.1. (DOVERAFB) Raising or lowering FPCON will only occur when directed by the 436 AW/CC, CAT Director (when the ICC is activated) or Wing succession of command IAW 436 AW, ICC/CAT OPlan. However, in some instances a local contingency action or security incident can trigger the automatic implementation of an increased FPCON IAW the Integrated Defense Plan, 31-101.

2.22.2.2. Classify site-specific AT measures and physical security actions, linked to a FPCON as "CONFIDENTIAL." When separated from the AT plan, specific AT measures linked to a FPCON and site-specific FPCON levels may be downgraded to "FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY," IAW DoD 5200.1-R, *Information Security Program*.

2.22.2.3. Notify their next higher level commander of any FPCON measures the installation is unable to fully implement or if it does not apply. The notification will include rationale, corrective action or mitigation, ECD for full implementation, and installation OPR.

2.22.2.3. (AMC) FPCON waiver approval authority is CDR USNORTHCOM. Installations will submit waivers to through AMC/A7S and the respective NAFs (18 AF or USAF EC) to AMC/CV). Provide the information in USNORTHCOMI 10-222, paragraph 15.2.6.3 as a minimum.

2.22.2.4. Establish a review mechanism to ensure locally changed FPCON levels are commensurate with changing threats and the principles of risk management. This is

essential because implementation of FPCON measures at elevated FPCON levels for an extended duration can be counterproductive to effective security and overall mission accomplishment. In some circumstances, based upon local conditions and the threat environment, commanders should consider requesting HHQ approval to implement a lower-level FPCON and supplement with other local security measures and RAMs as an effective alternative to executing the higher-level FPCON measures.

2.22.2.4. **(DOVERAFB)** The Installation TWG will make recommendations to the Wing Commander on FPCON changes and/or employment of security counter-measures base on analysis of intelligence and/or threat information.

2.22.2.5. Develop and implement site-specific FPCON measures for AF installations and separate facilities to supplement the FPCON measures and actions enumerated for each FPCON level. In addition to establishing procedures for raising FPCON levels, ensure procedures are developed for stepping back down from higher FPCONs. Consult GCC supplementary FPCON guidance for in-transit forces. The development of site-specific FPCON measures must permit sufficient time and space to determine hostile intent, while fully considering constraints imposed by standing rules of engagement in CJCSI 3121.01B, *Standing Rules of Engagement/Standing Rules For the Use of Force For U.S. Forces*, and rules of force under AFI 31-207, *Arming and Use of Force by Air Force Personnel*. Organic intelligence, CI and law enforcement resources, institutional knowledge of the area and comprehensive understanding of organic capabilities, supported by national and AOR assets, shall be leveraged in directing tailored FPCON measures to be implemented at specific sites.

2.22.2.5. **(AMC)** Installation Commanders will develop a process for notifying the base populace of the appropriate FPCON and FPCON changes (i.e. building signs, marquees, computer pop-ups, Mass Notification Systems, etc.). Installations utilizing the FPCON sign system will standardize the signs throughout the installation as described in paragraphs 2.22.5.1 and 2.22.5.2 (AMC-Added). These standards are meant to reduce visual clutter at facility entrances, provide a professional appearance, and quickly communicate the current FPCON condition.

2.22.2.5. **(DOVERAFB)** Installation site-specific measures and actions for each FPCON are included as part of the AT Plan, but are produced and maintained under separate cover to keep them “For Official Use Only” IAW AFI 10-245.

2.22.2.5.1. **(Added-AMC)** If posting FPCON signs, use the AMCVAs 10-245 through 248 in Appendix 10.

2.22.2.5.2. **(Added-AMC)** When using the AMCVAs, affix FPCON sign to the primary personnel entrances of all inhabited facilities.

2.22.2.6. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** All available mass media will be utilized to assist in disseminating FPCON changes to include unit and Installation electronic marquees, Giant Voice, base e-mail, computer log-on banners, telephone alerting system, etc.

2.23. Standard 23: AT Training and Exercises.

2.23.1. All elements of the AT program shall be integrated into training and exercises related to Force Protection. AT lessons learned and the AT risk assessment (derived from the

IDRMP) should guide the focus of training and exercises to ensure activities are supported by relevant threat, vulnerability, and criticality information.

2.23.2. Elements of the AT plan shall be exercised annually and synchronized with other ID, EM, COOP and CARM program requirements. The installation shall coordinate with the installation EET to develop a comprehensive annual exercise program which provides for individual and collective AT proficiency training and realistic AT exercise scenarios. The AT focus of exercises will be on the identification of vulnerabilities and AT program shortfalls which could contribute to the risk of terrorist attack against DoD personnel and assets. Scenarios must, at a minimum, exercise terrorist threat assessment and planning, mitigation and response to a terrorist incident (to include AT-specific MOA/MOU/MAAs and participation by local support elements), and consequence management measures following a terrorist incident. Site-specific FPCON measures from current FPCON through FPCON CHARLIE must also be exercised annually at all AF installations and separate facilities.

2.23.2. **(DOVERAFB)** Base exercises will be directed by the Wing Exercise Manager, 436 AW/IGI, and conducted by the Wing Inspection Team (WIT). AT exercises may be combined with other base contingency exercises (e.g., Initial Response Readiness, Emergency Management, MASCAL, Active Shooter, etc.). The 436 AW/CV, through IGI, will conduct annual Installation level AT exercises to evaluate AT/FP contingency plans. AT exercises will ensure planned scenarios test the full-spectrum of FPCON implementation, evacuation procedures, mass notification systems, terrorist use of Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD), and other key areas of the AT Plan as identified by the Wing ATO and ATPM. Furthermore, simulations will be held to an absolute minimum to allow thorough testing of the Installation's response actions to a terrorist attack or acts of terrorism.

2.23.2.1. **(Added-DOVERAFB)** The Installation ATO and Wing AT staff will be designated as Trusted Agents for the purpose of developing and exercising AT scenarios as part of the Installation exercise and evaluation process. Ensure AT exercises are integrated into the Wing/base exercise schedule and at a minimum, tested/evaluated annually.

2.23.3. AT exercise documentation shall be maintained for no less than two years by Installation EET. Identified exercise findings will be presented to the ATWG and tracked/managed by installation EET until corrected or closed. AT lessons learned must be incorporated into appropriate plans and programs and submitted into the Joint Lessons Learned Information System (JLLIS) at <https://www.jllis.mil>. AT training shall also be incorporated into pre-deployment training and exercises as required by the GCC. Pre-deployment AT training exercises should include terrorism scenarios specific to the deployed location and based on current enemy Tactics, Techniques, and Procedures. Refer to paragraph 2.7.2 for a list of AT threat planning scenarios to assist in exercise development. Units will ensure they allow for a local Table and Rule within their respective file plans to maintain this reference data for unit continuity and action as required.

2.23.3. **(AMC)** Installation Commanders will report completion of annual AT exercise requirements to AMC/A7SOA by 1 Sep annually for follow-on reporting to AFNORTH/USNORTHCOM. Provide an explanation if the mandatory AT Plans items in

para 2.23.2. were not exercised. Data may be posted directly to the HQ AMC AT EIM website in the installation folder.

2.24. Standard 24: Formal AT Training.

2.24.1. The AF's formal AT Training Program shall consist of: Level I - AT Awareness Training (Standard 25), Level II - ATO Training (Standard 26), Level III - Pre-Command AT Training (Standard 27), Level IV - AT Executive Seminar (Standard 28), AOR-specific training (Standard 29) and HRP AT training (Standard 16).

2.24.2. AT training shall be integrated into training for all AF personnel as required by this Instruction and when deemed appropriate by Commanders with AT responsibility. A solid training foundation for all grades, skills and functional areas is essential to successful implementation and long term effectiveness of AT programs.

2.24.2.1. Unit commanders, through their training NCO or section, shall ensure that all assigned personnel complete appropriate formal AT training. Additional protection related courses (such as Security Engineering Design Course and Dynamics of International Terrorism) are encouraged. Individual training records shall be updated accordingly.

2.24.2.2. If personnel have not received formal AT training as required, training shall be provided as soon as possible. Units receiving untrained personnel (PCS or deployed) should report training deficiencies through the AF chain of command to the appropriate losing MAJCOM functional office. Report other Service training discrepancies through the appropriate AF Component to the GCC.

2.25. Standard 25: Level I - AT Awareness Training.

2.25.1. The objective of Level I - AT Awareness Training is to provide all AF personnel with requisite knowledge necessary to remain vigilant for possible terrorist actions and enable employment of the AT TTP as outlined in DoD O-2000.12-H.

2.25.2. Every AF Service member, civilian employee, and local national or third country national in a direct-hire status by the DoD, regardless of grade or position shall complete Level I - AT Awareness Training annually.

2.25.2. **(DOVERAFB)** Active duty and Reserve Air Force members and DoD employees in a direct-hire status must complete initial and annual AT Level I Awareness Training. The primary training source is through the Advanced Distributed Learning System (ADLS) available through AF Portal at [This site](#) automatically records completion and enables the user to print a certificate if needed (e.g., to attach to an Individual Antiterrorism Travel Plan). Level I training for Non-CAC contractors and dependents may be accomplished through the Joint Knowledge Online web site at [This site](#) also provides a certificate upon completion for member record

2.25.2.1. New accession AF military personnel are provided Level I - AT Awareness Training during initial accession training or general military subject training. This initial accession Level I - AT Awareness Training shall be provided by a qualified Level I Awareness Training Instructor.

2.25.2.2. Post-accession annual Level I - AT Awareness Training may be accomplished by either a Level I - qualified instructor, or by completing the "Force Protection"

computer based training (CBT) course on the Advanced Distributed Learning System (ADLS) or the Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) at <https://atlevel1.dtic.mil/at> for individuals without access to NIPR, possessing a CAC card, nor enrolled in DEERS. The ADLS “Force Protection” CBT does not meet Department of State (DoS) Chief of Mission (CoM) requirements for Level I - AT Awareness Training.

2.25.2.2.1. AF personnel being assigned to a location where they will be under the responsibility of the DoS CoM for force protection must receive Level I - AT Awareness refresher training from a Level I-qualified instructor.

2.25.2.2.2. Unit Training Managers track annual Level I - AT Awareness Training through the ADLS. If ADLS is not available or not applicable, Unit Training Managers will document AT Awareness Training on ancillary training records. Unit Training Managers will provide AT training status and statistics to their chain of command or the ATO upon request. Unit Deployment Managers and FSS will confirm training is current prior to approving OCONUS deployment or PCS orders.

2.25.2.2.2. **(DOVERAFB)** Unit Deployment Managers (UDMs) will ensure personnel projected for OCONUS TDY are current in Level I AT Awareness Training prior to deployment and document this training in the members’ individual mobility folders.

2.25.2.3. DoD contractors shall be provided AT information as required by DFAR, Section 252.225-7043. Level I - AT Awareness Training shall be offered to all DoD contractor employees in the terms and conditions of the contract. The ATWG should determine the best method for offering AT Awareness training to contractor employees.

2.25.3. Commanders will ensure dependent family members ages 14 years and older traveling OCONUS on official business (e.g., on an accompanied permanent change of station move) shall complete Level I - AT Awareness Training as part of their pre-departure requirements. FSS will confirm training is completed before issuing dependent travel orders. Commanders will also encourage dependent family members to complete Level I - AT Awareness Training before any personal travel such as leave to an OCONUS location or any area where the TTL is MODERATE or higher.

2.25.4. Level I - AT Awareness Training instructors must have completed an AF-approved Level II - ATO Training course as outlined in Standard 26 of this publication. ATOs will maintain appointment letters of qualified instructors. If a certified instructor is not available, as an interim solution, unit commanders in coordination with the ATO may certify and appoint qualified SMEs to conduct training. Qualified SMEs must have completed Level I - AT Awareness Training and the Level II Refresher CBT available on ADLS. Commanders must clearly describe the qualifications of the individual in the appointment letter to justify this method and explain why other options are not feasible.

2.25.4. **(AMC)** Qualified AT Level I AT Awareness Training Instructors may be included on the unit ATO or ATR appointment letter.

2.25.5. The ADLS “Force Protection” course covering Level I - AT Awareness Training will be updated annually by HQ AFSFC/SFOZ.

2.25.6. The AF's Level I - AT Awareness Training is built upon the minimum requirements outlined in DoDI 2000.16, Standard 25, Table E3.T2 which is supplemented with topics specific to AF training. The AF Level I - AT Awareness (Force Protection) CBT is based upon and incorporates the DoD-sponsored and certified computer based Level I - AT Awareness Training course. Table 2.2 below outlines the minimum required topics to be covered in AF Level I - AT Awareness Training.

Table 2.2. Level I - AT Awareness Training Requirements

AF Level I - AT Awareness Training shall include the following at a minimum:

- Introduction to Terrorism
 - Includes Terrorist Tactics and Operations, Understanding the Terrorist Threat, Terrorist Surveillance Techniques, and Historical Examples
 - Indicators of Potential Terrorist Activity
- Individual Protective Measures
 - Includes Employing Situation-Based Measures to Lower Personal Vulnerability
- Response to Threat Actions
 - Includes counter-intelligence measures and personal protective actions to mitigate and reduce vulnerability to Improvised Explosive Device attacks, Active Shooter, Kidnapping and Hostage Survival, CBRNE attacks, and other likely terrorist tactics
- Supporting Unit Security and the AT Program
 - Includes Terrorism Threat Levels and FPCONs, and Force Health Protection Measures
- Current training aids and resource hand-outs (i.e., Chairman of Joint Chiefs of Staff Guide 5260, *A Self Help Guide to Antiterrorism*, Chairman of Joint Chiefs of Staff Pocket Card 5260, *Antiterrorism Individual Protective Measures*, Eagle Eyes tri-fold, etc.) shall be made available by the installation ATO

2.26. Standard 26: Level II – ATO and ATR Training.

2.26.1. Each USAF installation and separate facility shall have a Level II certified ATO appointed in writing. Each unit, who is authorized manning is 299 or less, supporting the installation and separate facility shall have an ATR appointed in writing. Level II – ATO and ATR Training prepares and qualifies Installation ATOs and Unit-level ATRs to perform their duties as AT program advisors and coordinators. Level II training is separated into two distinct tracks to ensure appropriate focus for the respective roles of ATOs and ATRs.

2.26.1. **(DOVERAFB)** AT Level II training is required for Installation level Antiterrorism Officers and personnel appointed to the Threat Working Group. It is also highly encouraged for members of Force Protection Working Group, and Unit AT Representatives to complete AT Level II training. Scheduling of all Level II in-residence or Mobile Training Team (MTT) training must be coordinated through the Wing AT office (436 SFS/S5XA). Alternatively, Level II computer based training is available through the Defense Security Service (DSS) by enrolling at the following location:

2.26.1.1. Newly assigned ATOs and ATRs shall complete training within 180 days of appointment. Deploying ATOs will complete training prior to deployment in accordance with line remarks. Unit level ATRs unable to secure in-residence training will complete the AT Level II Refresher CBT (within 180 days) until they are able to attend an in-residence AT Level II course. For both ATOs and ATRs, Level II refresher training must be completed once every three years to maintain qualification. Refresher training may be accomplished by taking the "AT Level II Refresher" CBT available on ADLS or by

attending a Military Department-approved Level II course either in residence or offered by an MTT.

2.26.1.2. **(Added-AMC)** AMC Level II AT Training is taught by the 421 CTS, USAF EC. Installation ATOs will submit prioritized Level II training requests and schedule Level II AT training through HQ AMC/A7SXT. Installations hosting a MTT AT Level II course will be responsible for funding course materials (i.e. Student Handbooks) only.

2.26.1.2.1. **(Added-AMC)** Class size for each Level II course should be approximately 40 personnel. For installations with co-located Contingency Response Wings (CRW), ensure 10 additional allocations are available for CRW personnel.

2.26.2. Level II – ATO and ATR training course instructors should have at least two years of experience in the field of Antiterrorism and should seek to remain proficient and further their expertise by attending seminars and courses related to Antiterrorism such as Security Engineering Design and Dynamics of International Terrorism. Also consider coordinating with JSIVA/AFVAT to shadow teams during an assessment. At a minimum, Level II instructors will have completed the following qualification requirements and have task certifications documented in training records as appropriate:

2.26.2.1. Formal training from an AF instructor's course such as Principles of Instruction. Personnel may instruct students prior to completing an instructor's course if supervised by a certified instructor.

2.26.2.2. AF-approved Level II - ATO Training course.

2.26.2.3. CVAMP training.

2.26.3. Level II - ATO and ATR Training must reflect current information on terrorism and AT Program guidance. The course plan must also include measurable course objectives and evaluation methods to ensure student proficiency. The AF's Level II – ATO and ATR Training is built upon and supplements the minimum requirements outlined in DoDI 2000.16, Standard 26, Table E3.T3. Training will be developed and reviewed annually by the MAJCOM sponsoring the course. Required course topics for the AF's Level II - ATO and ATR Training are listed below in Table 2.3. MAJCOM-specific requirements may be added to the core curriculum. MAJCOM ATPMs shall submit Level II - ATO Training course plans to AFSFC/SFOZ for annual review/approval during the first quarter of each calendar year.

Table 2.3. Level II – ATO and ATR Training Requirements

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. ATO Training must include the following topics: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Overview of AF Force Protection b. Introduction to DoD and AF AT Programs <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Review of Applicable Guidance and References ii. Roles and Responsibilities c. Overview of Domestic and International Terrorism d. Development of an AT Plan and Integrating Plans e. Coordination and Management of an Installation AT Program f. Working Groups Related to AT g. AT Considerations in MOA/MOU/MAA h. Terrorist Threat Assessment and Analysis i. Intelligence Support to Force Protection j. CVAMP User/Administrator Review k. Vulnerability Assessments and AT Program Reviews l. AF CARM m. Risk Management Process n. AT Training and Exercises o. Physical Security p. Resource Application q. SME Presentations r. “Hands-on” Training with AT Tools and Resources (to include CVAMP, ATEP, IDRMP, and eGuardian), if available 2. ATR Training must include the following topics: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Overview of AF Force Protection b. Introduction to AF AT Program <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Review of Applicable Guidance and References ii. AT Roles and Responsibilities at an Installation c. ATR Support to Installation AT Program d. Development of a Unit AT Plan e. Managing a Unit AT Program f. Overview of Domestic and International Terrorism g. Working Groups h. Risk Management Process i. Introduction to CVAMP j. Vulnerability Assessments of Squadron and Individual Facilities k. Physical Security l. Resource Application m. SME Presentations
--

2.27. Standard 27: Level III - Pre-Command AT Training.

2.27.1. Level III - Pre-Command AT Training provides prospective squadron, group, and wing commanders and civilian equivalent positions with requisite knowledge to direct and supervise an AT Program.

2.27.2. Squadron, group and wing commanders and civilian leaders in equivalent positions with AT responsibility shall complete Level III - Pre-Command AT Training prior to assuming command.

2.27.3. MAJCOMs will ensure this training is provided to squadron commanders. Training may be conducted during MAJCOM Squadron Commander Orientation seminars or by other means determined by the MAJCOM. Group and wing commanders shall receive the training through group and wing commander pre-command courses. Follow-on training may be conducted at the installation-level as a refresher or supplement to briefings offered in commander courses.

2.27.3. (AMC) Installation ATOs will track AMC Squadron, Group, and Wing Commanders AT Level III compliance. For Group & Wing Commanders, USAF Professional Development School attendance at Maxwell AFB, AL, qualifies. Squadron Commanders should receive AT Level III at the AMC Pre-Command Course. Copies of AT Level III completion will be provided to each installation after each class by AMC/A7SOA. For those commanders who have not attended a formal AT Level III course, the Installation ATO may provide the training using the HQ AMC AT Level III presentation. Non-AMC tenants will verify AT Level III completion for their commanders and provide results to the Installation ATO.

2.27.3.1. MAJCOMs shall determine minimum instructor qualifications and select instructors for Level III - Pre-Command AT Training. Instructors should be Level II qualified, have experience in the field of Antiterrorism, be familiar with command considerations regarding AT programs, and be able to explain the relationship and integration of Force Protection programs. Installation ATOs may conduct Level III supplemental training at the installation level in coordination with MAJCOM ATPMs.

2.27.3.2. Level III - Pre-Command AT Training course plans/briefings shall be developed and approved by the MAJCOM. Training material shall be coordinated through HQ AFSFC/SFOZ and AF/A7SO to ensure information is current and accurate.

2.27.4. Level III - Pre-Command AT Training is built upon the requirements outlined in DoDI 2000.16, Standard 27, Table E3.T4. AF requirements listed in Table 2.4 below supplement DoD Standard 27. A minimum of one hour is recommended to sufficiently address required topics.

Table 2.4. Level III - Pre-Command AT Training Requirements

<p>At a minimum, Level III - Pre-Command AT Training shall include the following topics:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Overview of AF Force Protection - Overview of AF AT Program <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Review of Applicable Guidance and References - AT Roles and Responsibilities - Elements of an AT Program - Risk Management—Assessment of Threat, Criticality and Vulnerability, Assessment of Risk, and Mitigation of Risk - Working Groups and the Development of AT Plans - Creating and Supervising a Sustainable AT Program - Overview of CVAMP and the Role of a Commander - Executing Resourcing Responsibilities

2.28. Standard 28: Level IV - AT Executive Seminar.

2.28.1. The CJCS-sponsored Level IV - AT Executive Seminar provides DoD senior military and civilian executive leadership with requisite knowledge to enable development of AT program policies and facilitate oversight of AT programs at the operational and strategic levels.

2.28.2. Wing and group commanders and other command and staff officers in the grades O-6 through O-8 and civilian equivalent/senior executive service civilian employees may attend the Level IV - AT Executive Seminar. Nomination requests for attendance are made through HQ USAF/DPO for USAF quotas, and COCOM J-34 or force protection offices for COCOM quotas.

2.28.2. (AMC) AMC/A1L is the staff POC for AT Level IV nominees and attendance. A1L will solicit candidates for each AT Level IV class and submit to AMC/CV for approval.

2.28.3. The seminar is administered by the Joint Staff (J3, DD AT/HD, J34) and provides AT updates, briefings, panel discussion topics, and tabletop AT and Terrorist Consequence Management war games.

2.29. Standard 29: Area of Responsibility (AOR)-Specific Training for DoD Personnel and In-transit Forces. AF Commanders shall:

2.29.1. Ensure that personnel for whom they are responsible (including family members ages 14 years and older) who are being assigned permanently or temporarily, transiting through, or performing exercises or training in another GCC's AOR receive or participate in AT Awareness Training and Education programs developed by that GCC to orient all DoD personnel with AOR-specific information on AT protection. This AOR-specific information is in addition to annual Level I - AT Awareness Training and may be provided through multiple means including Combatant Command publications, messages, internet homepages, and the Department of Defense Foreign Clearance Guide.

2.29.1. (DOVERAFB) The 436 FSS Career Development office verifies personnel departing TDY, TDA, or PCS to OCONUS areas have received proper AT training prior to issuance of orders. Unit Deployment Managers will ensure AOR-specific AT training is

provided by Wing Intel (OSS/IN), AFOSI and/or the member's respective Unit AT Representative.

2.29.2. Ensure that AF personnel (including family members ages 14 years and older) departing to another GCC's AOR complete the gaining Combatant Commander's AOR-specific AT Awareness Training and Education requirements within three months of a permanent change of station.

2.29.2. (AMC) Commanders will develop procedures to prohibit the issuance of orders for overseas travel (deployed, PCS, TDY or leave) for those personnel who have not received AOR-specific AT education requirements within 3 months of a PCS. AOR-specific training will be provided by the unit ATO.

2.29.3. Ensure their deploying in-transit forces, units and individuals receive GCC-provided detailed threat information covering transit routes and sites that will be visited by the deploying unit or individuals. Such information shall include focused information on potential terrorist threats (e.g., tailored production and analysis) and guidance on the development of AT protection risk mitigation measures to aid in the development of tailored AT planning. Similar tailored information shall also be received from the GCC and provided to intra-theater transiting units and individuals.

2.29.3. (AMC) Prior to departing home station on missions outside the CONUS, aircrew and PHOENIX RAVEN personnel will receive an intelligence briefing from the local Wing Intelligence emphasizing terrorist, enemy, and friendly political and military developments in the area in which they will be operating. This briefing will also include specific AT-related information as directed by the combatant commander. Once in theater, aircrews should receive an intelligence update upon initial arrival at a forward operating location (FOL) or enroute stop.

2.30. Standard 30: AT Resource Application.

2.30.1. Commanders shall assess risk against the standard and apply mitigation measures. Where the resulting risk is still deemed too great, the countermeasure requirement shall be elevated using the PPB&E process. Where applicable and IAW the MOU between the Department of State (DOS) and the DoD, Overseas Security Support, coordination will be made through MAJCOMs or AF Components to GCCs with the appropriate GCC to ensure that resource requirements for AT programs are identified and programmed. See Attachment 4 for more information on AT resourcing.

2.30.2. For emergent or emergency AT requirements that could not reasonably have been anticipated or programmed, units shall submit CCIF requests to their owning MAJCOM. MAJCOMs will submit requests for CCIF to the AF Component to the GCC who will prioritize and submit to the GCC as specified in CJCSI 7401.1. Comply with GCC-established procedures and policies for CCIF submittals.

2.30.3. MAJCOMs will submit validated prioritized AT resource requests with compelling justification, including those submitted or considered for CCIF, to the AF Component to the GCC for review and submission to the GCC which will review and submit to CJCS on an annual basis pursuant to current DoD Program Objective Memorandum (POM) guidance and timelines, using CVAMP.

2.30.4. Tenant units on AF installations and facilities shall coordinate and prioritize AT program and resource requirements according to PPB&E procedures with the host installation commander, applicable Military Department and appropriate GCC.

2.30.5. Antiterrorism PEC 28047F is the primary funding source for manpower authorizations, AT equipment, procurement, and the associated costs specifically identified and measurable to those resources and activities associated with the Air Force AT Program. AF/A7SX is the AF Program Element Monitor (PEM).

2.31. Standard 31: Comprehensive AT Program Review.

2.31.1. Comprehensive AT program reviews are conducted to evaluate the effectiveness and adequacy of AT program implementation. The evaluation shall include an assessment of the degree to which Air Force AT programs comply with the standards prescribed in this Instruction. AT program reviews shall evaluate all mandatory AT program elements (Standard 1) and assess the viability of AT plans (Standard 7) in view of local operational environment constraints and conditions. The DoD Antiterrorism Handbook provides procedures and recommendations to conduct comprehensive AT Program reviews. Other procedures include reviewing AT programs based on DoD, GCC, and AF VAT AT benchmarks.

2.31.2. Comprehensive AT program reviews shall be conducted at least annually by all commanders required to establish AT programs and may be conducted in conjunction with annual VAs.

2.31.2. (AMC) Installations will use the AMC AT Program Review Checklist posted on the AMC AT EIM site to conduct their annual comprehensive program review. Installations will post their annual Comprehensive Program Review date and results in their installation folder.

2.31.2. (DOVERAFB) Annual AT Program Review: The Wing ATO or ATPM will lead and administer the Wing's annual AT Program Review (PR). The PR will be accomplished using the HAF and AMC/IG Unit Compliance Checklists (MICT: A7S Antiterrorism Checklist). The Wing ATO will ensure all functional areas (designated FPWG representatives) and Unit AT programs (all unit and tenant organizations) participate in the PR process. OPRs will be designated locally to review and validate compliance/non-compliance with each checklist item. The PR will normally be conducted in conjunction with the Wing-directed semi-annual Self Assessment (SA). The Wing AT office will task functional area OPRs and Unit AT Representatives to complete the checklist and submit a written report, indorsed by the Unit Commander or Agency Chief. The Wing AT office will compile the results and provide a formal memorandum to the Wing SA Manager (IGI). The completion of the SA fulfills the comprehensive annual Antiterrorism PR requirement. In addition, the Wing ATO will brief the Wing Commander of any critical program element (Tier 1, item) reported as non-compliant and consider for inclusion into CVAMP.

2.31.3. Pre-deployment AT program reviews shall be conducted to ensure that deploying units have viable AT programs and executable AT plans for transit to, from, and during operations or training exercises in the deployed AOR IAW GCC's AT guidance.

2.31.4. A comprehensive AT program review shall be conducted whenever there are significant changes in threat, vulnerabilities or asset criticality.

2.31.5. MAJCOMs shall ensure subordinate commands undergo a HHQ AT program review at least once every 3 years. The ultimate outcome of triennial AT program reviews is the identification of AT program deficiencies that may be exploited by terrorists. AT program review teams should provide realistic solutions aimed at improving AT program implementation and risk mitigation strategies.

2.31.5.1. Triennial AT program reviews conducted in conjunction with a HHQ VAs or JSIVAs satisfy the requirement for an annual AT program review.

2.31.5.2. In addition to providing an assessment of compliance with the AT Standards, a HHQ VA or JSIVA shall assess and evaluate the viability of a headquarter's AT policies, subordinate AT program implementation, the methodology for addressing resource shortfalls, inter-organization coordination and synchronization of AT program elements.

2.31.6. Tenant commands and units located on AF installations shall be included in comprehensive AT program reviews.

2.32. Standard 32: AT Program Review Teams.

2.32.1. AT program review assessment team guidelines shall be modeled upon the DTRA AT VA Team Guidelines and include, at a minimum, compliance with the standards prescribed in this Instruction, accepted TTPs, and AT best practices.

2.32.2. A sufficient number of AT program review teams shall be resourced to execute the program review assessment requirements of the AF and to ensure AT program review teams are comprised of individuals with sufficient functional expertise to assess and evaluate satisfactorily the effectiveness and adequacy of AT Program implementation at the level for which the AT Program review is being conducted (headquarters, command, installation, unit, activity, etc.).

BURTON M. FIELD, Lt Gen, USAF
DCS/Operations, Plans & Requirements

(AMC)

TIMOTHY S. GREEN, Brigadier General, USAF
Director of Installations and Mission Support

(DOVERAFB)

RICHARD G. MOORE, JR., Colonel, USAF
Commander, 436th Airlift Wing

Attachment 1**GLOSSARY OF REFERENCES AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION*****References***

AFDD 3-10, Force Protection, 28 July 2011

AFDD 4-0, Combat Support, incorporating Change 2, 28 July 2011

AFPD 10-2, Readiness, 30 October 2006

AFPD 10-24, Air Force Critical Infrastructure Program (CIP), 28 April 2006

AFPD 10-25, Emergency Management, 26 September 2007

AFPD 10-26, Counter-Chemical, Biological, Radiological and Nuclear Operations, 26 September 2007

AFPD 10-39, Safeguarding Biological Select Agents and Toxins, 19 August 2011

AFPD 14-2, Intelligence Rules and Procedures, 29 November 2007

AFPD 31-1, Integrated Defense, 28 October 2011

AFPD 71-1, Criminal Investigations and Counterintelligence, 6 January 2010

AFI 10-206, Operational Reporting, 6 September 2011

AFI 10-208, Continuity of Operations (COOP) Program, 15 December 2011

AFI 10-246, Food and Water Protection Program, 4 December 2004

AFI 10-2501, Air Force Emergency Management (EM) Program, Planning and Operations, 24 January 2007 (AFGM4, 27 January 2012)

AFI 10-2603, Emergency Health Powers on Air Force Installations, 13 October 2010

AFI 10-2604, Disease Containment Planning, 3 September 2010

AFI 14-104, Oversight of Intelligence Activities, 16 April 2007

AFI 14-119, Intelligence Support to Force Protection (FP), 15 August 2007

AFI 23-302, Vehicle Management, 29 October 2007

AFI 31-101, Integrated Defense (FOUO), 8 October 2010

AFI 31-207, Arming and Use of Force by Air Force Personnel, 29 January 2009

AFI 31-113, Installation Perimeter Access Control, 26 January 2012

AFI 38-101, Air Force Organization, 16 March 2011

AFI 38-201, Management of Manpower Requirements and Authorizations, 26 September 2011

AFI 65-601, Volume 1, Budget Guidance and Procedures, 3 March 2005

AFI 71-101, Volume 1, Criminal Investigation Program, 8 April 2011

AFI 71-101, Volume 2, Protective Service Matters, 17 May 2011

AFI 71-101, Volume 4, Counterintelligence, 8 November 2011

AFI 90-201, Inspector General Activities, 23 March 2012

AFH 10-2401, Vehicle Bomb Mitigation Guide (FOUO), 1 September 2006

AFH 32-1084, Facility Requirements, 1 September 1996

AFMAN 33-363, Management of Records, 1 March 2008

AFMAN 10-2503, Operations in a Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear, and High-Yield Explosive (CBRNE) Environment, 7 July 2011

AFMAN 33-363, *Management of Records*, 1 March 2008

AFPAM 14-118, Predictive Battlespace Awareness: Air and Space Intelligence Preparation of the Operational Environment, 10 March 2008

CJCSI 3121.01B, Standing Rules of Engagement/Standing Rules For the Use of Force For U.S. Forces (available on SIPR at www.js.smil.mil/masterfile/sfsimd/jel/index.htm), 18 June 2008

CJCSI 5261.01F, Combating Terrorism Readiness Initiative Fund, 13 December 2010

CJCSI 7401.1, Combatant Commander Initiative Fund, 1 July 2009

CJCS Guide 5260, Antiterrorism Personal Protection Guide: A Self-Help Guide to Antiterrorism, 1 September 2010

CJCS Pocket Card 5260, Antiterrorism Individual Protective Measures, 1 October 2001

DoDD 3020.40, DoD Policy and Responsibilities for Critical Infrastructure, 1 July 2010

DoDD 4500.54E, DoD Foreign Clearance Program (FCP), 28 December 2009

DoDD 6490.02E, Comprehensive Health Surveillance, incorporating Change 1, 24 August 2009

DoDI 2000.16, DoD Antiterrorism (AT) Standards, incorporating Change 2, 8 December 2006

DoDI 2000.12, DoD Antiterrorism (AT) Program, 1 March 2012

DoDI O-2000.22, Designation and Physical Protection of DoD High-Risk Personnel (HRP), 22 January 2008

DoDI 2000.26, Suspicious Activity Reporting, 1 November 2011

DoDI 3020.45, Defense Critical Infrastructure Program (DCIP) Management, 21 April 2008

DoDI 5210.89_AFI 10-3901, Minimum Security Standards for Safeguarding Biological Select Agents and Toxins, 22 June 2010

DoDI 5240.18, Counterintelligence (CI) Analysis and Production, 4 December 2009

DoDI 6055.17, DoD Installation Emergency Management (IEM) Program, incorporating Change 1, 19 November 2010

DoD O-2000.12-H, DoD Antiterrorism Handbook, 1 February 2004

DoD 5200.1-R, Information Security Program, 14 January 1997

DoD 5200.08-R, Physical Security Program, 9 April 2007

DoD 5240.1-R, Procedures Governing the Activities of DoD Intelligence Components that Affect United States Persons, 1 December 1982

DoDM 3020.45, Volume 1, Defense Critical Infrastructure Program (DCIP): DoD Mission-Based Critical Asset Identification Process (CAIP), 24 October 2008

DoDM 3020.45, Volume 5, Defense Critical Infrastructure Program (DCIP): Execution Timeline, 24 May 2010

DTM 10-018, Law Enforcement Suspicious Activity Reporting, 1 October 2010

JP 1-02, Department of Defense Dictionary of Military and Associated Terms, 30 May 2008

UFC 4-010-01, DoD Minimum Antiterrorism Standards for Buildings, 8 October 2003

UFC 4-010-02, DoD Minimum Antiterrorism Standoff Distances for Buildings, 8 October 2003

UFC 4-010-03, Security Engineering: Physical Security Measures for High-Risk Personnel, 8 February 2011

UFC-4-020-01, DOD Security Engineering Facilities Planning Manual, 11 September 2008

UFC 4-021-01, Design and O&M: Mass Notification Systems, 9 April 2008

UFC 4-022-01, Security Engineering: Entry Control Facility/Access Control Points, 25 May 2005

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS), 20 October 2008

DoD Antiterrorism Vulnerability Assessment Benchmarks, 5 January 2011

Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) Security Classification Guide (JSIVA), 1 February 2010

Presidential Policy Directive (PPD) 8, National Preparedness, 30 March 2011

Unified Command Plan, 17 December 2008

Adopted Forms

AF Form 847, Recommendation for Change of Publication

AF Form 116, Request For Deviation From Security Criteria

Abbreviations and Acronyms

AA&E—Arms Ammunition and Explosives

ACES—Automated Civil Engineering System

ADLS—Advanced Distributed Learning System

AF CARM—Air Force Critical Asset Risk Management

AF/A1—Deputy Chief of Staff of the Air Force, Manpower, Personnel and Services

AF/JA—The Judge Advocate General of the Air Force

AF/SE—Air Force Safety

AF/SG—Surgeon General

AFCESA—Air Force Civil Engineer Support Agency

AFI—Air Force Instruction

AFMAN—Air Force Manual
AFOSI—Air Force Office of Special Investigations
AFPD—Air Force Policy Directive
AFR—Air Force Reserve
AFSFC—Air Force Security Forces Center
AFTTP—Air Force Tactics, Techniques and Procedures
AFVAT—Air Force Vulnerability Assessment Team
ANG—Air National Guard
AOR—Area of Responsibility
AT—Antiterrorism
ATEC—Antiterrorism Executive Committee
ATO—Antiterrorism Officer
ATPM—Antiterrorism Program Manager
ATR—Antiterrorism Representative
ATWG—Antiterrorism Working Group
BSAT—Biological Select Agents and Toxins
CARA—Critical Asset Risk Assessment
CARM—Critical Asset Risk Management
C-CBRN—Counter-Chemical, Biological, Radiological and Nuclear
C-NAF—Component-Numbered Air Force
CBRN—Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear
CBRNE—Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear and high-yield Explosives
CBT—Computer Based Training
CbT—Combating Terrorism
CCIF—Combatant Commander’s Initiative Fund
CCIR—Commander’s Critical Information Requirements
CE—Civil Engineers
C&I—Communications and Information
CI—Counterintelligence
CJCS—Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff
CJCSI—Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Instruction
COA—Course of Action

COCOM—Combatant Command
CoM—Chief of Mission
CEMP—Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan
CONOPS—Concept of Operations
CONUS—Continental United States
CRP—Contingency Response Plan
CTO—Counterthreat Operations
CVAMP—Core Vulnerability Assessment Management Program
DBIDS—Defense Biometric Identification System
DBT—Design Basis Threat
DCI—Defense Critical Infrastructure
DCIP—Defense Critical Infrastructure Program
DCP—Disease Containment Plan
DFARS—Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement
DFC—Defense Force Commander
DIA—Defense Intelligence Agency
DIRLAUTH—Direct liaison authorized
DoD—Department of Defense
DoDD—Department of Defense Directive
DoDI—Department of Defense Instruction
DoS—Department of State
DRU—Direct Reporting Unit
DTA—Defense Threat Assessment
DTM—Directive Type Memorandum
DTRA—Defense Threat Reduction Agency
ECC—Emergency Control Center
ECD—Estimated Completion Date
EDE—Explosive Detection Equipment
EET—Exercise Evaluation Team
EM—Emergency Management
EMWG—Emergency Management Working Group
EOD—Explosive Ordnance Disposal

FHP—Force Health Protection
FOA—Field Operating Agency
FP—Force Protection
FPD—Force Protection Detachment
FPSG—Force Protection Steering Group
FPWG—Force Protection Working Group
FPCON—Force Protection Condition
FPI—Force Protection Intelligence
FSS—Force Support Squadron
FYDP—Future Years Defense Program
GCC—Geographic Combatant Commander
GSU—Geographically Separated Unit
HHA—Higher Headquarters Assessment
HAF—Headquarters Air Force
HN—Host Nation
HRB—High-Risk Billet
HRP—High-Risk Personnel
HSPD—Homeland Security Presidential Directive
ID—Integrated Defense
IDC—Integrated Defense Council
IDP—Integrated Defense Plan
IDRMP—Integrated Defense Risk Management Process
IDS—Intrusion Detection System
IDWG—Integrated Defense Working Group
IED—Improvised Explosive Device
IFC—Information Fusion Cell
INWS—Installation Notification and Warning System
IO—Intelligence Oversight
IPE—Individual Protective Equipment
JA—Judge Advocate
JAT—Joint Antiterrorism Guide
JLLIS—Joint Lessons Learned Information System

JNLWD—Joint Non-Lethal Weapons Directorate

JP—Joint Publication

JRO-CBRND —Joint Requirements Office for Chemical, Biological, Radiological and Nuclear Defense

JSIVA—Joint Staff Integrated Vulnerability Assessment

LFA—Lead Federal Agency

MAA—Mutual Aid Agreement

MAJCOM—Major Command

MCRP—Medical Contingency Response Plan

MEF—Mission Essential Function

MILCON—Military Construction

MOA—Memorandum of Agreement

MOU—Memorandum of Understanding

MTT—Mobile Training Team

NACI—National Agency Check with Inquiries

NAF—Numbered Air Force

NCIC—National Crime Information Center

NEO—Noncombatant Evacuation Operation

OASD—Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense

OASD SO/LIC & IC—Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low-Intensity Conflict and Interdependent Capabilities

OASD PA—Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Public Affairs

OCONUS—Outside the Continental United States

OPCON—Operational Control

OPM—Office of Personnel Management

OPORD—Operation Order

OPR—Office of Primary Responsibility

OPSEC—Operations Security

OSD—Office of the Secretary of Defense

PA—Public Affairs

PE—Program Element

PEC—Program Element Code

PEM—Program Element Monitor

PHEO—Public Health Emergency Officer
PIR—Priority Intelligence Requirement
POA&M—Plan of Action and Milestone
POD—Port of Debarkation
POE—Port of Embarkation
POM—Program Objective Memorandum
PPB&E—Planning, Programming, Budgeting and Execution
PPO—Protection Providing Organization
PPE—Personal Protective Equipment
PSD—Protective Service Detail
PSEAG—Physical Security Equipment Action Group
PSVA—Personal Security Vulnerability Assessment
RAM—Random Antiterrorism Measure
RIEVC—Random Installation Entry/Exit Vehicle Check
RVI—Random Vehicle Inspection
SAF/AA—Secretary of the Air Force Administrative Assistant
SAF/FM—Assistant Secretary of the Air Force, Financial Management and Comptroller
SAF/IG—Secretary of Air Force Inspector General
SAF/PA—Secretary of Air Force Public Affairs
SAR/SIR—Suspicious Activity Report/Suspicious Incident Report
SecAF—Secretary of the Air Force
SCI—Sensitive Compartmentalized Information
SME—Subject Matter Expert
SOFA—Status of Forces Agreement
TACON—Tactical Control
TDY—Temporary Duty
TIC/TIM—Toxic Industrial Chemical/Toxic Industrial Material
TSWG—Technical Support Working Group
TTL—Terrorism Threat Level
TTP—Tactic, Technique and Procedure
TWG—Threat Working Group
UCP—Unified Command Plan

UFC—Unified Facilities Criteria

UMD—Unit Manning Document

UTC—Unit Type Code

VA—Vulnerability Assessment

VAPO—Vulnerability Assessment Protection Option

VAT—Vulnerability Assessment Team

VBIED—Vehicle Borne Improvised Explosive Device

WMD—Weapon of Mass Destruction

Terms

Active Defense—The employment of limited offensive action and counterattacks to deny a contested area or position to the enemy.

Air Force Emergency Management (EM) Program—The single, integrated Air Force program to coordinate and organize efforts to prepare for, prevent, respond to, recover from and mitigate the direct and indirect consequences of an emergency or attack. The primary missions of the Air Force EM program are to (1) save lives, (2) minimize the loss or degradation of resources and (3) continue, sustain and restore combat and combat support operational capability in an all-hazards physical threat environment at Air Force installations worldwide. The ancillary missions of the Air Force EM program are to support homeland defense and civil support operations and to provide support to civil and host nation authorities IAW DoD directives and through the appropriate Combatant Command. The Air Force EM program is managed by the Office of The Civil Engineer, AF/A7C.

Antiterrorism (AT)—Defensive measures used to reduce the vulnerability of individuals and property to terrorist acts, to include limited response and containment by local military and civilian forces.

Assessment—Analysis of the security, effectiveness and potential of an existing or planned intelligence activity (JP 1-02). [The evaluation of progress toward the creation of effects and the achievement of objectives and end state conditions.] Words in brackets apply only to the Air Force and are offered for clarity.

AT Awareness—Fundamental knowledge of both the terrorist threat and the measures to reduce personal vulnerability to terrorism.

AT Officer (ATO)—The principal military or civilian advisor charged with managing the AT program for the commander or DoD civilian exercising equivalent authority.

AT Planning—The process of developing specific guidance and execution-oriented instructions for subordinates. An AT plan contains command-specific guidance for the establishment of an AT program and the implementation of the AT Standards.

AT Program—One of several security-related programs that fall under the overarching combating terrorism and FP programs. The AT program is a collective, proactive effort focused on the prevention and detection of terrorist attacks against DoD personnel and their families, facilities, installations and infrastructure critical to mission accomplishment as well as the

preparation to defend against and planning for the response to the consequences of terrorist incidents. Although not elements of AT, plans for terrorism consequence management preparedness and response measures as well as plans for continuing essential military operations are important adjuncts to an effective AT program. The minimum elements of an AT program are AT risk management, planning, training and exercises, resource application and program reviews.

AT Program Review—The systematic assessment of the AT program against the AT Standards.

AT Resource Application—The process of applying risk management to vulnerabilities and where the resultant risk is not acceptable after applying mitigation measures, elevating the vulnerability with a resource request using the existing PPB&E system, the CCIF, the Physical Security Program and other funding mechanisms. Central to success in resource application is tracking and ensuring sufficient funding for identified AT program life-cycle costs and assessed shortfalls to mitigate risk associated with terrorist capabilities.

AT Risk Management—The process of systematically identifying, assessing and controlling risks arising from operational factors and making decisions that balance possible adverse outcomes with mission benefits. The end products of the AT program risk management process shall be the identification of DoD elements and personnel that are vulnerable to the identified threat attack means. From the assessment of risk based upon the three critical components of AT risk management (threat assessment, criticality assessment and vulnerability assessment), the commander must determine which DoD elements and personnel are at greatest risk and how best to employ given resources and FP measures to deter, mitigate or prepare for a terrorist incident.

AT Training and Exercises—The process of developing individual, leader and collective skills and of conducting comprehensive exercises to validate plans for AT incident response, consequence management and continuity of essential military operations.

Biological Select Agents and Toxins (BSAT)—Biological agents and toxins that present a high bioterrorism risk to national security and have the greatest potential for adverse public health impact with mass casualties of humans and/or animals or that pose a severe threat to plant health or to plant products. The lists are reviewed and updated by HHS/CDC and USDA/APHIS. Agents and toxins that are excluded in Title 42, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 73, and Title 7, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 331 are excluded as BSAT.

Combating Terrorism (CbT)—For the purposes of this Instruction, combating terrorism within the DoD encompasses all actions, including AT, counterterrorism, terrorism consequence management (preparation for and response to the consequences of a terrorist incident or event) and terrorism intelligence support (collection and dissemination of terrorism-related information), taken to oppose terrorism throughout the entire threat spectrum, including terrorist use of CBRNE.

Commander—Personnel assigned to command positions at all levels and the heads of the Defense Agencies and DoD Field Activities.

Commander's Critical Information Requirements (CCIR)—An information requirement identified by the commander as being critical to facilitating timely decision-making. The two key elements are friendly force information requirements (FFIR) and priority intelligence requirements (PIR).

Consequence Management—For the purpose of this Instruction, consequence management is those measures taken to protect public health and safety, restore essential government services, and provide emergency relief to governments, businesses and individuals affected by the consequences of a CBRNE situation. For domestic consequence management, the primary authority rests with the States to respond. The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) is the Lead Federal Agency (LFA) for domestic consequence management and the Department of State (DoS) is the LFA for foreign consequence management.

Coordination—The necessary action to ensure adequate exchange of information to integrate, synchronize and deconflict operations between separate organizations. Coordination is not necessarily a process of gaining approval, but is most often used for mutual exchange of information. Normally used between functions of a supporting staff. Direct liaison authorized (DIRLAUTH) is used to coordinate with an organization outside of the immediate staff or organization.

Counterintelligence (CI)—Information gathered and activities conducted to protect against espionage, other intelligence activities, sabotage or assassinations conducted by or on behalf of foreign governments or elements thereof, foreign organizations or persons, or international terrorist activities.

Criminal Intelligence (CRIMINT)—Law enforcement information derived from the analysis of information collected through investigations, forensics, crime scene and evidentiary processes to establish intent, history, capability, vulnerability and modus operandi of threat and criminal elements.

Crisis Management—For the purpose of this Instruction, crisis management is those measures taken to resolve a hostile situation and to investigate and prepare a criminal case for prosecution under Federal law. Crisis management shall include a response to an incident involving WMD, an improvised explosive device, or a hostage crisis that is beyond the capability of the LFA.

Critical Asset—An asset that is of such extraordinary importance that its incapacitation or destruction would have a serious, debilitating effect on the ability of one or more DoD Components or Defense Infrastructure Sector Lead Agents to execute the task or mission essential tasks it supports. Task Critical Assets are used to identify defense critical assets.

Criticality Assessment—For the purposes of this Instruction, an assessment of the effect of temporary or permanent loss of key assets or infrastructures on the installation or a unit's ability to perform its mission. The assessment also examines costs of recovery and reconstitution including time, funds, capability and infrastructure support.

Defense Critical Asset—An asset of such extraordinary importance to DoD operations in peace, crisis and war that its incapacitation or destruction would have a very serious, debilitating effect on the ability of the Department of Defense to fulfill its mission.

Defense Critical Infrastructure (DCI)—Department of Defense and non-Department of Defense networked infrastructure essential to project, support and sustain military forces and operations worldwide.

Design Basis Threat (DBT)—The threat upon which a system of countermeasures protecting assets is based. The design basis threat includes the aggressor tactics and the associated weapons, explosives, tools, and agents.

Deterrence—The prevention from action by fear of the consequences. Deterrence is a state of mind brought about by the existence of a credible threat of unacceptable counteraction.

DoD AT Program—The minimum elements of the DoD AT program as a whole and of DoD Component AT programs are AT risk management, planning, training and exercises, resource application and program review.

DoD Civilian Work Force—U.S. citizens or foreign nationals working for the Department of Defense and paid from appropriated or non-appropriated funds under permanent or temporary appointment. This includes employees filling full-time, part-time, intermittent or on-call positions. Specifically excluded are all government contractor employees.

DoD Component—The Office of the Secretary of Defense, the Military Departments, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the combatant commands, the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Defense, the Department of Defense Agencies, field activities and all other organizational entities in the Department of Defense.

DoD Contractor—Any individual, firm, corporation, partnership, association or other legal non-Federal entity that enters into a contract directly with the Department of Defense to furnish services, supplies or both, including construction. Defense contractors may include U.S. nationals, local citizens or third country nationals. Defense contractors do not include foreign governments or representatives of foreign governments that are engaged in selling to the Department of Defense or a DoD Component or foreign corporations wholly owned by foreign governments.

DoD Elements and Personnel—For the purposes of this Instruction, DoD military and civilian personnel and their dependent family members; DoD contractors; DoD installations and facilities; DoD-owned, leased or managed defense critical infrastructure.

DoD Personnel—For the purposes of this Instruction, Uniformed Military Service members and DoD Federal civilian employees hired and paid from appropriated and non-appropriated funds under permanent or temporary appointment.

Eagle Eyes Program—A CSAF-approved Air Force antiterrorism defensive program created to enhance the collection of threat information by educating members of the Total Force and off-base citizens on the nature of terrorist attack-planning activities. The program also establishes 24-hour phone numbers to call when suspicious behavior is observed.

Emergency Responders—The response elements of a Disaster Response Force (DRF) that deploy to the accident scene after the First Responders to expand Command and Control and perform support functions. Emergency Responders include follow-on elements such as firefighters, law enforcement personnel, security personnel, and emergency medical technicians, as well as emergency management personnel, EOD personnel, physicians, nurses, medical treatment providers at medical treatment facilities, public health officers, bioenvironmental engineering personnel, and mortuary affairs personnel. Emergency Responders also include specialized teams such as the Emergency Management Support Team (EMST) or Shelter Management Team (SMT). Not all Emergency Responders are First Responders, but all First Responders are Emergency Responders. First Responders are not assigned as augmentees or to additional duties that will conflict with their emergency duties. For further information on DRF, EMST and SMT see AFI 10-2501.

Facility—A real property entity consisting of one or more of the following: a building, a structure, a utility system, pavement and underlying land.

Family Member—Individuals defined as Dependents in Section 1072(2) of Title 10 U.S.C.

First Responder—The DRF elements that deploy immediately to the disaster scene to provide initial C2, to save lives, and to suppress and control hazards. Firefighters, law enforcement/security personnel, key medical personnel, and EOD during IED and nuclear accident response operations provide the initial, immediate response to a CBRNE incident. All First Responders are Emergency Responders, but not all Emergency Responders are First Responders.

Food and Water Security—The protection of food and water sources from disruption and contamination or other terrorist acts that could severely impact operations. Food and water security measures include those actions taken to detect, prevent and mitigate the effects from intentional acts designed to disrupt or contaminate food and water sources.

Force Health Protection (FHP)—A comprehensive threat-based program directed at preventing and managing health-related actions against Air Force uncommitted combat power.

Force Protection (FP)—Actions taken to prevent or mitigate hostile actions against Department of Defense personnel (to include family members), resources, facilities and critical information. These actions conserve the force's fighting potential so it can be applied at the decisive time and place and incorporate the coordinated and synchronized offensive and defensive measures to enable the effective employment of the joint force while degrading opportunities for the enemy. Force protection does not include actions to defeat the enemy or protect against accidents, weather or disease (JP 1-02). [The process of detecting threats and hazards to the Air Force and its mission, and applying measures to deter, preempt, negate, or mitigate them based on an acceptable level of risk (AFDD 3-10). The Air Force's integrated approach demands that actions to both defeat the enemy and protect against hazards such as accidents, weather, disease, and natural disasters be incorporated in how the AF defines Force Protection.]

Force Protection Condition (FPCON)—A DoD-approved system standardizing the Department's identification, recommended preventive actions and responses to terrorist threats against U.S. personnel and facilities. This system is the principal means for a commander to apply an operational decision on how to protect against terrorism. It facilitates inter-Service coordination and support for AT activities.

Force Protection Detachment (FPD)—A CI element that provides comprehensive CI support to transiting ships, personnel and/or aircraft in regions of elevated threat.

Force Protection Intelligence (FPI)—Analyzed, all-source intelligence that, when integrated or fused with other FP information, provides an assessment of the threats to DoD mission, people or resources. FPI is proactive and drives FP decisions in support of the commander's intent.

High-Risk Billet (HRB)—Authorized personnel billet (designated by the appropriate authority as identified in DoDI O-2000.22) that because of grade (normally, General, Admiral or Senior Executive Service equivalent), assignment (assigned in a country with a DIA terrorist threat level of Significant or higher), travel itinerary or symbolic value may make a person filling it an especially attractive or accessible terrorist target.

High-Risk Personnel (HRP)—Personnel who, by their grade, assignment, symbolic value or relative isolation, are likely to be attractive or accessible terrorist targets.

Higher Headquarters Assessment (HHA)—An overall assessment by a higher headquarters of how an organization is managing its AT program, including management and compliance efforts by subordinate organizations.

Installation—A grouping of facilities, located in the same vicinity, which support particular functions. Installations may be elements of a base.

Installation Commander—The individual responsible for all operations performed by an installation.

Integrated Defense—Integrated Defense is the application of active and passive defense measures, employed across the legally-defined ground dimension of the operational environment, to mitigate potential risks and defeat adversary threats to Air Force operations. Also called ID (AFPD 31-1).

Intelligence—1.) The product resulting from the collection, processing, integration, evaluation, analysis and interpretation of available information concerning foreign countries or areas. 2.) The information and knowledge about an adversary obtained through observation, investigation, analysis, or understanding. [The term is also applied to the activity which results in the product and to the organizations engaged in such activity.]

Joint Staff Integrated Vulnerability Assessment (JSIVA)—A vulnerability-based evaluation of an installation's ability to deter and/or respond to a terrorist incident. A vulnerability-based assessment considers both the current threat and the capabilities that may be employed by both transnational and local terrorist organizations, both in terms of their mobility and the types of weapons historically employed.

Mutual Aid Agreement (MAA)—A written agreement between agencies or jurisdictions in which they agree to assist each other on request by furnishing personnel and equipment.

Physical Security—For the purposes of this Instruction, that part of security concerned with physical measures designed to safeguard personnel; to prevent unauthorized access to equipment, installations, material and documents; and to safeguard them against espionage, sabotage, damage and theft.

Priority Intelligence Requirement (PIR)—Those intelligence requirements for which a commander has an anticipated and stated priority in the task of planning and decision-making.

Program Review—A review conducted to evaluate the effectiveness and adequacy of AT program implementation. The evaluation shall include an assessment of the degree to which AF AT Programs comply with the standards prescribed in this instruction.

Protection Providing Organization (PPO)—Refers collectively to the U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Command, the Naval Criminal Investigative Service, Air Force Office of Special Investigations, the Defense Criminal Investigative Service, the Pentagon Force Protection Agency, and the National Security Agency.

Protective Services—A specialized activity, which increases the personal safety and security of a distinguished visitor or other principal. The activity may be limited to a protective threat assessment or may extend to a major PSD involving considerable manpower and resources.

Protective Service Detail (PSD)—Trained and armed protective security officials capable of providing continuous protection for a designated individual.

Security—For the purposes of this Instruction, measures taken by a military unit, activity, or installation to protect against all acts designed to, or that may, impair its effectiveness. Also, a condition that results from establishing and maintaining protective measures that ensures a state of inviolability from hostile acts or influences.

Separate Facility—A real property entity consisting of one or more of the following: a building, a structure, a utility system, pavement and underlying land that is separate from an installation and has inherent responsibility for emergency response functions, e.g., 911 response functions.

Special Event—An activity characterized by a large concentration of personnel and/or a gathering where distinguished visitors are involved, often associated with a unique or symbolic event.

Support Agreement—Written agreement between agencies, organizations, or jurisdictions that they will assist one another on request by furnishing personnel, equipment, or expertise in a specified manner. Reciprocal assistance between a local government and an installation for emergency services under a pre-arranged plan. Mutual aid is synonymous with mutual assistance, outside aid, memorandums of understanding, memorandums of agreement, letters of agreement, cooperative assistant agreement, intergovernmental compacts, or other similar agreements, written or verbal, that constitute an agreed reciprocal assistance plan for sharing emergency services. MAAs between entities are an effective means to obtain resources and should be developed whenever possible. MAAs should be in writing, be reviewed by legal counsel, and be signed by a responsible official.

TACON for FP—TACON that enables the GCC to order implementation of FP measures and to exercise the security responsibilities outlined in any MOA concluded pursuant to MOU between DOS and DoD, and Security of DoD Elements and Personnel in Foreign Areas (known as the Universal MOU). Further, TACON for FP authorizes the GCC to change, modify, prescribe and enforce FP measures for covered forces. This relationship includes the authority to inspect and assess security requirements, and direct DoD activities to identify the resources required to correct deficiencies and submit budget requests to parent organizations to fund identified corrections. The GCC may also direct immediate FP measures (including temporary relocation and departure) when in his or her judgment such measures must be accomplished without delay to ensure the safety of the DoD personnel involved. Persons subject to TACON for FP of a GCC include Active and Reserve Component personnel (including National Guard personnel in a Title 10 status (Sections 134 and 1072(2)) in the AOR as well as all DoD civilian employees and their family members in the AOR.

Terrorism—The calculated use of unlawful violence or threat of unlawful violence to inculcate fear; intended to coerce or to intimidate governments or societies in the pursuit of goals that are generally political, religious, or ideological.

Terrorism Consequence Management—DoD preparedness and response for mitigating the consequences of a terrorist incident, including the terrorist use of WMD. DoD consequence management activities are designed to support the lead Federal agency (domestically, the Department of Homeland Security; foreign, the Department of State) and include measures to

alleviate damage, loss of life, hardship, or suffering caused by the incident; protect public health and safety; and restore emergency essential government services.

Terrorism Incident Response Measures—A set of procedures established for response forces to deal with the effects of a terrorist incident.

Terrorism Threat Assessment—The process used to conduct a threat analysis and develop an evaluation of a potential terrorist threat or the product of a threat analysis for a particular unit, installation, or activity.

Terrorism Threat Level (TTL)—An intelligence threat assessment of the level of terrorist threat faced by U.S. personnel and interests. The assessment is based on a continuous intelligence analysis of a minimum of four elements: terrorist group operational capability, intentions, activity and operational environment. There are four threat levels: LOW, MODERATE, SIGNIFICANT and HIGH. Threat levels should not be confused with FPCONs. Threat-level assessments are provided to senior leaders to assist them in determining the appropriate local FPCON.

Terrorist—An individual who commits an act or acts of violence or threatens violence in pursuit of political, religious, or ideological objectives.

Terrorist Groups—Any number of terrorists who assemble together, have a unifying relationship, or are organized for the purpose of committing an act or acts of violence or threatens violence in pursuit of their political, religious, or ideological objectives.

Unit—1. Any military element whose structure is prescribed by competent authority, such as a table of organization and equipment; specifically, part of an organization. 2. An organization title of a subdivision of a group in a task force. 3. With regard to Reserve Components of the Armed Forces, denotes a Selected Reserve unit organized, equipped and trained for mobilization to serve on active duty as a unit or to augment or be augmented by another unit.

Unit Antiterrorism Representative—The principal military or civilian advisor charged with managing the AT program for a unit or DoD element not required to have an ATO as stipulated in Standard 9.

Vulnerability—In AT, a situation or circumstance which, if left unchanged, may result in the loss of life or damage to mission-essential resources. It includes the characteristics of an installation, system, asset, application, or its dependencies that could cause it to suffer a degradation or loss (incapacity to perform its designated function) as a result of having been subjected to a certain level of threat or hazard.

Vulnerability Assessment (VA)—A Department of Defense, command, or unit-level evaluation (assessment) to determine the vulnerability of a terrorist attack against an installation, unit, exercise, port, ship, residence, facility, or other site. Identifies areas of improvement to withstand, mitigate, or deter acts of violence or terrorism.

Weapons Of Mass Destruction (WMD)—Chemical, biological, radiological, or nuclear weapons capable of a high order of destruction or causing mass casualties; excludes the means of transporting or propelling the weapon where such means is a separable and divisible part from the weapon.

Attachment 1 (AMC)

GLOSSARY OF REFERENCES AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION

References

AMC HOI 10-246, *Antiterrorism Program*, 7 December 2010

USNORTHCOMI 10-222, *USNORTHCOM Force Protection (FP) Mission and Antiterrorism Program*, 17 Feb 2010

AMCVA 10-245 *FPCON ALPHA* Sign

AMCVA 10-246 *FPCON BRAVO* Sign

AMCVA 10-247 *FPCON CHARLIE* Sign

AMCVA 10-248 *FPCON DELTA* Sign

Abbreviations and Acronyms

AMC-Air Mobility Command

HOI—Headquarters Operating Instructions

Attachment 1 (DOVERAFB)**GLOSSARY OF REFERENCES AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION*****References***

AFI 10-245, *Antiterrorism (AT)* 21 Sep 12

Prescribed Forms/Information Management Tools (IMTs)

No forms prescribed by this publication.

Adopted Forms/IMTs

AF Form 332, *Base Civil Engineer Work Request*

Abbreviations and Acronyms

AT – Antiterrorism

ATPM –Wing AT Program Manager

BPA - Blanket Purchase Agreement

CARM - Critical Asset Risk Management

CAT - Crisis Action Team

CITA - Commander’s Integrated Threat Assessment

DBT - Design Basis Threat

DHS - Department of Homeland Security

EIM - Enterprise Information Management

FPEC - Force Protection Executive Council

FPWG - Force Protection Working Group

IATP - Individual Antiterrorism Terrorism Plan

ICC - Installation Command Center

IFC - Intelligence Fusion Cell

MANPAD - Man Portable Air Defense

MEVA - Mission Essential Vulnerable Area

MTT – Mobile Training Team

OPLAN - Operations Plan

PR - Program Review

RA - Risk Assessment

TA - Threat Assessment

TBD – To Be Determined

TWG – Threat Working Group

Attachment 2

FORCE PROTECTION CONDITION (FPCON) MEASURES

A2.1. General. The DoD FPCON System describes the progressive level of protective measures that are implemented by all the DoD Components in anticipation of or in response to a terrorist threat or attack. The FPCON System is the principal means through which commanders apply an operational decision on how to best guard against the terrorist threat. The protective measures identified in the FPCON System assist commanders in reducing the risks of terrorist attacks and other security threats to DoD personnel, units and activities. The measures below are derived from DoD AT Standard 22 (DODI 2000.16, Enclosure 4, *DoD FPCON*) and include AF modifications. Commanders will ensure these measures and developed measures address local and operational risks. Commanders must consider GCC policies, local laws, mutual support agreements and/or the SOFA. Air Force commanders are responsible for implementing FPCON measures.

A2.1.1. Commanders shall ensure the following when implementing FPCON measures:

A2.1.1.1. Ensure there is an effective AT plan and the plan is widely known and practiced in order to use every airman as a sensor.

A2.1.1.2. Analyze the threat and plan courses of action to defeat those threats. Detailed planning against plausible enemy courses of action will often point to vulnerabilities which can be mitigated through adjustments to TTPs and further mitigated through innovation and programming.

A2.1.1.3. Consider force-on-force, “red team,” or intruder exercises to test COAs. Development and implementation of effective friendly COAs to counter known threats offers a reasonable deterrent effect and the opportunity for long-term success against terrorist attack.

A2.1.1.4. Ensure personnel assigned tasks directed by FPCON measures are properly trained and available to carry out the tasks.

A2.1.1.5. Based on the threat, employ sufficient patrols to deter enemy action, disrupt terrorist planning and respond to incidents or attacks against the installation. Patrols should focus protection on critical operational assets, mission support infrastructure and mass gathering locations.

A2.1.1.6. Consider placing barriers to create standoff around identified critical assets, facilities with BSAT, restricted areas, high-occupancy facilities, flight line entry points and high value resource areas.

A2.1.1.7. Review and be familiar with mutual aid and host-tenant support agreements. Keep law enforcement agencies (federal, state and local) appraised of the current situation and threat to determine the level of incident support the installation provides or receives.

A2.1.1.8. Ensure the installation Disaster Response Force and its sub elements are trained and available for response as described in AFI 10-2501.

A2.1.2. The DoD FPCON System consists of five progressive levels of increasing AT protective measures.

A2.1.3. Site-specific AT measures and physical security actions, linked to a FPCON, shall be classified CONFIDENTIAL. When separated from the AT plan, specific AT measures linked to a FPCON and site-specific FPCON levels may be downgraded to FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY, if appropriate.

A2.1.4. Upon declaration of a FPCON level, all listed security measures for that FPCON level shall be implemented immediately unless waived in writing by the appropriate GCC or delegated representative. In non-DoD controlled facilities housing DoD occupants, DoD organizations shall implement applicable FPCON measures in space directly controlled by DoD to the extent possible. The supplementing RAMs and command-unique or site-specific measures should also be implemented to complicate a terrorist group's operational planning and targeting.

A2.1.5. AF installations and separate facilities shall supplement each FPCON measure in this instruction with site-specific details describing how the measure is to be implemented locally, to include responsibilities assigned to subordinate units and organizations.

A2.1.6. Air field-specific measures are for installations and facilities with a permanently functioning air field. Installations and facilities with an emergency helicopter pad should review and implement any applicable air field-specific measures when they anticipate air operations.

A2.1.7. The CBRNE-related FPCON measures contained in this publication are not all inclusive. Additional CBRNE FPCON measures are in the AF Civil Engineer Support Agency (AFCESA) CEMP 10-2 template and AFMAN 10-2503, *Operations in a Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear, and High- Yield Explosive (CBRNE) Environment*. Each MAJCOM and installation should also develop additional CBRNE FPCON measures applicable to their location.

A2.2. FPCON NORMAL. This condition applies when a general global threat of possible terrorist activity exists and warrants a routine security posture. At a minimum, access control will be conducted at all DoD installations and facilities.

A2.2.1. Measure NORMAL 1: Secure and randomly inspect buildings, rooms and storage areas not in regular use.

A2.2.1.1. (**Added-AMC**) NORMAL 2.1.1. (AF Added) In addition to and when MWDs are not available, utilize all available electronic screening devices (ION Scanner/Vapor Tracer, etc.) to enhance and supplement detection of a vehicle borne improvised explosive device (VBIED).

A2.2.2. Measure NORMAL 2 (AF Modified): Conduct random security checks of vehicles and persons entering facilities under the jurisdiction of the United States.

A2.2.2.1. Measure NORMAL 2.1 (AF Added): Conduct random vehicle inspections at installation entry points in addition to Random Installation Entry/Exit Vehicle Check (RIEVC) as directed by installation commanders. Implement 100% inspection of large commercial vehicles. MAJCOM and local planners should define large commercial vehicles in IDPs based on geographical location, character of local transport and threat.

Conduct random vehicle inspections at entrances to restricted areas beyond inspection requirements listed in AFI 31-101.

A2.2.3. Measure NORMAL 3: Limit access points for vehicles and personnel commensurate with a reasonable flow of traffic.

A2.2.4. Measure NORMAL 4 (AF Modified): Identify defense critical infrastructure and critical assets, facilities with BSAT, and high-occupancy buildings.

A2.2.5. Measure NORMAL 5 (AF Added): Implement a daily RAM program involving the entire installation with emphasis on identifying surveillance activities and disrupting the terrorist attack cycle. Installations will tailor their RAM program to meet the threat and mitigate vulnerabilities.

A2.2.6. Measure NORMAL 6 (AF Added): Conduct 100% "hands on" identification verification of all vehicle operators and pedestrians entering installations. When available, employ the Defense Biometric Identification System (DBIDS) to validate identification credentials.

A2.2.7. Measure NORMAL 7 (AF Added): Where no permanent channeling measures are built into the gates, emplace barriers or obstacles on in-bound and out-bound lanes at installation entry points to mitigate high-speed installation access through entry and exit lanes, IAW UFC 4-022-01. Prevent base entry through exit lanes. Ensure sufficient number and types of barriers remain for increased FPCON/RAMs.

A2.2.8. Measure NORMAL 8 (AF Added): Identify local vendors that are able to provide rapid stocks of emergency response equipment and supplies IAW local response plans.

A2.3. FPCON ALPHA (AF Modified). This condition applies when there is an increased general threat of possible terrorist activity against personnel or facilities, the nature and extent of which are unpredictable, and circumstances do not justify full implementation of FPCON BRAVO measures. However, it may be necessary to implement certain measures from higher FPCONs measures resulting from intelligence received or as a deterrent. The measures in this FPCON must be capable of being maintained indefinitely.

A2.3.1. Measure ALPHA 1: Fully implement all measures of FPCON NORMAL.

A2.3.2. Measure ALPHA 2: At regular intervals, inform personnel and family members of the general situation. Ensure personnel arriving for duty are briefed on the threat. Also, remind them to be alert for and to report suspicious activities, such as the presence of unfamiliar personnel and vehicles, suspicious parcels and possible surveillance attempts.

A2.3.2.1. Measure ALPHA 2.1 (AF Added): Post signs at installation gates and utilize mass communication systems to inform/remind personnel of the FPCON level.

A2.3.3. Measure ALPHA 3: The duty officer or personnel with access to building plans as well as the plans for area evacuations must be available at all times. Plans should be in place to execute access control procedures. Key personnel required to implement security plans should be on-call and readily available.

A2.3.4. Measure ALPHA 4 (AF Modified): Increase random security checks of vehicles and persons entering installations or facilities under the jurisdiction of the United States.

A2.3.5. Measure ALPHA 5: Initiate food and water risk management procedures, brief personnel on food and water security procedures and report any unusual activities.

A2.3.6. Measure ALPHA 6 (AF Modified): Test mass notification systems weekly.

A2.3.7. Measure ALPHA 7: Review all plans, identify resource requirements and be prepared to implement measures of the next higher FPCON level.

A2.3.7.1. Measure ALPHA 7.1 (AF added): Review and be prepared to implement plans (to include AT, CEMP 10-2, IDP, MCRP/Mass Casualty Plan, Disease Containment Plan (DCP), etc.) and most recent VA reports, and identify resource requirements. Review dependent, civilian and military personnel evacuation plans and support agreements with local officials.

A2.3.8. Measure ALPHA 8 (AF Modified): Review and, if necessary, implement security measures for DoD identified HRP IAW DoDI O-2000.22 and AFI 71-101, Volume 2.

A2.3.9. Measure ALPHA 9 (AF Modified): Consult local authorities on the threat and mutual AT measures. As appropriate, brief law enforcement agencies who provide support to the installation and request assistance as necessary to ensure protection of resources and personnel.

A2.3.10. Measure ALPHA 10: Review intelligence, CI and operations dissemination procedures.

A2.3.11. Measure ALPHA 11: Review barrier plans.

A2.3.12. Measure ALPHA 12 (AF Added): Secure access to all bulk quantity storage areas containing hazardous and flammable material.

A2.3.13. Measure ALPHA 13 (AF Added): Review and be prepared to implement CBRNE detection plan, ensuring it uses available detectors (deployment and home station assets).

A2.3.14. Measure ALPHA 14: Review all higher FPCON measures.

A2.3.15. Measure ALPHA 15 (AF Added): Review and be prepared to implement plans for centralized mail handling.

A2.4. FPCON BRAVO. Applies when an increased or more predictable threat of terrorist activity exists. Sustaining BRAVO measures for a prolonged period may affect operational capability and military-civil relationships with local authorities.

A2.4.1. Measure BRAVO 1: Fully implement all measures of lower FPCON levels.

A2.4.1.1. Measure BRAVO 1.1 (AF Added): Brief personnel on the updated threat and associated procedures. Update signs at installation gates and utilize mass communication systems to inform/remind personnel of the FPCON level.

A2.4.1.2. Measure BRAVO 1.2 (AF Added): Increase frequency of daily RAMs. Focus additional RAMs on current situation and nature of threat.

A2.4.1.3. Measure BRAVO 1.3 (AF Added): Have intelligence and OSI provide a CBRNE threat briefing to the Threat Working Group (TWG) or equivalent, if there is a threat of CBRNE. Include specific information such as probability of CBRNE use,

type(s) and amount of CBRNE material, likely CBRNE material release mechanisms and probable targets.

A2.4.1.4. Measure BRAVO 1.4 (AF Added): Verify the interoperability of CBRNE response procedures with local community resources, to include activities outlined in the CEMP 10-2, DCP, and MCRP.

A2.4.1.5. Measure BRAVO 1.5 (AF Added): Contact local hospitals and establish/ensure lines of communication for notification of the installation in the event of significant increase in antibiotic use or people exhibiting symptoms of exposure to CBRNE materials.

A2.4.2. Measure BRAVO 2 (AF Modified): Enforce control of entry into facilities containing defense critical infrastructure and critical assets, BSAT, lucrative targets, or high-profile locations. Randomly search vehicles entering these areas. Particular scrutiny should be given to vehicles that are capable of concealing a large explosive device sufficient to cause catastrophic damage to property or loss of life (e.g., cargo vans or delivery vehicles).

A2.4.3. Measure BRAVO 3 (AF Modified): Keep cars and objects (e.g., crates, trash containers) away from buildings to reduce vulnerability to bomb attacks. Apply this criterion to all critical and high-occupancy buildings (consider applying to all inhabited structures to the greatest extent possible). Standoff distance should be determined by the following factors: asset criticality, the protection level provided by the structure, and IED or Vehicle Borne IED (VBIED) threat and available security measures. Consider centralized parking and implementation of barrier plans. Utilize UFC 4-010-01 and UFC 4-010-02. AFH 10-2401, *Vehicle Bomb Mitigation Guide (FOUO)*, is an additional tool for standoff planning.

A2.4.4. Measure BRAVO 4: Secure and periodically inspect all buildings, rooms and storage areas not in regular use.

A2.4.5. Measure BRAVO 5: At the beginning and end of each workday, as well as at random intervals, inspect the interior and exterior of buildings in regular use for suspicious packages.

A2.4.6. Measure BRAVO 6: Implement mail-screening procedures to identify suspicious letters and parcels.

A2.4.7. Measure BRAVO 7: Randomly inspect commercial deliveries. Advise family members to check home deliveries.

A2.4.7.1. Measure BRAVO 7.1 (AF Added): Increase random security checks of vehicles and persons entering installations or facilities under the jurisdiction of the United States. Inspect all commercial deliveries (AF Baseline FPCON posture directs inspection of all large commercial vehicles in FPCON NORMAL).

A2.4.8. Measure BRAVO 8 (AF Modified): Randomly inspect food and water for evidence of tampering or contamination before use by DoD personnel. Inspections should include delivery vehicles, storage areas/facilities and storage containers.

A2.4.9. Measure BRAVO 9: Increase security measures and guard presence or initiate increased patrols and surveillance of DoD housing areas, schools, messes, on-base clubs, military treatment facilities and similar high-occupancy targets to improve deterrence and defense, and to build confidence among staff and family members.

A2.4.10. Measure BRAVO 10: Implement plans to enhance off-installation security for DoD facilities. In areas with Threat Levels of Moderate, Significant, or High, coverage includes facilities (e.g., DoD schools and daycare centers) and transportation services and routes (e.g., bus routes) used by DoD employees and family members.

A2.4.11. Measure BRAVO 11: Inform local security committees of actions being taken.

A2.4.11.1. Measure BRAVO 11.1 (AF Added): Consult local authorities on the threat and mutual AT measures. As appropriate, brief law enforcement agencies who provide support to the installation and request assistance as necessary to ensure protection of resources and personnel. As appropriate, coordinate with local authorities regarding infrastructure outside the installation, e.g., infrastructure supplying energy to the installation. **NOTE:** The following Transportation Protection Procedures are implemented during this measure. Postpone non-essential movements of Arms Ammunition and Explosives (AA&E) Category I and II items, classified munitions, and ship by military air if feasible. Plan for possible use of the installation as a DoD Safe Haven and review physical security standards in AA&E holding areas. Consider liaison with civil authorities to review specific local threat conditions or convene the Threat Working Group (TWG) to address the threat situation and possible additional protective measures.

A2.4.12. Measure BRAVO 12 (AF Modified): Verify identity of visitors to the installation and randomly inspect their suitcases, parcels and other containers. Visitors are non-DoD affiliated personnel who do not have official DoD credentials authorizing installation access.

A2.4.13. Measure BRAVO 13: Conduct random patrols to check vehicles, people and buildings.

A2.4.14. Measure BRAVO 14: As necessary, implement additional security measures for High-Risk Personnel (HRP).

A2.4.15. Measure BRAVO 15: Place personnel required for implementing AT plans on call; commanders should exercise discretion in approving absences.

A2.4.16. Measure BRAVO 16: Identify and brief personnel who may augment guard forces. Review specific rules of engagement including the use of deadly force.

A2.4.17. Measure BRAVO 17: As deemed appropriate, verify identity of personnel entering buildings.

A2.4.18. Measure BRAVO 18: Review status and adjust as appropriate operations security, communications security and information security procedures.

A2.4.19. Measure BRAVO 19 (AF Modified): (Airfield-specific) Limit access points in order to enforce entry control. As appropriate, erect barriers and establish manned checkpoints at entrances to airfields. Verify the identity of all individuals entering the airfield (flight line and support facilities) with no exceptions. Randomly inspect vehicles, briefcases and packages entering the airfield.

A2.4.20. Measure BRAVO 20: (Airfield-specific) Coordinate plans to safeguard aircraft departure and approach flight paths with local authorities. Be prepared to activate contingency plans and issue detailed air traffic control procedures. As appropriate, take

actions to mitigate the threat of surface- to-air missiles or standoff weapons that can be delivered from beyond the airfield perimeter.

A2.4.21. Measure BRAVO 21 (AF Added): Ensure CBRNE detectors are operational as outlined with the detection plan (deployment and home station assets).

A2.4.22. Measure BRAVO 22: Review all higher FPCON measures.

A2.5. FPCON CHARLIE. Applies when an incident occurs or intelligence is received indicating that some form of terrorist action or targeting against personnel or facilities is likely. Prolonged implementation of CHARLIE measures may create hardship and affect the activities of the unit and its personnel.

A2.5.1. Measure CHARLIE 1: Fully implement all measures of lower FPCON levels.

A2.5.1.1. Measure CHARLIE 1.1 (AF Added): Conduct 100% identification checks of all personnel entering the installation, to include vehicle passengers.

A2.5.1.2. Measure CHARLIE 1.2 (AF Added): Brief personnel on the updated threat and associated procedures. Update signs at installation gates and utilize mass communication systems to inform/remind personnel of the FPCON level. If a CBRNE threat exists, ensure the Disaster Response Force and, if permissible, local authorities are briefed on the threat.

A2.5.1.3. Measure CHARLIE 1.3 (AF Added): Increase frequency of daily RAMs. Focus additional RAMs on current situation and nature of threat.

A2.5.2. Measure CHARLIE 2: Recall additional required personnel. Ensure armed augmentation security personnel are aware of current rules of engagement and any applicable Status of Forces Agreements (SOFA). Review types of weapons and ammunition issued to augmentation security personnel; heightened threats may require employment of different weapon capabilities.

A2.5.3. Measure CHARLIE 3: Be prepared to react to requests for assistance from both local authorities and other installations in the region.

A2.5.3.1. Measure CHARLIE 3.1 (AF Added): Ensure flow of information between on and off-base medical treatment facilities participating in the medical surveillance program. Consider initiation of manual collection of data if the automated system has a 24-hour or more delay in providing results.

A2.5.3.2. Measure CHARLIE 3.2 (AF Added): Consider Noncombatant Evacuation Operations (NEO).

A2.5.4. Measure CHARLIE 4: Limit access points in order to enforce entry control. Randomly search vehicles.

A2.5.4.1. Measure CHARLIE 4.1 (AF Added): Increase random security checks of vehicles and persons entering installations or facilities under the jurisdiction of the United States.

A2.5.4.2. Measure CHARLIE 4.2 (AF Added): Implement procedures to expedite the entry of first and emergency responders onto the installation during emergencies. Ensure these procedures prevent unauthorized entry.

A2.5.5. Measure CHARLIE 5: Ensure or verify the identity of all individuals entering food and water storage and distribution centers using sign-in and sign-out logs at access control and entry points, and limit or inspect all personal items.

A2.5.6. Measure CHARLIE 6 (AF Modified): Initiate contingency (credible CBRNE threat) monitoring for chemical, biological and radiological contamination as required. Suspend contractors and off-facility users from tapping into the facility water system. An alternate locally-developed measure should be implemented when contractors are responsible for DoD water supplies or when water is provided by local (non-DoD) sources or agencies.

A2.5.6.1. Measure CHARLIE 6.1 (AF Added): If a CBRNE threat exists, ensure all shortages of C-CBRNE equipment and its potential impact is briefed to the installation commander.

A2.5.6.2. Measure CHARLIE 6.2 (AF Added): Verify operation, deploy and begin using all available CBRNE detectors (deployment and home station) as outlined in the detection plan.

A2.5.7. Measure CHARLIE 7: Increase standoff from sensitive buildings based on the threat. Implement barrier plan to hinder vehicle-borne attack.

A2.5.8. Measure CHARLIE 8 (AF Modified): Increase patrolling of the installation/facility to include waterside perimeters, if appropriate. Be prepared to assist local authorities in searching for threats outside the facility perimeter. For airfields, patrol or provide observation of aircraft parking areas and approach and departure flight corridors as appropriate to the threat (coordinate with Transportation Security Administration, Marine Patrol, United States Coast Guard and local law enforcement as required to cover off-facility approach and departure flight corridors).

A2.5.8. (AMC) Measure CHARLIE 8.1. (AF Modified). Post flight line ECPs with either SF augmentees or owner/user personnel; owner/user personnel are more familiar with authorized personnel in the area. Consider selective arming of personnel based on threat and contemporary operating environment.

A2.5.9. Measure CHARLIE 9 (AF Modified): Increase protection for all defense critical infrastructure, critical assets or BSAT facilities. Give special attention to and coordinate with local authorities regarding infrastructure outside the military establishment.

A2.5.9.1. Measure CHARLIE 9.1 (AF Added): Consider closing or enhancing security at remote sites and alternate, practice or training airfields.

A2.5.9.2. Measure CHARLIE 9.2 (AF Added): Protect DoD personnel at vulnerable mass gathering facilities during peak usage, especially near the installation perimeter. Coordinate protection of mass gathering facilities off the installation with civilian law enforcement agencies.

A2.5.10. Measure CHARLIE 10: To reduce vulnerability to attack, consult local authorities about closing public (and military) roads and facilities and coordinate any other precautionary measures taken outside the installation perimeter. NOTE: The following Transportation Protection Procedures are implemented during this measure. Provide armed military escort in separate military vehicles for all AA&E Category I and II items and

classified munitions and conduct liaison with state and/or local law enforcement officials prior to off-base movements.

A2.5.11. Measure CHARLIE 11: Randomly inspect suitcases, briefcases and packages being brought onto the installation through access control points and consider randomly searching them upon leaving the installation.

A2.5.12. Measure CHARLIE 12: Review personnel policy procedures to determine appropriate courses of action for dependent family members.

A2.5.13. Measure CHARLIE 13: Review access procedures for all non-U.S. personnel and adjust as appropriate. For airfields, consider terminating visitor access to the flight line and support facilities.

A2.5.13.1. (Added-AMC) Measure CHARLIE 13.1. All visitors allowed access to the flightline will be under escort and positive control at all times. Personal belongings should be searched prior to entry.

A2.5.13.2. (Added-AMC) CHARLIE 13.2. Review procedures for limiting installation access to mission essential personnel only.

A2.5.14. Measure CHARLIE 14: Consider escorting children to and from DoD schools (among options to consider are escorting school buses, recommending parents escort children to/from school, etc.). Review school closure procedures with the local school district.

A2.5.15. Measure CHARLIE 15: (Airfield-specific) Reduce flying to only essential operational flights. Implement appropriate flying countermeasures as directed by the Flight Wing Commander (military aircraft) or Transportation Security Administration (civilian aircraft). Consider relief landing ground actions to take for aircraft diversions into and out of an attacked airfield. Consider augmenting fire-fighting details.

A2.5.15.1. Measure CHARLIE 15.1 (AF Added): Consider aircraft dispersal, or the dispersal of other high value assets, based on assessment of local threat from standoff weapons, vulnerability of the assets and operational feasibility.

A2.5.16. Measure CHARLIE 16: Review all FPCON DELTA measures.

A2.6. FPCON DELTA. Applies in the immediate area where a terrorist attack has occurred or when intelligence has been received that terrorist action against a specific location or person is imminent. FPCON DELTA is usually declared as a localized condition. FPCON DELTA measures are not intended to be sustained for an extended duration.

A2.6.1. Measure DELTA 1 (AF Modified): Fully implement all measures of lower FPCON levels. As necessary, brief personnel on the updated threat and associated procedures and update signs at installation gates, utilize mass communication systems to inform/remind personnel of the FPCON level.

A2.6.2. Measure DELTA 2: Augment guards as necessary.

A2.6.3. Measure DELTA 3: Identify all vehicles within operational or mission support areas.

A2.6.4. Measure DELTA 4: Search all vehicles and their contents before allowing entrance to the installation. Selected pre-screened and constantly secured vehicles used to transport escorted very important personnel may be exempted.

A2.6.5. Measure DELTA 5: Control facility access and implement positive identification of all personnel with no exceptions.

A2.6.6. Measure DELTA 6: Search all personally carried items (e.g., suitcases, briefcases, packages, backpacks) brought into the installation or facility.

A2.6.7. Measure DELTA 7: Close all schools located on the installation.

A2.6.7.1. **(Added-AMC)** DELTA 7.1. Close non-essential operations and services such as the Commissary, AAFES, Food Court, etc.

A2.6.8. Measure DELTA 8: Make frequent checks of the exterior of buildings and of parking areas.

A2.6.9. Measure DELTA 9: Restrict all non-essential movement. NOTE: The following Transportation Protection Procedures are implemented during this measure. Temporarily suspend all AA&E shipments in and out of the local area except those needed for critical operational requirements; ship critical items by military air if feasible; and provide armed military escort for all AA&E shipments.

A2.6.10. Measure DELTA 10: (Airfield specific) Cease all flying except for specifically authorized operational sorties. Be prepared to deploy light aircraft and/or helicopters for surveillance tasks or to move internal security forces. Implement, if necessary, appropriate flying countermeasures.

A2.6.11. Measure DELTA 11: (Airfield specific) As appropriate, airfields should prepare to accept aircraft diverted from other stations.

A2.6.12. Measure DELTA 12: If permitted, close public and military roads and facilities. If applicable, close military roads allowing access to the airfield.

A2.6.13. Measure DELTA 13: Begin continuous monitoring for chemical, biological and radiological contamination.

A2.6.14. Measure DELTA 14 (AF Added): If not already accomplished and a credible threat exists, initiate collective protection operations and/or Shelter In Place (SIP) operations, as explained in AFMAN 10-2503.

Attachment 3

TERRORIST THREAT LEVELS

A3.1. General. The standardized DoD methodology that describes the terrorist threat to DoD personnel, facilities and interests shall be used to determine Terrorism Threat Levels (TTL). The Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA) sets the DoD TTL for all countries. Terrorism threat levels are established as the result of all-source analysis and incorporation of GCC and Military Department input. The Joint Intelligence Task Force – Countering Terrorism coordinates country TTLs with appropriate GCCs, Services and Defense Attaches.

A3.1.1. DIA and the responsible GCC may assign different threat levels to the same country. This is possible because analysts occasionally disagree about the conclusions to be drawn from available information. Threat assessments provide information to assist commanders in determining the appropriate FPCON level and measures. FPCON level declarations remain the exclusive responsibility of commanders. Threat levels are not tied to FPCON levels in any way and should not be confused. National-level DoD organizations cannot provide all intelligence that might be needed to make FPCON level determinations. Information from regional and tactical intelligence and local law enforcement authorities must also be considered.

A3.1.2. Threat assessments are not to be confused with DoD-designated high physical threat countries. DoD-designated high physical threat countries pertain exclusively to the DoD Travel Security Policy.

A3.2. Terrorist Threat Levels. In assessing the terrorist threat to U.S. personnel and interests, DoD intelligence agencies use a four-step scale to describe the severity of the threat. The following lists the threat levels and the combinations of analysis-based factors used to determine the level:

A3.2.1. **HIGH:** Anti-US terrorists are operationally active and use large casualty producing attacks as their preferred method of operation. There is a substantial DoD presence and the operating environment favors the terrorist.

A3.2.2. **SIGNIFICANT:** Anti-US terrorists are present and attack personnel as their preferred method of operation or a group uses large casualty producing attacks as their preferred method, but has limited operational activity. The operating environment is neutral.

A3.2.3. **MODERATE:** Terrorists are present, but there are no indications of anti-US activity. The operating environment favors the host nation/US.

A3.2.4. **LOW:** No group is detected or the group activity is non-threatening.

A3.3. Terrorist threat levels are a product of the following four factors.

A3.3.1. **Operational Capability.** This factor focuses on the attack methods used by the group and other measures that enhance its effectiveness, such as state sponsorship and ingenious use of technology. The key element is whether the group has the capability and willingness to conduct large casualty producing attacks, for example a suicide vehicle bomb containing thousands of kilograms of explosives or WMD timed to kill the most personnel at the target. Groups that selectively assassinate individuals or conduct late night bombings causing

limited property damage pose a decreased threat. The ability to operate on a regional or transnational basis and the overall professionalism of the group is also assessed.

A3.3.2. Intentions. This factor is the stated desire or history of terrorist attacks against U.S. interests. Recent substantial attacks in the country or, if the group is transnational, the conduct of operations in other countries is the higher end of the threat scale. This is especially true if the intentions are anti-DoD. The basis of the group ideology, whether the group is more focused on the host nation rather than U.S. interests is the other key component. Whether the group will react to high profile U.S.-led international events, such as intervention in the Balkans, is also considered and rated.

A3.3.3. Activity. This factor is an assessment of the actions the group is conducting and whether that activity is focused on serious preparations for an attack. The highest threat is credible indications of U.S. targeting to include the movement of key operatives, final intelligence collection and movement of weapons to the target vicinity. Less threatening actions are contingency planning, training and logistical support. Activities that would make the group less likely to attack, such as robust fund raising or effective safe haven are considered. Whether the group has recently been disrupted by arrests or strikes on training camps will reduce the threat, at least in the short term.

A3.3.4. Operating Environment. This factor rates how the overall environment influences the ability, opportunity and motivation to attack DoD interests in a given location. An important element of this factor is the capability of the host nation security apparatus to combat terrorism, its degree of cooperation with the U.S. and the quality of the reporting on terrorist groups in the country. A key element is whether there is a DoD presence and if so the type, size, location, political sensitivity and if temporary, its duration. It is also important to consider if the group is focused on DoD as its primary target for anti-US attacks. Another part of this factor is the overall political, economic and military stability of the country and its effect on the ability of a group to attack.

Attachment 4

RISK MANAGEMENT AND RESOURCING PROCESSES

A4.1. Overview. The commander has an inherent command responsibility to reduce risks that threaten the mission with available resources. Risk management, as described in AFPD 31-1, aids the commander in assessing risk. If the commander cannot internally correct, mitigate or assume risk, they must elevate these vulnerabilities and associated risk(s) through CVAMP. CVAMP will forward the vulnerability through the chain of command to the GCC, who will decide if the vulnerability will be reported higher.

A4.2. Resourcing. Emphasis should be placed on acquiring resources to detect, assess, warn, defend or recover in order to prevent hostile acts or mitigate the effects. It is inherent upon the organization to assess the resource requirement against other organizational unfunded or funded requirements and determine if an internal reallocation of funding is appropriate and possible. CVAMP is used to collate and track AT vulnerabilities and resourcing. The status of vulnerabilities entered into CVAMP should be documented in CVAMP.

A4.3. AF Funding Sources.

A4.3.1. Planning, Programming, Budget and Execution (PPB&E). The PPB&E process includes requests considered during the POM funding cycle. This program is for long-term planning and the funds will not be available for two to five years. Information on the PPB&E process can be found in the DoD Management Initiative Decision 913.

A4.3.2. Installation and MAJCOM budget process and commander's discretionary funds.

A4.3.3. Many PEC and/or funding appropriations (AT, Physical Security, EM, Medical, Construction, Base Defense, Base Operating Support, Communications, Weapons of Mass Destruction Threat Response, Nuclear, Biological and Chemical Defense Program, Fire Emergency Services; Sustainment Restoration and Modernization (SRM), and military construction (MILCON), etc.) may be used to fund AT resourcing activities. Commanders will consider all PEC and funding appropriation sources as authorized by law and AF policy and guidance.

A4.3.4. Use Antiterrorism Program Element 28047 as the primary funding source for manpower authorizations, antiterrorism equipment, procurement, military construction and the associated costs specifically identified and measurable to those resources and activities associated with the Air Force AT Program.

A4.3.5. Specialized funds designed for AT and the war on terrorism.

A4.4. Combatant Commander's Initiative Fund (CCIF). This program provides funds for emergency or unforeseen (emergent) high priority force protection projects or equipment. It is designed for requirements that need to be funded in the current fiscal year and provides a means for the GCC to react to unforeseen requirements from changes in a terrorist threat, threat levels, force protection doctrine/standards, as well as unanticipated requirements identified as a result of VAs, tactical operations and exercising AT plans. The fund is not intended to subsidize ongoing projects, supplement budget shortfalls, or support routine activities, which are a Service responsibility. Requests must be submitted through the responsible MAJCOM to the AF

Component Command which will submit to the appropriate GCC, and the GCC will prioritize and submit to the CJCS. Submission instructions are outlined in CJCSI 7401.1.

A4.4.1. Emergency CCIF Requirement. An unanticipated requirement created by a combination of circumstances or the resulting state that requires immediate action to prevent, deter, or respond to a terrorist act.

A4.4.2. Emergent CCIF Requirement. A newly-formed, unexpected requirement resulting from a logical consequence of unforeseen circumstances calling for prompt action.

A4.4.3. AF Component Commands to GCCs must submit requests for CCIF funds through CVAMP.

A4.4.4. Emergent requirements should be less than 2 years old. The requestor must have an approved, executable and exercised AT plan.

A4.5. Effectively Managing the Resource Allocation Process.

A4.5.1. Ensure you plan for training and maintenance costs into the out years.

A4.5.2. The ATO needs to work closely with affected functional areas, installation financial advisors/comptroller and contracting from the beginning to address requirements. This will assist in identifying the appropriation sources and funding amounts.

A4.5.3. Adequately articulating and justifying requirements is crucial.

A4.5.4. Determine all appropriate potential funding sources and submit requests through the various channels. Once a requirement is funded by a source, cancel all other requests. Note: The Joint Staff does not allow the same request to be submitted through both the PPB&E and CCIF process.

A4.5.5. There are organizations that can aid the commander in identifying technology to satisfy requirements: Physical Security Equipment Action Group (PSEAG), Technical Support Working Group (TSWG), Joint Requirement Office for Chemical, Biological, Radiological and Nuclear Defense (JRO-CBRND), and the Joint Non-Lethal Weapons Directorate (JNLWD). These organizations are separately funded to provide COTS information, rapid prototyping and research and development and/or evaluation of solutions for units in the field. They can provide information and research on technology and equipment evaluated and deemed suitable for your purpose. Additionally, they can provide field assessments to assist in identifying the optimal solutions to meet your requirements.

Attachment 5**AF APPROVED LEVEL II - ATO CERTIFICATION TRAINING COURSES**

A5.1. The following courses may certify AF personnel for completion of Level II - ATO Training.

Table A5.1. Air Force Level II - ATO Training.

ACC, 99th Security Forces Group, Nellis AFB, NV. Phone: DSN 682-1603.

AFGSC, 620th Ground Combat Training Squadron, F.E. Warren AFB, WY. Phone: DSN 481-7629.

AFMC, 96th Ground Combat Training Squadron Mobile Training Team (MTT), Eglin AFB, FL. Phone: DSN 872-6172.

AFRC, 610th Security Forces Squadron Mobile Training Team (MTT), Naval AS, Fort Worth, TX. Phone: DSN 739-5101 (ext 141, 134, 127).

AFSOC, USAF Special Operations School, Hurlburt Field, FL. Phone: DSN 579-6330.

AMC, USAF Expeditionary Center, Joint Base McGuire-Dix-Lakehurst, NJ. Phone: DSN 944-4101 (ext 185).

PACAF Regional Training Center, 736th Security Forces Squadron, Andersen AB, GU. Phone: DSN 315 366-6087.

PACAF ANSER Mobile Training Team (MTT), 25 E. Street Suite M-307, Hickam AFB, HI. Phone: DSN 315 448-2481.

USAFE, Ramstein AB, GE. Phone: DSN 314 480-6006.

Attachment 6

THE ANTITERRORISM STANDARDS FOR BUILDINGS DEVIATION PROGRAM

A6.1. Deviations to Unified Facilities Criteria (UFC) 4-010-01 *Minimum AT Standards for Buildings*. At facilities where compliance with UFC minimum AT standards for buildings cannot be attained, or where deviation is appropriate, units will request deviation. Deviations should not be used to avoid costs associated with compliance, but should be used where compliance cannot be achieved.

A6.2. Overview. This program formalizes AT program risk acceptance for all facilities. The inability to meet minimum AT standards for buildings at such facilities results in a higher AT program risk that must be managed. Commanders must implement the AT deviation program where resources cannot be afforded the required protection per UFC minimum AT standards for buildings. Deviations associated with such conditions are considered permanent and require compensatory measures.

A6.2.1. Applicability. This program applies to the following types of facilities as indicated.

A6.2.1.1. New Construction. Deviations from minimum UFC AT standards for buildings are required for all new facilities that enter the planning phase after this instruction goes into effect. Subordinate commands may issue stricter guidance that requires deviations for pre-existing construction through supplementation of this instruction.

A6.2.1.2. Major Renovations. Deviations from minimum UFC AT standards for buildings are required for major renovations to existing facilities that enter the planning phase after this instruction goes into effect. Major renovations, modifications, repairs, revitalizations, and restorations are defined per UFC 4-010-01 as those whose costs exceed 50% of the plant replacement value of the existing building.

A6.2.1.3. Leased Facilities. Deviations from minimum UFC AT standards for buildings are required for all leased facilities where DoD personnel occupy leased or assigned usable office area constituting at least 25% of the usable building area. This requirement applies to new and existing leases. While the Deputy Secretary of Defense memorandum dated 12 July 2011 temporarily suspended UFC 4-010-01 requirements for lease renewals or extensions, the memorandum did not alleviate these facilities from this requirement to establish deviations. This is an interim situation until comparisons between DoD completes its assessment of DoD and interagency standards for leased space and determines which standards are appropriate.

A6.3. Categorizing Deviations. A deviation must first be categorized under either a UFC minimum AT standard for buildings or a physical security standard. If a minimum UFC AT standard for buildings is being deviated from, follow the review, approval and routing criteria outlined in this instruction. If a Physical Security Standard is being deviated from, follow the approval and routing criteria outlined in AFI 31-101, paragraph 6.8. Where the GCC with TACON for FP prescribes procedures different from those prescribed above, follow the procedures of the GCC.

A6.3. (AMC)IAW USNORTHCOMI 10-222, para 33. 2.3.4.1., deviations will be classified as temporary or permanent. Follow the USNORTHCOM guidance regarding additional information that must be included on the AF Form 116 for each type request.

A6.4. Compilation of Deviations. Consider all other deviations when requesting and approving deviations to prevent a combination of deviations from creating an overall security problem. Individual or compilation of deviations must not cause a greater security threat or vulnerability than the problem they were originally designed to address.

A6.5. Documenting Deviations. Document deviations on AF Form 116, *Request for Deviation from Security Criteria*, and submit each deviation for formal approval. Based on the long-term nature and risk-tolerance level of these deviations, they must be incorporated into the AT Plan and assigned compensatory measures in the IDP once formal approval has been granted. Do not apply for formal approval if the directive from which you are deviating specifically states it is not necessary.

A6.5.1. Completing the AF Form 116.

A6.5.1.1. The requestor of the deviation will complete blocks 1-18. If staffed electronically, the coordination boxes in block 15 (if used) must be completed before the digital signature is affixed in block 18; once the digital signature is affixed, the coordination boxes become locked. Once the requestor signs block 18, the AF Form 116 is sent to the Reviewing Official. The Reviewing Official will complete blocks 19-24. If staffed electronically, the coordination boxes in block 21 (if used) must be completed before the digital signature is affixed in block 24; once the digital signature is affixed, the coordination boxes become locked. Once the Reviewing Official signs block 24, the AF Form 116 is sent to the Approval Authority.

A6.5.1.2. The Approval Authority will complete blocks 25-32. If staffed electronically, the coordination boxes in block 29 (if used) must be completed before the digital signature is affixed in block 32; once the digital signature is affixed, the coordination boxes become locked. Any blocks that do not apply will be marked N/A.

A6.5.1.3. Ensure that block 8 of the AF Form 116 includes the number of personnel impacted and the criticality of the facility or facility mission. In addition to the justification in block 9 of AF Form 116, provide a summary of the risk analysis performed that supports the deviation and the compensatory measures. Also within block 9 include an impact statement should the deviation be denied. Within block 10 of AF Form 116, validate that the compensatory measures are resourced for the duration of the deviation. Within block 11 of AF Form 116, state if the corrective action proposed to resolve the deviation is budgeted.

A6.5.1.3. **(AMC)** Clearly identify in Block 8 if the facility is a billeting, primary gathering facility, or critical facility. Annotate the Commander's risk acceptance or acceptance of residual risk after compensatory measures in Block 10.

A6.5.2. Requesting Officials. Deviations from UFC minimum AT standards for buildings shall be requested by the chairperson of the installation ATEC (or equivalent).

A6.5.2. **(AMC)** UFC AT Standard waivers will be requested by the installation commander. Send the AF Fm 116 to HQ AMC/A7S. AMC/A7S will ensure proper coordination through the FPWG, FPB, A7, and NAF prior to presenting to the Approving Authority.

A6.5.3. Reviewing Officials. Reviewing Officials note whether compensatory measures are considered adequate and, if not, specify additional measures. Additionally, Reviewing

Officials add pertinent information in block 20 to assist the Approval Authority in validating the request if necessary. Reviewing Official for minimum UFC AT standard for buildings deviations shall be the MAJCOM A7. MAJCOM A7C and/or A7P and MAJCOM ATPM will coordinate (at minimum).

A6.5.4. Approval Authority. The Approval Authority accepts or declines the risk involved in the deviation request, approves/disapproves requests, and returns them to the requester with rationale for the decision. The Approval Authority for deviations from minimum UFC AT standards for buildings shall be the MAJCOM Vice Commander. The Approval authority for deviations from UFC AT Standards where the requester does not fall under the MAJCOM chain of command (DRUs, FOAs, etc.) shall be the first general officer/SES in the operational chain of command.

A6.5.4. (AMC) HQ AMC/A7 shall coordinate the UFC AT Standard waiver request through the appropriate NAF Commander prior to submittal to the Approving Authority, AMC/CV.

A6.6. Reviewing, Approving, and Disapproving Deviations.

A6.6.1. MAJCOMs Responsibilities. MAJCOMs are responsible for the following:

A6.6.1.1. Address the deviation approval process in MAJCOM supplements.

A6.6.1.2. Send deviation requests involving tenant units to the tenant's MAJCOM Headquarters for coordination.

A6.6.1.3. Ensure copies of all approved deviations from minimum UFC AT standards for buildings are forwarded through the responsible AT office of the AF Component Command to the Geographic Combatant Command (GCC) with TACON FP responsibility for the installation requesting the deviation. Follow GCC guidance on the frequency and content of reporting.

A6.6.1.3. (AMC) HQ AMC/A7S will forward all approved deviations through AFNORTH to N-NC/J4 annually IAW USNORTHCOMI 10-222, para 33.2.3.4. NLT 1 Sep each year.

A6.6.1.4. Ensure the Installation and MAJCOM ATEC (or equivalents) review all deviations annually.

A6.7. Exceptions to the Deviation Program.

A6.7.1. Pre-existing Conditions. Deviations from minimum UFC AT standards for buildings are only required for new facilities or major renovations to existing facilities that enter the planning phase after this instruction goes into effect. Major renovations, modifications, repairs, revitalizations, and restorations are defined per UFC 4-010-01 as those whose costs exceed 50% of the plant replacement value of the existing building. Note that this pre-existing condition exception DOES NOT apply to leased facilities.

A6.7.2. Directed by other security instructions. Deviations from standards established in other physical security instructions (such as AFI 31-101) shall be requested IAW procedures contained in that instruction. Use these procedures only for minimum AT standards for buildings required by UFC 4-010-01.

A6.8. Compensating for Deviations. Compensatory measures are inherently different than security measures normally in-place. Although different, they must provide a comparable level of security. Security measures, facilities, equipment, and procedures that are already required are not normally adequate as compensatory measures. Instructions consisting mainly of orders to “increase vigilance” are inadequate. Additional forces, equipment, etc., are usually necessary to ensure comparable security. However, there are some instances when existing forces may be used to compensate temporarily for deviations. All Integrated Defense (ID) contributors must be aware of deviations in their assigned areas and instruct personnel on requirements. Compensatory measures may include the following:

A6.8.1. Equipment, such as additional locks, intrusion detection systems (IDS), lighting, and barricades.

A6.8.2. Security measures that provide the same level of protection as the security standard being deviated from and compensated for as a minimum.

Attachment 7

CATEGORIES OF SUSPICIOUS ACTIVITY

A7.1. Acquisition of Expertise. Unjustified attempts to obtain or conduct specialized training in security concepts, military weapons or tactics, or other unusual capabilities such as specialized transport or handling capabilities that would cause a reasonable person to perceive a threat to DoD personnel, facilities, or forces in transit.

A7.2. Breach or Attempted Intrusion. Unauthorized entry or attempted entry into a restricted area or protected site; impersonation of authorized personnel (e.g., police, security, or janitorial personnel).

A7.3. Eliciting Information. Suspicious questioning of personnel by any means about particular DoD structures, functions, personnel, or procedures at the facility or infrastructure.

A7.4. Expressed or Implied Threat. A threat to DoD personnel or threatened damage to or compromise of a DoD facility or infrastructure.

A7.5. Flyover or Landing. Suspicious overflight of or landing near a DoD facility or infrastructure by any type of flying vehicle (e.g., airplane, helicopter, unmanned aerial vehicle, glider).

A7.6. Materials Acquisition or Storage. Acquisition of unusual quantities of precursor material (e.g., cell phones, pagers, fertilizer, fuel, and timers); unauthorized or unlicensed individual or group attempts to obtain precursor chemicals, agents, or toxic materials; or rental of storage units for the purpose of storing precursor material, chemicals, or apparatuses for mixing chemicals.

A7.7. Misrepresentation. Misusing or presenting false insignia, documents, or identification or engaging in any other activity to misrepresent one's affiliation.

A7.8. Recruiting. Building operations teams and developing contacts, or collecting personnel data, banking data, or travel data under circumstances that would cause a reasonable person to perceive a threat to DoD personnel, facilities, or forces in transit.

A7.9. Sabotage, Tampering, or Vandalism. Damaging, manipulating, or defacing part of a DoD facility, infrastructure, or protected site. Acts of vandalism committed by DoD civilian employees, military members, or their dependents should not be reported as suspicious activity unless those acts relate to a pattern of criminal activity or otherwise would cause a reasonable person to perceive a threat to DoD personnel, facilities, or forces in transit.

A7.10. Surveillance. Monitoring the activity of DoD personnel, facilities, processes, or systems, including showing unusual interest in a facility, infrastructure, or personnel (e.g., observation through binoculars, taking notes, drawing maps or diagrams of the facility, and taking pictures or video of a facility, infrastructure, personnel, or the surrounding environment) under circumstances that would cause a reasonable person to perceive a threat to DoD personnel, facilities, or forces in transit.

A7.11. Testing of Security. A challenge to, or a series of interactions with DoD installations, vessels, personnel, or systems that could reveal physical, personnel, or cyber security capabilities or vulnerabilities.

A7.12. Theft, Loss, or Diversion. Theft or loss associated with a DoD facility or infrastructure (e.g., of badges, uniforms, identification cards, emergency vehicles, technology, or documents, whether classified or unclassified) that are proprietary to the facility, or a diversion of attention from a DoD facility or infrastructure that is related to a theft or loss associated with that facility.

A7.13. Weapons Discovery. Discovery of weapons or explosives, as defined in Section 930 of Title 18, U.S.C. (Reference (n)). The discovery of personal weapons legally owned by DoD civilian employees, military members, or their dependents should not be reported as suspicious activity if the discovery is solely the result of the owner's failure to properly store, register, or secure the weapon(s).

A7.14. Unexplained Absences of International Military Students. International military students who are unexpectedly absent from scheduled activities when the absence is without proper authorization and lasts more than 24 hours, and an appropriate official with the host DoD organization determines that the absence is not due to a misunderstanding in scheduling, to sickness, or to another similar reason.

Attachment 8 (Added-AMC)**AMC CORE VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM
(CVAMP)**

A8.1. (AMC) Vulnerability assessment results and other mitigation data need to be reported to the first general/flag officer within 90 days of a vulnerability assessment. The DoD vulnerability reporting system is CVAMP. CVAMP will be utilized as described within this attachment to keep the NAF Commanders and AMC/CC aware of installation vulnerabilities and ultimately, risks that remain above the Commander's risk tolerance line.

A8.2. (AMC) The purpose of this attachment is to establish AMC specific, standardized, and defined guidance for the management and review process of the commands vulnerabilities. AMC Installations, HQ AMC Directorates and Staff Agencies, to include the Force Protection Board (FPB) and Force Protection Working Group (FPWG), will use this attachment to ensure information concerning vulnerabilities is processed through 18 AF/CC and USAF EC/CC to HQ AMC/CC.

A8.3. (AMC) CVAMP captures results of vulnerability assessments, prioritizes installation vulnerabilities, identifies deficiencies, and lists corrective actions to mitigate vulnerabilities or documents the commander's risk acceptance. More importantly, CVAMP is a management tool which allows commanders and decision-makers, at all levels of command the ability to track and identify actions taken or required to correct and/or mitigate antiterrorism vulnerabilities.

A8.3.1. **(AMC)** CVAMP entries must be cross-referenced with the IDRMP results and reflect the commander's risk decisions. Only those items above the commander's risk tolerance line will remain open in CVAMP and all others will show risk acceptance.

A8.4. (AMC) Responsibilities.**A8.4.1. (AMC) Commander, AMC (AMC/CC):**

A8.4.1.1. **(AMC)** Review vulnerabilities released by 18 AF and USAF EC quarterly. Release vulnerabilities to CDR USTRANSCOM or return to the NAF for further action as appropriate. Authority may be delegated to AMC/CV.

A8.4.1.2. **(AMC)** Approve/Disapprove annual AMC Vulnerability Prioritization List developed by the Force Protection Board. Authority may be delegated to AMC/CV.

A8.4.1.3. **(AMC)** Approves/Disapproves CbTRIF/CCIF requests and forwards to CDR USTRANSCOM as appropriate.

A8.4.2. (AMC) Commander, 18 AF (18 AF/CC):

A8.4.2.1. **(AMC)** Reviews vulnerabilities released by Installation Commanders quarterly. Release vulnerabilities to AMC/CC or return to the installation for further action as appropriate. Authority may be delegated to 18 AF/CV if filled by a general officer.

A8.4.2.2. **(AMC)** Approves/Disapproves annual 18 AF Vulnerability Prioritization List for release to AMC/CC. Authority may be delegated to 18 AF/CV.

A8.4.3. (AMC) Commander, USAF Expeditionary Center (USAF EC/CC):

A8.4.3.1. (AMC) Reviews vulnerabilities released by Installation Commanders quarterly. Release vulnerabilities to AMC/CC or return to the installation for further action as appropriate. Authority may be delegated to USAF EC/CV if filled by a general officer.

A8.4.3.2. (AMC) Approves/Disapproves annual USAF EC Vulnerability Prioritization List for release to AMC/CC. Authority may be delegated to USAF EC/CV.

A8.4.4. (AMC) AMC Force Protection Board (FPB):

A8.4.4.1. (AMC) Reviews CVAMP status semi-annually.

A8.4.4.2. (AMC) Reviews and approves the annual prioritized vulnerability listing using the same criteria as the FPWG. Once approved, the vulnerabilities will be forwarded to the respective NAF Commander, 18 AF/CC or USAF EC/CC, and AMC/CC.

A8.4.4.3. (AMC) Reviews and prioritizes Combating Terrorism Readiness Initiative Funds (CbTRIF)/CCIF requests for 18 AF, USAF EC, and AMC/CC approval.

A8.4.5. (AMC) AMC Force Protection Working Group:

A8.4.5.1. (AMC) Reviews CVAMP status quarterly.

A8.4.5.2. (AMC) Prioritizes the vulnerabilities listed in CVAMP. The FPWG will take into account the installation commander's vulnerability priority (Critical, High, Medium, or Low) as well as other factors such as perimeter defense, defense-in-depth, mission critical asset or facility (i.e. single points of failure, control centers such as command post, air traffic control and emergency response facilities), high occupancy facility (dormitories, clubs, offices etc.) and drinking water and food sources. These lists will be provided to the FPB for review and approval.

A8.4.5.3. (AMC) If additional information is required to fully develop and fully evaluate specific vulnerabilities, FPWG members will coordinate with the installation(s) respective subject matter expert.

A8.4.5.4. (AMC) Reviews and prioritizes CbTRIF/CCIF requests prior to FPB review.

A8.4.6. (AMC) AMC/A7S:

A8.4.6.1. (AMC) AMC/A7S is the 18 AF and USAF EC executive agent for antiterrorism and force protection issues. This includes CVAMP which correlates vulnerabilities and CbT RIF/CCIF submissions from all AMC installations.

A8.4.6.2. (AMC) Prepares quarterly CVAMP reviews for 18 AF, USAF EC, and AMC/CC review.

A8.4.6.3. (AMC) Immediately notifies 18 AF/CC or USAF EC/CC of any extraordinary vulnerability instead of waiting for the quarterly update.

A8.4.6.4. (AMC) Coordinates mitigation and corrective actions with other A-Staff directorates when a vulnerability requires corrective action from their area of responsibility.

A8.4.6.5. (AMC) Tracks HHQ and local vulnerability assessment schedules results and assist installation-level CVAMP managers with program management and CVAMP data-base maturation.

A8.4.6.6. (AMC) Acknowledges installation CVAMP vulnerability releases within 30 days.

A8.4.6.7. (AMC) Releases CVAMP data to the next level or return as directed upon review by 18 AF/CC, USAF EC/CC and AMC/CC.

A8.4.6.8. (AMC) Instructs AMC/FMAO to prepare funding documents for each approved CbT RIF/CCIF projects through the Automated Base Services System.

A8.4.6.9. (AMC) Responsible for tracking approved CbTRIF/CCIF project execution through data provided by AMC/FMAO.

A8.4.6.10. (AMC) Coordinates with AMC/FMAO on other financial issues concerning vulnerabilities.

A8.4.7. (AMC) AMC A7P:

A8.4.7.1. (AMC) Reviews and cross-references information in CVAMP with the Automated Civil Engineering System (ACES).

A8.4.7.2. (AMC) Ensures ACES has the required information such as project number, CVAMP number, design status and funding type.

A8.4.8. (AMC) AMC/A7R: Tracks the execution of funds for all projects in CVAMP that fall under their authority.

A8.4.9. (AMC) AMC/FMAO:

A8.4.9.1. (AMC) Responsible for preparing documents and execution data as detailed in paragraph A8.4.6.3 and A8.4.6.4.

A8.4.9.2. (AMC) OCR for funding issues received from the AMC Force Protection Corporate Structure concerning command vulnerabilities.

A8.4.10. (AMC) Installation Commanders:

A8.4.10.1. (AMC) Responsible for vulnerability data and mitigation plan entry into CVAMP and release to the appropriate NAF for review IAW paragraph 2.7 of this instruction as supplemented.

A8.4.10.2. (AMC) Grants access and approve user roles (i.e. Commander, Administrator, etc.) at the installation level.


A8.4.10.3. (AMC) Mitigates vulnerabilities through procedures, programmatic requests, or documented risk acceptance.

A8.4.10.4. (AMC) Ensure installation CVAMP data is updated quarterly per this instruction (paragraph 2.6.7.).

A8.4.10.5. (AMC) For vulnerabilities requiring a programmatic solution, ensure a cost estimate is included in the CVAMP entry. If a design is required first, annotate the design cost and an ECD for when the estimate will be complete.

A8.4.10.6. (AMC) Request funding for emergent vulnerabilities IAW CbTRIF or CCIF procedures.

Figure A8.1. AMC CVAMP Review Process



Attachment 9 (Added-AMC)**HQ AMC CORPORATE FORCE PROTECTION STRUCTURE**

A9.1. (AMC) General. The AMC Force Protection corporate structure employs a three-tiered approach to develop AMC Force Protection (FP) policy, programs, and directives; ensure implementation of DoD, AF, and AMC force protection directives; and focus the command's attention such that force protection becomes a fundamental planning consideration. The corporate structure consists of the Force Protection Council (FPC), Force Protection Board (FPB) and Force Protection Working Group (FPWG).

A9.2. (AMC) Membership.

A9.2.1. (AMC) Force Protection Council. The FPC is the senior decision making body of the AMC FP corporate structure and establishes AMC FP policy, procedures, and resource allocation. The FPC acts on recommendations of the FPB and tasks the FPB to staff FP issues. The Council will meet annually, or as directed by AMC/CV. FPC business may be conducted in a scheduled meeting or through electronic staffing.

A9.2.1.1. (AMC) The FPC is chaired by AMC/CV. FPC Membership is at the director level, composed of representatives from A1, A2, A3, A4, A5/8, A6, A7, A9, DS, FM, IG, JA, PA, SE, SG, 618 ASOC (TACC), 3rd Field Investigations Region (3 FIR), 18 AF, and USAF EC.

A9.2.2. (AMC) Force Protection Board. The FPB is the deputy director, or equivalent level advisory body for the FPC. The board will: develop antiterrorism and force protection policy, programs, and directives; ensure implementation of DoD, AF, and AMC force protection directives; and focus the command's attention such that AT and FP becomes a fundamental planning consideration. The FPB meets semi-annually, or as directed by the FPC to review all action items and select recommendations from the FPWG. Meeting minutes will be published to all members within 2 weeks. The FPB presentation process is either in person at scheduled meetings or through official staffing procedures. Emergent meetings will be held when antiterrorism or force protection information requiring cross-functional dissemination is needed.

A9.2.2.1. (AMC) The FPB is chaired AMC/A7S. Membership is at the deputy director or equivalent level, composed of representatives from A1, A2, A3, A4, A5/8, A6, A7, A9, DS, FM, IG, JA, PA, SE, SG, 618 ASOC, 3 FIR, 18 AF, and USAF EC.

A9.2.2.2. (AMC) FPB procedures.

A9.2.2.2.1. (AMC) Identify action items requiring FPC review or approval.

A9.2.2.2.2. (AMC) Approve all FP corporate structure budget expenditures over \$10,000 and review all budget expenditures for the previous quarters.

A9.2.2.2.3. (AMC) Appoint action officers to support the FPWG.

A9.2.2.2.4. (AMC) Meet semi-annually or as directed by the FPC. Emergent meetings will be held when antiterrorism or force protection information requiring cross-functional dissemination is needed.

A9.2.2.2.4.1. (AMC) Minimum FPB meeting agenda will include updates on the following areas: Critical Asset Risk Management (CARM), Higher Headquarters Vulnerability Assessment results, HQ AMC Antiterrorism Program Review results, Installation/Wing Commander/NAF-sponsored issues, Core Vulnerability Assessment Management Program (CVAMP) status, UFC waiver reviews, and all OPEN items from previous FPB meetings.

A9.2.2.3. (AMC) The FPB Chairman, when practical, may choose to keep members informed of AT/FP issues via electronic media.

A9.2.3. (AMC) The Force Protection Working Group (FPWG). The FPWG is the action officer-level advisory group for the FPB. The FPWG forwards issues of concern affecting command force protection from all functional areas, AMC installation commanders, and commanders throughout the enroute system. The FPWG selects issues requiring FPB attention, researches possible courses of action, and presents them to the board along with recommendations for resolution.

A9.2.3.1. (AMC) FPWG Membership. The FPWG is chaired by HQ AMC/DA7S. Membership is at the 3/4-digit level, composed of action officers from A1, A2, A3, A4, A5/8, A6, A7, A9, DS, FM, IG, JA, PA, SE, SG, 618 ASOC, 3 FIR, 18 AF, and USAF EC. Additional members may be appointed as necessary.

A9.2.3.2. (AMC) FPWG Procedures.

A9.2.3.2.1. (AMC) FPWG Meetings. The FPWG will meet quarterly or as directed by the FPB. Emergent meetings will be held when antiterrorism or force protection information requiring cross-functional dissemination is needed. Meeting minutes will be published to all members within 2 weeks. Minimum agenda items are:

A9.2.3.2.2. (AMC) CARM Update, HHQ VA results including Food & Water VAs, Installation/Wing Commander/ NAF-sponsored issues, functional area-identified issues, CVAMP status, UFC waiver reviews, and all open items from previous meetings.

A9.2.3.2.3. (AMC) For each item in consideration to meet the FPB, the sponsoring agency (installation, functional area, etc), will complete all necessary research/documentation and present it to the FPWG for consideration, and if approved as an action item, inclusion in the FPB agenda.

A9.2.3.2.4. (AMC) Address readiness issues with AMC/A7X for submission to the Readiness Board. The FPWG will forward any issues dealing with threat analysis to the AMC TWG through AMC/A2 or the CONUS TWG through AMC/A7S.

A9.2.3.2.5. (AMC) The FPWG Chairman will provide guidance and criteria to the FPWG to determine which items are forwarded to the FPB. Each action item carries the same weight of importance; therefore, no action item takes precedence over another when forwarded for review to the FPB. The FPWG will present the action items to the FPB with a full list of recommended measures/courses of action for each item. In making recommendations, the FPWG will fully consider the lead agency for making recommendations. Some examples include:

A9.2.3.2.5.1. (AMC) Physical Security Measures (AMC/A7S), Operations Security Measures (AMC/A3), Communications Security Measures (AMC/A6), Medical threat reduction measures (AMC/SG), Personal Security Measures (3 FIR), Training (421 Combat Training Squadron), Information Security Measures (AMC/IP), Aircrew Training (AMC/A3), WMD/CBRNE (AMC/A7X), Critical Asset Risk Management (AMC/IP), Funds Utilization (AMC/FM), Personal Protective Equipment (SG/SGPB), Facility Programming (AMC/A7P).

A9.2.3.3. (AMC) Members will coordinate on AMC Statements of Work as part of an overall contract award process. FPWG representatives from each "A" staff directorate will be assigned the task of ensuring contracts are reviewed by their respective directorate. The OPR for respective SOW are responsible for staffing and conflict resolution. Once staffing is complete, AMC/A7S will provide a letter to Contracting validating completion of the review process. The current SOW Staffing sheet can be found on the AMC AT EIM Webpage.

A9.2.3.3.1. (AMC) In formulating recommendations, action officers will consider ideal, long term, short term, low cost, high cost, random antiterrorism measures, and procedural measures to mitigate and correct vulnerabilities or resolve open action items.

A9.2.3.4. (AMC) For measures requiring policy and guidance, the FPWG will appoint an action officer to draft a message and coordinate inclusion of the policy change into all appropriate AMC publications and plans. All policy and guidance will be reviewed by the FPB.

A9.2.3.5. (AMC) For measures requiring concept development, modeling, simulation, or testing, the FPWG will appoint an action officer to coordinate with the appropriate DoD testing agency.

A9.2.3.6. (AMC) AMC/A7S will manage the budget for the Force Protection Corporate Structure if funds are fenced during the fiscal year. Requests for expenditures over \$10,000 will be forwarded for approval or disapproval by the FPB.

A9.3. (AMC) Threat Analysis. The AMC FP Corporate Structure utilizes the Threat Working Group and CONUS Threat Working Group (CTWG) to analyze, identify, and characterize threats to command assets, prioritize command assets based upon criticality, assess vulnerabilities to critical infrastructure/assets and likely terrorist targets, and establish measures to remediate or mitigate vulnerabilities through policy, planning, and allocation of resources.

A9.3.1. (AMC) Threat Analysis. Threat Analysis will be provided to the FP Corporate Structure as follows:

A9.3.1.1. (AMC) The AMC TWG evaluates threats to command assets, missions, enroute system locations, and personnel deployed worldwide IAW AMCI 14-106, *Threat Working Group*.

A9.3.1.2. (AMC) The AMC CTWG evaluates CONUS threats to command assets, personnel, and installations. It analyzes and fuses threat data and makes recommendations to the TWG principals regarding FPCON measures and changes.

A9.3.1.2.1. (AMC) The CTWG core Membership is A7SOA, 3 FIR, and A2; A7SOA chairs the group. Additional members are included as necessary.


A9.3.1.2.2. (AMC) CTWG members review threat information and status daily and normally meets weekly in conjunction with the TWG. Meetings can be called as necessary to deal with emergent threats.

A9.3.1.2.3. (AMC) Information is shared and integrated among the TWG, CTWG, Cyber Defense Working Group, and Senior Leader's Operational Outlook.

A9.3.1.2.4. (AMC) The CTWG also assists AMC installations in threat analysis and passes on information for the installation TWG.

Attachment 10 (Added-AMC)
AMC STANDARD FPCON SIGNS

Figure A10.1. AMC Visual Aid 10-245, FPCON ALPHA



Prescribed By: AFI 10-245/AMC Sup 1 Distribution: F AMCVA 10-245 XX XXX XXXX

Figure A10.2. AMC Visual Aid 10-246, FPCON BRAVO




Figure A10.3. AMC Visual Aid 10-247, FPCON CHARLIE

**FORCE PROTECTION
CONDITION**

C **HARLIE**

THIS CONDITION APPLIES WHEN AN INCIDENT OCCURS OR INTELLIGENCE IS
RECEIVED INDICATING SOME FORM OF TERRORIST ACTION AGAINST PERSONNEL
OR FACILITIES IS LIKELY

BE CAUTIOUS, BE AWARE

REPORT SUSPICIOUS ACTIVITY TO SECURITY FORCES _____

EMERGENCY 9-1-1

Figure A10.4. AMC Visual Aid 10-248, FPCON DELTA

**FORCE PROTECTION
CONDITION**

D

ELTA

THIS CONDITION APPLIES IN THE IMMEDIATE AREA WHERE A TERRORIST ATTACK
HAS OCCURRED OR WHEN INTELLIGENCE HAS BEEN RECEIVED THAT TERRORIST
ACTION AGAINST A SPECIFIC LOCATION OR PERSON IS IMMINENT

BE CAUTIOUS, BE AWARE

REPORT SUSPICIOUS ACTIVITY TO SECURITY FORCES _____

EMERGENCY 9-1-1

Attachment 11 (Added-DOVERAFB)

FORCE PROTECTION WORKING GROUP CHARTER

	FORCE PROTECTION WORKING GROUP CHARTER	
<p>MISSION:</p> <p>The Force Protection Working Group (FPWG) combines the Anti-Terrorism Working Group (ATWG), Critical Assessment Risk Management (CARM) Working Group, Integrated Defense Working Group (IDWG) and Emergency Management Working Group (EMWG) into one organization that collectively oversees implementation of Installation Force Protection programs. The FPWG performs long-range strategic planning, recommends resourcing requirements, conducts criticality, threat, vulnerability assessments, risk mitigation and is the primary advisory body to the Installation Command and Force Protection Executive Council (FPEC). The FPWG ensures comprehensive program management of these mutual supporting protection disciplines:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Antiterrorism (AT) – AFI 10-245 • Critical Assessment Risk Management (CARM) – AFD 10-24 • Integrated Defense (ID) – AFI 31-101 • Emergency Management (EM) – AFI 10-2501 		
<p>CHARTERING AUTHORITY:</p> <p>The FPWG is chartered by the 436th Airlift Wing Commander and will provide progress updates to the Force Protection Executive Council (FPEC) at least semi-annually IAW AFIs 10-245 and 31-101. The FPEC combines the Integrated Defense Council (IDC) and the Antiterrorism Executive Council (ATEC) into a single overarching Force Protection decision making body. The FPEC will be chaired by the 436th Airlift Wing Commander or Vice Commander.</p>		
<p>WORKING GROUP COMPOSITION:</p> <p>The FPWG is Chaired by the Commander, 436th Mission Support Group (436 MSG/CC) and may be delegated to the 436th Mission Support Group Deputy (436 MSG/CD). Members of the FPWG are subject matter experts (SMEs) in their functional area and represent their respective commanders as decision-makers on AT/FP issues. Membership of Dover's FPWG includes the following organizations or as directed by the Chair:</p>		

FPWG CHARTERED MEMBERS:

- | | |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wing ATO and ATPM • Security Forces (SF) • AF Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI) • Communications (CS) • Contracting Squadron (CONS) • Command Post (CP) • Civil Engineer (CE) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Operations, Asset Management, Emergency Management, Fire/Emergency Services & EOD • Financial Management (FM) • Judge Advocate (JA) • Public Affairs (PA) • Operations Group (OG) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Airfield Management ○ Intelligence (IN) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wing Safety (SE) • 512 AW AT NCO (512 SFS) • Key Tenants: AFMAO, JPED & AFMES • Force Support Squadron (FSS) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Personnel Readiness & Sustainment (Services) • Medical Group (MDG) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Public Health, Bioenvironmental Engineering & Medical Readiness • Maintenance Group (MXG) • Critical Asset Risk Manager (CARM) • Wing Plans & Programs (XP) • Wing Exercise Manager (IGI) • Logistics Readiness Squadron (LRS) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Installation Deployment Officer |
|---|--|

ROLES & RESPONSIBILITIES:

The FPWG will function as a parallel team and decisions made by consensus. If consensus cannot be reached on a decision, it will be made by the Chair. The FPWG will meet bi-monthly and may meet less or more often as determined by Chair to achieve its mission. Specific role responsibilities are as follows:

- Chair – The MSG/CC serves as the chair unless delegated to the MSG/CD. Provides support to team and is the meeting coordinator. Reviews/approves bi-monthly agenda, communicates with members and ensures the group’s adherence to the mission.
- Meeting Organizer – The Office of Primary Responsibility (OPR) will be the Wing Antiterrorism Office (436 SFS/S5XA); responsible for scheduling, developing the agenda, facilitating meetings, and compiling/distributing the minutes. Key offices of Collateral Responsibility (OCRs) are Security Forces Squadron (SFS) for Integrated Defense and Civil Engineer Squadron (CES) for Emergency Management matters.
- Meeting Protocols – Each designated discipline lead (AT, SF & EM) is responsible for developing their agenda item(s), preparing presentation slides and documenting minutes for their prospective topics. The Wing AT staff will consolidate and distribute the slides 5 days prior to the scheduled meeting. In addition, each discipline lead will prepare their section of minutes and provide to Wing AT office within 10 days of the meeting convening. The Wing ATPM will staff for approval and distribute minutes to responsible commanders and FPWG members.
- Antiterrorism (AT), AFI 10-245 Focus Areas:
 - » Analyze and track Installation vulnerabilities; coordinate mitigation measures

- » Reviews Installation vulnerabilities, prioritizes CVAMP entries, provide recommendations for resourcing decisions to mitigate identified vulnerabilities
- » Coordinate/provide deliberate planning for all AT/FP issues – publish Wing AT Plan
- » Track AT/FP exercise findings and observations
- » Perform annual review of all MOAs/MOUs supporting AT/FP operations
- » Review FPCON measures semi-annually; ensure mitigation measures are appropriate
- » Conduct annual review of Installation Threat, Criticality, and Risk Assessments
- Integrated Defense (ID), AFI 31-101 Focus Areas:
 - » Select, designate and recommend restricted and controlled areas changes
 - » Ensure Installation provides personnel, equipment/facilities to secure all PL resources
 - » Monitor ongoing Installation security enhancement and projects
 - » Review and approve entry control procedures for free zones
 - » Review all security deviations (AF Form 116) and waivers every 12 months
 - » Develop guidance on Installation Entry Control (IEC) procedures
 - » Defined/codified Integrated Base Defense (IBD) program/selective arming in the IDP
- Emergency Management (EM), AFI 10-2501 Focus Areas:
 - » EM program training status, schedule and design EM exercises
 - » Conduct annual of review of IEMP 10-2 and Mutual Aid Agreements & MOUs
 - » Review unit Emergency Management program staff assistance visit/exercise trends
 - » Monitor AF Incident Management System (AFIMS) and Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear, & high-yield Explosive (CBRNE) equipment shortfalls
 - » Coordinate new AFIMS tactics, techniques, and procedures and initiatives
 - » Review Installation augmentation program (IAW AFI 10-243, Augmentation Duty)
 - » Review Installation-wide programs for the ability to achieve EM program objectives

Attachment 12 (Added-DOVERAFB)

THREAT WORKING GROUP CHARTER

	THREAT WORKING GROUP CHARTER	
<p>MISSION: The Threat Working Group (TWG) serves as the principal advisory body to the Wing Commander for threat and intelligence reporting. The TWG is the focal point for intelligence information for Dover AFB whose primary responsibility is to address the criminal/terrorist threats and recommend courses of action (COAs) to mitigate or counter such threats.</p> <p>COMPOSITION OF ORGANIZATION: The TWG is a multi-disciplinary organization comprised of subject matter experts. The 436th Security Forces Commander has been designated as the Chair the TWG. The following are core TWG members: AFOSI, Wing Intelligence (IN), SF Operations, Wing AT Program Manager (ATPM), SFS/S2 (FP Intelligence) and MDG (Public Health). Ad hoc members of the TWG consist of: 436 CS, 436 CES/CEX (Readiness), 436 CES/EOD, 436 MDG (Bioenvironmental), 512 AW/ATO and 512 AW/IN. The Wing Commander or TWG Chair may add members from other agencies such as SV, PA, and JA (not all inclusive) as appropriate, to enhance the TWG or address specific threats or COAs. The TWG meets monthly or as directed by the Chair.</p> <p>TWG members shall be dually accredited delegates of their organization and experts in their particular fields. Also, they shall also have a wide range of experience in recognizing attack indicators, detecting patterns of terrorist surveillance, targeting and planning, and have as a minimum, a working knowledge of Antiterrorism/Force Protection and counter-surveillance operations. AT Level II certification is highly recommended for all TWG members.</p> <p>TWG members must fully understand the purpose of the TWG and be constantly proactive in seeking out information and intelligence from multiple sources (pull intelligence/info vs. waiting for a push). TWG members shall maintain open lines of communication with other Installation agencies in order to facilitate timely and accurate flow of threat information.</p> <p>PURPOSE OF THE ORGANIZATION: Review and analyze threat and intelligence information for the Intelligence Fusion Cell (IFC); gather, evaluate and promptly report any information or intelligence indicating a potential or actual threat to Dover AFB personnel and/or resources. Conduct risk analysis and develop counter-measures to counter or mitigate threats. Evaluate FPCON changes, RAMs, FPCON measures/actions and forward recommendations to the Wing Commander and/or FPWG Chair.</p> <p>AUTHORITY OF ORGANIZATION: The TWG shall have direct liaison authority with local, state and federal agencies required to execute its mission. It will also have appropriate access to resources under the control of the Wing as deemed necessary by the 436 AW/CC, FPWG and/or TWG Chair.</p>		

Attachment 13 (Added-DOVERAFB)

AT FORM 2, RANDOM ANTITERRORISM MEASURE, TRACKING SHEET

Unit	ATO / ATR Rank/Name	Date	FPCON Measure	Specific Action(s) / Location(s)	Time Initiated	Time Terminated	Comments/Remarks
436 AW/CP	Lt Smith	1 May 13	C.11.2	Searched all hand-carried items of personnel entering the Cmd Post.	0001	0400	Wing Directed RAM
436 AW/CP	Lt Smith	13 May 13	B.1.0.3.1	Verified notification procedures, checklists, and rosters were current and valid for Installation Crisis Response/Consequence Management agencies and personnel	0823	0851	Functional Specific RAM
436 AW/CP	TSgt James	1 Jun 13	B.5.3	Consider closing all windows, blinds and curtains.	N/A	N/A	Wing Directed RAM-- Not accomplished. Cmd Post only has one facility (Bldg 203) and has no windows.
436 AW/CP	Lt Smith	16 Jun 13	B.1.0.4	Posted person outside the CP to verify identity and proper open area on RAB of individuals entering CP.	1201	1600	Unit Specific RAM

INSTRUCTIONS

1. Review the monthly Wing RAM listing one week prior to the 1st of each month.
2. Ensure Wing-directed and organizational or functional specific RAMs are conducted.
3. Initiate/execute Wing-directed RAMs at the time indicated on the RAM listing.
4. Document all RAMs via AT Form 2, *RAM Tracking Sheet* upon completion.
5. In the event the RAM cannot be conducted at the directed time because personnel are not on duty, contact the AT office for a supplemental measure and date. **Note:** *Annotate the AT Form 2 with a detailed reason for non-compliance.*
6. Maintain AT Form 2, RAM Tracking Sheets in the Unit AT Continuity Book and forward a copy to the Wing AT office within 2 duty days of completing RAMs.

Attachment 14 (Added-DOVERAFB)

SAMPLE UNIT AT TRAINING REPORT

MEMORANDUM FOR 436 SFS/S5XA (Antiterrorism)

FROM: Unit/Agency

SUBJECT: Monthly Antiterrorism (AT) Training Report

1. In accordance with AFI 10-245, *The Air Force Antiterrorism (AT) Standards*, all active uniformed service members require annual Level I AT training. Furthermore, all DoD civilians will receive AT Level I training annually if the Terrorism Threat Level is above "MODERATE." AT Level I training shall also be offered to contract employees. Below are the AT Level I training statistics within the unit/agency:

<u>AT Level I Training Stats</u>	<u>Assigned:</u>	<u>Trained:</u>
Military:	76	68
Civilian:	19	19
Contractors:	5	3
Total:	100	90

2. AT Level II training is required for Installation level Antiterrorism Officers and personnel appointed to the Threat Working Group, Force Protection Working Group and Unit AT Representatives. The personnel listed below have successfully completed AT Level II training:

<u>AT Level II Trained</u>	<u>Date Trained</u>	<u>Course Method</u>
MSgt John J. Smith	22 Mar 14	CBT
SSgt William Tell	22 Mar 14	In-residence

3. AT Level III training is designed for squadron and group commanders. The personnel listed below received AT Level III training:

<u>AT Level III Trained</u>	<u>Date Trained</u>
Maj Neil Roberts	16 Feb 05

4. Please direct any questions to MSgt Smith at 677-1234.

JOHN A. SMITH, MSgt, USAF
Unit Antiterrorism Representative

Attachment 15 (Added-DOVERAFB)
AT FORM 1, CVAMP TRACKING SHEET

Core Vulnerability Assessment Management Program (CVAMP) Tracking Sheet		
PROJECT TITLE: Install Screening Fence Around Emergency Generator		
CVAMP TRACKING #: T-AF-2013-0001		PROJECT TRACKING (CES/CS) #: 41020
OPR (RANK/NAME) /UNIT OFFICE SYMBOL/DUTY PHONE: Capt Sample/436 SFS/SFOZ/X-6667		ESTIMATED COST: \$30K
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Install screening fence or wall and access gates around emergency generator at building 910 (SFS main facility).		
DATE	FUNDED	STATUS/UPDATE
1 Feb 13	No	SFS will coordinate with CES to evaluate the type of screening device and gate access points. CES will consider base appearance scheme when selecting construction materials. Cost estimates and type of screening system will be presented at the next FPWG meeting. CES indicated there is no funding available at this time. ECD: Unknown (OPEN)
1 Mar 13	Yes	CES received supplemental funding for AT/FP projects and can fund this project. Work is scheduled to begin 1 Jun 13 and should be complete by 31 Jul 13. The design of the gate is still being discussed. ECD: 31 Jul 13 (OPEN)
6 Apr 13	Yes	Project began as scheduled on 1 Jun 13 and there is no change to the estimated completion date. CES recommended the use of a steel gate. ECD: 31 Jul 13 (OPEN)
1 May 13	Yes	Wall construction completed. Gates are still on order and should be received by 1 Aug 05 pushing the ECD back two weeks. ECD: 15 Aug 13 (OPEN)
3 Jun 13	Yes	Gates were received early and installation was completed yesterday. (Recommend Closure)

Attachment 16 (Added-DOVERAFB)

ANTITERRORISM -- UNIT PROGRAM REVIEW GUIDE

(References: AFI 10-245, <i>Antiterrorism Program Standards</i> , AFI 10-245, AMC Supplement 1 and Dover AFB Supplement 1 unless otherwise noted)					
PR SCORE	SUBJECT AREA		In Compliance	In Compliance w/Comments	Not In Compliance
	10 Points	1. Continuity Book			
	10	<i>*All required Sections and Content (C)</i>			
	8 Points	2. Appointment of Unit AT Reps			
	1	» AT Appt Letter (Dated: _____)			
	4	» <i>*Primary & Alt Designated/Current (C)</i>			
	1	» Appointees E-5 or Above			
	1	» Letter Signed by Unit Commander			
	1	» Letter on File with AT Office			
	10 Points	3. AT Level II Training			
	4	» <i>*Primary & Alternate Reps Trained (C)</i>			
	2	» CBT or In-Resident AT Level II within 180 Days of Appointment			
	2	» AT Level II Training Certificates for AT Reps on file			
	10 Points	4. RAM Schedule & AT Form 2			
	2	» AT Reps Understand the Wing RAM Program and Execution			
	4	» <i>*Are Wing RAMs Conducted (C)</i>			
	2	» Unit Specific RAMs Being Conducted (Minimum: 1 per week)			
	1	» AT Form 2 Used to Record RAMs			
	1	» AT Form 2 Accurately Filled Out and Turned In Monthly (NLT 2nd of Month)			

PR SCORE		SUBJECT AREA	In Compliance	In Compliance w/Comments	Not In Compliance
	8 Points	5. AT Level I Training Statistics			
	2	» Tracking System for AT Level 1-3 Training			
	4	» <i>*Wing AT Training Report Utilized and Turned in Monthly (C)</i>			
	2	» Unit Orderly Room Validates AT Level 1 during Web Leave Request Overseas			
	10 Points	6. Self-Assessment Checklist/Results			
	6	» <i>*Are Semi-annual Self-Assessments being conducted IAW Wing Policy (C)</i>			
	2	» Results of SA reported to Wing AT Office and endorsed by Unit CC			
	2	» Unit AT Program SA Checklist results maintained on file for 24 months			
	6 Points	7. Wing FPCON Signs / Checklists			
	2	» Current FPCON Signs Displayed Properly on Access Points to all Facilities			
	4	» <i>*FPCON Signs for each level maintained on site for immediate use (i.e. ALPHA, BRAVO, CHARLIE and DELTA) (C)</i>			
	2	» Are Wing QRCs on-hand for all FPCONs			
	12 Points	8. Unit FPCON Actions			
	6	» <i>*Unit Specific FPCONs Developed and Current (C)</i>			
	2	» FPCON Checklists -- all assigned Buildings			
	2	» Site Specific Barrier Plan on File & Current			
	2	» If Applicable, are Expedient Barriers Available On Site for immediate Use			
	5 Points	9. Shelter In-Place (SIP) Plan			
	2	» SIP Plan Current for the Assigned Bldgs			
	2	» Shelter In-Place Location(s) Identified			
	1	» SIP Plan Exercised Annually			

PR SCORE		SUBJECT AREA	In Compliance	In Compliance w/Comments	Not In Compliance
	6 Points	10. Active Shooter (AS) Response			
	3	» Does the Unit have an AS Plan & Checklist			
	3	» Are all personnel trained on AS response			
	10 Points	11. Building Evacuation Plans			
	4	» <i>*Current Bomb Threat (BT) Evacuation Plan (C)</i>			
	2	» Current Fire Evacuation Plan			
	2	» Are Primary & Alternate Rally Points Established for each Plan			
	1	» BT Evacuation Plan Exercised Annually			
	1	» Identified Alarm for Bomb Threat			
	5 Points	12. AT Publications (Availability)			
	1	» DoDD 2000.12 AT Program, DoDI 2000-16 AT Standards, DoD Handbook 2000-12-H			
	1	» <i>*AFI 10-245, Antiterrorism Standards</i>			
	1	» <i>*AFI 10-245, AMC Sup 1 & Dover Sup 1</i>			
	1	» <i>*Wing AT Plan: OPlan 10-245</i>			
	1	» Integrated Defense Plan: OPlan 31-101			
TOTAL SCORE		OVERALL RATING			

0-50 Unsatisfactory	51-75 Marginal	76-84 Satisfactory	85-94 Excellent	95-100 Outstanding
---------------------	----------------	--------------------	-----------------	--------------------

Note: *Bolded Items Denote Critical (C) Program Requirements; Non-Compliance in Any of These Elements Will Adversely Impact the Overall Program Rating.

EVALUATOR COMMENTS:

Attachment 17 (Added-DOVERAFB)

SAMPLE UNIT AT REPRESENTATIVE APPOINTMENT LETTER

Date: _____

MEMORANDUM FOR

FROM: Unit/Agency

SUBJECT: Unit Antiterrorism Representative Appointment Letter

1. The following individuals are appointed as the Unit Antiterrorism Representatives for the 436th Example Squadron:

	<u>Name</u>	<u>Off/Sym</u>	<u>D/P</u>	<u>Clearance</u>	<u>AT Lvl II</u>
Primary:	TSgt John J. Smith	SWROTS	1234	Secret	22 Feb 14
Alternate:	SSgt Marc J. Brown	SPQR	4321	Secret	16 Mar 14

2. This letter supersedes previous letter, same subject.

JAMES T. SMITH, Major, USAF
Commander

cc: Each Individual

Attachment 18 (Added-DOVERAFB)

HOTEL ASSESSMENT SECURITY GUIDE

Evaluator: _____ Org: _____ Date: _____ Overall Score: _____

Facility Name: _____ POC Name: _____ Phone: _____

Section I – Security Design: (maximum of 10 points)		C	P	N
1.	HVAC; access control and emergency shut-off switch to mitigate TIC/TIM event?			
2.	Is there adequate vehicle stand-off from living quarters and mass gathering areas?			
3.	Is unobstructed space (10m from bldg) clear of overgrown shrubs, trees, vegetation?			
4.	Are barriers used to restrict access to service roads or enforce facility standoff?			
5.	Are loading areas controlled to prohibit parking of oversized vehicles next to bldg?			
<i>Subtotal:</i>				<input type="text"/>
Section II – Access Control: (maximum of 8 points)		C	P	N
1.	Is entry controlled/monitored to the facility? (i.e. doors, locks, alarms, etc)			
2.	Is access restricted to sensitive areas? (Comm /server room, HVAC systems, etc.)			
3.	Is storage of luggage locations restricted? (i.e. away from large gathering of people)			
4.	Is Single Point of Entry (SPE) use during day-to-day operations?			
<i>Subtotal:</i>				<input type="text"/>
Section III – Physical Security Considerations: (maximum of 12 points)		C	P	N
1.	Does the facility have on-duty security personnel?			
2.	Is lighting adequate (point/area): building, parking lot, interior/exterior?			
3.	Interior Lock for Occupant’s Room (dead bolt or latch lock) – (critical item)			
4.	Are CCTV Cameras utilized on-site and are they operational? (Fixed, PTZ, etc.)			
5.	Are CCTV Cameras monitored real-time to detect approaching threats?			
6.	Do CCTV Cameras monitor all personnel entering/exiting the facility?			
<i>Subtotal:</i>				<input type="text"/>

Section IV – Employee Measures: (maximum of 6 points)		C	P	N
1.	Are criminal background checks conducted on all employees? – (critical item)			
2.	Are employees released or fired for violent behavior reported to Law Enforcement?			
3.	Is there an active employee security awareness program?			
<i>Subtotal:</i>			<input type="text"/>	
Section V – Threat Information: (maximum of 8 points)		C	P	N
1.	Is local Law Enforcement response time under 5 minutes?			
2.	Are external/internal threats reported to Law Enforcement for action?			
3.	Are suspicious activities reported immediately to local Law Enforcement?			
4.	Are active BOLOs posted or barred persons being tracked from the establishment?			
<i>Subtotal:</i>			<input type="text"/>	
LEGEND: Compliant or Yes = 2 pts Partial or Unknown = 1pt Non-Compliant or No = 0				

HOTEL ASSESSMENT RISK ASSESSMENT MATRIX						
Risk Levels / Point Values		Risk Definitions				
		<i>Facility not recommended. No security features to protect DoD personnel.</i>	<i>Facility not recommended for use by DoD personnel. Security is inadequate.</i>	<i>Facility is acceptable for limited use. Limited security features.</i>	<i>Facility is acceptable for use. Provides adequate security.</i>	<i>Facility is acceptable for use. Security program is robust.</i>
Critical	0-10					
High	11-15					
Medium	16-21					
Low	21-35					
Negligible	36-44					

NOTE: This Matrix is to be used in conjunction with the Hotel Assessment Security Guide.